In Response to Office-Based Kyphoplasty: A Viable Option Using Local Anesthesia and Oral Sedation

We appreciate the interest in our research and welcome dialogue on the emergence of office-based percutaneous balloon kyphoplasty (PBK) using local anesthesia with oral sedation. We agree with the authors that patient selection is an essential factor to improve clinical outcomes of the procedure, but we interpreted the American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) classification differently. If a patient were to present with an osteoporotic vertebral compression fracture (VCF), reports pain, and exhibits functional limitations on physical examination and a functional battery, we would interpret this patient as ASA 3 (1). Our rationale behind this determination is that: (1) osteoporosis is a systemic disease; (2) the patient presented or reported diminished quality of life or impaired activities of daily living; and (3) the VCF is non-life-threatening. Furthermore, 2 retrospective studies reported no difference in complication rates when comparing ASA classification (2,3). Specifically, when examining the pedicle screw and PBK procedures, the pedicle screw had a higher rate of complication, but the ASA class did not affect complication rates (2). When comparing complication and noncomplication groups in an adult spinal deformity correction surgery cohort, ASA class was not significantly different between groups (3). These data suggest that ASA 2 and ASA 3 should not be the final determinants when deciding on procedure location or analgesic technique.

Patients that present to our clinic with a VCF and report mild to moderate pain, but no functional limitations, would be treated conservatively. If conservative treatment does not resolve the pain or if the patient begins to experience functional limitations, a PBK procedure would be considered. Functional limitations in our practice are determined by the Timed Up and Go test (4), the 6-minute walk test (5), the Five-Times-Sitto-Stand test (6), physical examination, and subjective reporting. This process allows for a more objective surgery selection, and when repeated, a comprehensive evaluation of postoperative improvement. Additionally, if there is any uncertainty about a patient's medical risk, their managing physicians must provide surgical clearance.

In future studies, with a larger office-based cohort, we would like to include ASA class as an additional independent variable.

Gilbert Chandler, III, MD Tallahassee Orthopedic Clinic Florida State University College of Medicine Tallahassee, FL E-mail: gilbert.chandlerIII@tlhoc.com

Phillip R. Worts, PhD, ATC Tallahassee Orthopedic Clinic Department of Nutrition, Food, and Exercise Sciences FSU Institute of Sports Sciences and Medicine Florida State University Tallahassee, FL E-mail: phillip.worts@tlhoc.com

REFERENCES

- Doyle DJ, Garmon EH. American Society of Anesthesiologists Classification (ASA Class) [Updated 2019 May 13]. In: 3. StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2019 Jan. Available from: www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/ NBK441940/. Accessed August 9, 2019.
- Tassemeier T, Haversath M, Schutzbach M, Jäger M. Who benefits more in osteoporotic fractures: Pedicle screw instrumentation or kyphoplasty for American Society of Anesthesiologists II/III pa-

tients? J Craniovertebral Junction Spine 5. 2018; 9:232-237.

- Schwab FJ, Hawkinson N, Lafage V, et al. Risk factors for major peri-operative complications in adult spinal deformi- 6. ty surgery: A multi-center review of 953 consecutive patients. *Eur Spine J* 2012; 21:2603-2610.
- Podsiadlo D, Richardson S. The Timed "Up & Go": A test of basic functional mobility for frail elderly persons. J Am Geriatr Soc 1991; 39:142-148.
- Harada ND, Chiu V, Stewart AL. Mobility-related function in older adults: Assessment with a 6-minute walk test. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 1999; 80:837-841.
- Whitney SL, Wrisley DM, Marchetti GF, Gee MA, Redfern MS, Furman JM. Clinical measurement of sit-to-stand performance in people with balance disorders: Validity of data for the Five-Times-Sit-to-Stand test. *Phys Ther* 2005; 85:1034-1045.