# Pain Physician

Established in 1999 by the American Society of Interventional Pain Physicians

# **Publication Statements**

# **EDITOR-IN-CHIEF**

# Alan David Kaye, MD, PhD

Vice-Chancellor of Academic Affairs Chief Academic Officer, and Provost Tenured Professor of Anesthesiology. and Pharmacology, Toxicology, and Neurosciences LSU School of Medicine 1501 Kings Hwy, Shreveport, LA 71103

# Bert Fellows, MA

**Managing Editor** 

Director Emeritus Psychological Services Pain Management Center of Paducah 2831 Lone Oak Road Paducah, KY 42003

E-mail: editor@painphysicianjournal.com

# **M**ISSION

The mission of *Pain Physician* is to promote excellence in the practice of interventional pain management and clinical research. *Pain Physician* is a peer-reviewed, multi-disciplinary journal directed to an audience of interventional pain physicians, other clinicians, and scientists with an interest in interventional pain management and pain medicine.

# Scope

Pain Physician is the official publication of the American Society of Interventional Pain Physicians (ASIPP). Pain Physician publishes reports of original research, guidelines, narrative and systematic reviews, and commentaries on a broad range of topics. Pain Physician is most interested in manuscripts that will influence practice and address important advances in interventional pain management. Pain Physician is an Open Access journal available online at www.painphysicianjournal.com.

# **MANUSCRIPT ETHICS STATEMENT**

#### Manuscript and authorship

- All submitted manuscripts are subject to strict peerreview process by at least 2 reviewers that are experts in the area of the particular manuscript. Reviewers are selected by the editor based on the reviewer's previously categorized areas of expertise. The author can also propose reviewers.
- The factors that are taken into account in review are relevance, originality, readability, statistical validity, and language.
- The possible decisions include accept, minor revisions, major revisions, or rejection.
- If authors are encouraged to revise and resubmit a submission, there is no guarantee that the revised submission will be accepted.
- 5. Rejected manuscripts will not be re-reviewed.
- Authors of rejected manuscripts may be provided with reviewer comments on request.
- The paper acceptance is constrained by such legal requirements as shall then be in force regarding libel, copyright infringement, and plagiarism.

 No research can be included in more than one manuscript, whether within the same journal or in another journal. The only exception to this is a follow-up manuscript.

#### Authors' responsibilities

- Authors must certify that their manuscript is their original work.
- Authors must certify that the manuscript has not previously been published elsewhere, or even submitted or reviewed in another journal.
- Authors must participate in the peer review process and follow the comments.
- Authors are obligated to provide retractions or corrections of mistakes.
- All Authors mentioned in the paper must have significantly contributed to the research. Level of their contribution also must be defined in the "Authors' Contributions" section of the article.
- Authors must state that all data in the paper are real and authentic.
- Authors must notify the Editors of any conflicts of interest.
- Authors must identify all sources used in the creation of their manuscript.
- Authors must use relevant sources that might help other researchers/journals.
- Authors must have less than 30 percent of references from the first author or coauthors, or from any one source.
- 11. The review process is double blind. The authors will not have knowledge of who is reviewing the paper. Please notify the editorial staff if you have knowledge of who specifically has commented on your paper.

#### **Plagiarism Check**

To help protect against the manuscript of plagiarized and previously published work, *Pain Physician* has adopted a widely used plagiarism detection system. The system scans the text of submitted papers and compares it to a comprehensive and growing database of full-text scholarly and academic materials, and generates "similarity" reports between

www.painphysicianjournal.com

submitted and previously published works. All manuscripts submitted to *Pain Physician* are scanned by the system at the time of submission.

# Peer Review/Responsibility for the Reviewers

- Reviewers should keep all information regarding papers confidential and treat them as privileged information.
- Reviews should be conducted objectively, with no personal criticism. Please let the editorial staff know if you have knowledge of who authored a particular manuscript.
- The review process should be double blind. The authors should have no knowledge of who is reviewing the manuscript and the reviewers should have no knowledge of who authored a manuscript.
- Reviewers should express their views clearly with supporting arguments.
- Reviewers may identify relevant published work that has not been cited by the authors.
- Reviewers should also call to the Editor-in-Chief's attention any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published paper of which they have personal knowledge.
- Reviewers should not review manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the companies, or institutions connected to the papers.

# **Publishing Ethics Issues**

- Corresponding author is the main owner of the article so she/he can withdraw the article.
- Authors cannot make major changes in the article after acceptance without a serious reason.
- All editorial members and authors must be willing to publish any kind of corrections honestly and completely.
- Any notes of plagiarism, fraudulent data or any other kinds of fraud must be reported completely.

# **PEER REVIEW PROCESS**

All submissions to the journal are initially reviewed by one of the Editors. At this stage manuscripts may be rejected without peer review if it is determined that they are not of high enough priority or not relevant to the journal. This fast rejection process means that authors are given a quick decision and do not need to wait for the review process.

Manuscripts that are not instantly rejected are sent out for peer review, usually to three independent reviewers. Based on the feedback from these reviewers and an assigned Section Editor, as well as the Editor-in-Chief, a decision is given on the manuscript. The average time from submission to first decision is approximately 6-8 weeks. If a paper is not acceptable in its present form, we will pass on suggestions for revisions to the author.

Authors are given up to a year to resubmit a revised manuscript. Revised manuscripts will go back to a member of the Editorial Board to determine if the manuscript will undergo a second review or if a decision can be reached.

Once a manuscript is accepted, it will be published in the next available issue, normally 6 months from acceptance

#### **Disclosure of Conflicts of Interest**

Authors must identify all sources of funding from public and private sources such as pharmaceutical companies and commercial organizations that supported the study presented in the manuscript.

# **CITATIONS**

It is the policy of *Pain Physician* that no more than 30% of references can be from a single journal or primary author, including current and past 2 year references. Use current upto-date citations whenever feasible.

Special consideration is required if these limits have to be exceeded. Please submit such requests to the Editor in Chief at <a href="mailto:editor@painphysicianjournal.com">editor@painphysicianjournal.com</a>.

# **AUTHOR DISCLOSURE**

Sound authorship of manuscripts relies on personal and professional integrity and accountability; however, there is much controversy concerning ghostwriting, guest authorship, plagiarism, and duplicate manuscripts. Ghostwriting and guest authorship are often linked to academy-industry collaborations. *Pain Physician* prohibits ghostwriting, plagiarism, and duplicate manuscripts. These activities are considered as misconduct.

If there is more than one author, a corresponding author should be designated to provide a complete address, phone number, and e-mail address. All author information should be entered on the online manuscript submission form. The author must certify the following (which may be incorporated into the e-mail or letter accompanying the manuscript):

- This manuscript represents a valid work and neither this manuscript nor one with substantially similar content under my authorship has been published or is being considered for manuscript elsewhere, except as described in an attachment.
- If requested by the editors, I will provide the data or will cooperate fully in obtaining and providing the data on which the manuscript is based, for examination by the editors or their assignees.
- For manuscripts with multiple authors, I agree to serve as the primary correspondent with the editorial office, to review the edited manuscript, and proof.
- I certify that all financial and material support for this research and work are clearly identified in the manuscript.
  - I certify that all my affiliations with, or financial involvement with, any organization or entity with a financial interest in or financial conflict with the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript are completely disclosed here or in an attachment, or the corresponding author and any other authors have no relevant financial interests in this manuscript.

Each author(s) also must transfer copyright. E-mails or letters should state that in consideration of the action of *Pain Physician* in reviewing and editing this submission (manuscript, tables, and figures), the author(s) undersigned hereby transfer(s), assign(s), or otherwise convey(s) all copyright ownership, including any and all rights incidental thereto, exclusively to ASIPP, in the event that such work is published by *Pain Physician*.

# **Sample Disclosure**

Author Contributions: Dr. (s) \_\_\_ access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. Drs. \_\_\_\_\_ designed the \_\_, and \_\_ study protocol. Dr.(s) \_\_\_\_\_ managed the literature searches and summaries of previous related work and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Dr. (s) \_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ provided revision for intellectual content and final approval of the manuscript. Conflict of Interest: (all, none, or name)\_\_\_authors have no conflicts of interest to report. (all , none or name)\_\_\_\_\_ of the authors of the manuscript received any remuneration. Further, the authors have (not) received any reimbursement or honorarium in any other manner. The authors are (not) affiliated in any manner \_\_\_\_\_. However, all the authors are members of the\_\_\_\_ practicing interventional pain physicians except for \_\_ \_\_, who is a\_\_\_\_\_\_. Dr. is the Funding/Support: The authors wish to disclose and thank the sponsor of the study. The study was conducted by \_\_\_. The study was sponsored by . The sponsorship was limited to supplies and expenses. The sponsorship included payment for employees for \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_s, data entry, and analysis of the data. They also provid-\_at no cost. They had no influence or interference after the protocol was designed. Role of Sponsor: The financial sponsor of this work had no role in the design and conduct of the study or the collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data. The sponsor also did not have a role in the preparation or review of the manuscript or the decision to submit. The authors also wish to thank \_ search coordinator, \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_,for manuscript \_\_\_ for their assistance in review, and \_\_\_ preparation of this manuscript. We also would like to thank the editorial board of Pain Physician for review and criticism in improving the manuscript.

For federal employees, a statement should be included that the author(s) was an employee of the U.S. Federal Government when this work was conducted and prepared for manuscript. Hence, it is not protected by the Copyright Act, and copyright ownership cannot be transferred. Authors should obtain written permission from all individuals named in an acknowledgment, since readers may infer their endorsement of data and conclusion.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The "Acknowledgment section" is the general term for the list of contributions, disclosures, credits, and other information included at the end of the text of a manuscript but before the references. The Acknowledgment section includes authors' contributions; information on author access to data; disclosure of potential conflicts of interest, including financial interests, activities, relationships, and affiliations; sources of funding and support; an explanation of the role of sponsor(s); information on independent statistical analysis (if required); names, degrees, and affiliations of participants in a large study or other group; any important disclaimers; information on previous presentation of the information reported in the manuscript; listing of supplemental material; and the contributions, names, degrees, affiliations, and indication if compensation has been received for all persons who have made substantial contributions to the work but who are not authors.

Authors must obtain written permission to include the names of all individuals included in the Acknowledgment section, and the corresponding author must confirm that such permission has been obtained in the Authorship Form.

#### **D**RUG **D**ISCLAIMER

The mention of trade names, commercial products or organizations, and the inclusion of advertisement does not imply endorsement by the American Society of Interventional Pain Physicians or the organization to which the authors are affiliated. The editor and publisher have taken all reasonable precautions to verify drug names and doses, the results of experimental work, and clinical findings found in this journal. The ultimate responsibility for the use and dosage of drugs mentioned in this journal and in interpretation of published materials lies with the medical practitioner, and the editors and publishers cannot accept liability for damages arising from any errors or omissions in the journal. Please inform the editor of any errors.

## **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

#### **Ethical Considerations and Informed Consent**

Human and animal studies require IRB approval. This should be described in the Methods section of the manuscript. For those investigators who do not have an IRB, the guidelines outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki should be followed.

## **Registration of Clinical Trials**

To be considered for manuscript, the authors must provide evidence of registration in a public trials registry. Trials must register at or before the onset of patient enrollment.

#### **Appeal Procedure**

Our appeal procedure provides authors with the opportunity to respond to the editorial decision on their manuscript. Authors have the right to appeal to the editor against any decision taken on their manuscript at any stage; an appeal will be considered at the discretionof *Pain Physician*.

# **Evaluation of Misconduct**

Misconduct and unethical behavior may be identified and brought to the attention of the editor at any time, by anyone. Whoever informs the editor of misconduct must provide sufficient evidence or documentation for an investigation to be initiated. Journal editors have primary authority and responsibility for investigations into misconduct, and they should consult with or seek advice from the publisher as appropriate. Investigations should be undertaken discreetly, with all caution necessary to avoid spreading rumor or allegations beyond those individuals who need to know.

The editor, in consultation with the publisher and society, is responsible for the final decision regarding actions for any identified misconduct, including whether the employers of the accused be notified of the breach

Possible outcomes include:

- Informing or educating the author or reviewer where there appears to be a misunderstanding or misapplication of standards.
- Strongly worded written communication to the author or reviewer as a warning against future behavior.
- Formal retraction of a manuscript from the journal, in conjunction with informing appropriate department heads, abstracting and indexing services, and the readership of the manuscript.
- Imposition of a formal embargo on contributions from an individual for a defined period.