Perspective

Reframing The Role of Neuromodulation Therapy in the Chronic Pain Treatment Paradigm

Krishnan Chakravarthy, MD, PhD^{1,2}, Laxmaiah Manchikanti, MD³, Alan David Kaye, MD, PhD⁴, and Paul J. Christo, MD⁵

From: 'Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine. University of California San Diego Health Sciences. San Diego, CA; ²VA San Diego Healthcare System, San Diego, CA; ³Pain Management Center of Paducah, Paducah, KY ⁴Departments of Anesthesiology and Pharmacology, Louisiana State University School of Medicine, New Orleans, LA; ⁵Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine, Division of Pain Medicine, The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD

Address Correspondence: Krishnan Chakravarthy MD, PhD Department of Anesthesiology UC San Diego Health Sciences San Diego, CA USA 3350 La Jolla Village Dr. San Diego, CA 92161 E-mail: kvchakravarthy@ucsd.edu

Disclaimer: There was no external funding in the preparation of this manuscript. Conflict of interestDr. Chakravarthy is a consultant to Abbott formerly St. Jude Medical, Medincell, and Bioness. Dr. Manchikanti has provided limited consulting services to Semnur Pharmaceuticals, Incorporated, which is developing nonparticulate steroids. Dr. Kaye is a speaker for Depomed, Inc. and Merck. Dr. Paul Christo has no conflict of interest to declare.

> Manuscript received: 09-30-18 Revised manuscript received: 10-12-18 Accepted for publication: 10-20-18

Free full manuscript: www.painphysicianjournal.com

he most important advances in medical science are derived from facts and real-world evidence (1). However, the importance of proper evidence synthesis and preparation of clinical practice guidelines continues to be subjected to extensive debate with discordant conclusions, despite release of the document by the Institute of Medicine (now National Academy of Medicine) in 2011 (2). This has been considered as an important step towards better evidence synthesis and clearer guidelines. Evidence synthesis and clinical practice guidelines are an important part of medical practice, but scarce funding for updates, competing organizations issuing their own, sometimes conflicting, guidelines, and the risk for bias and conflicts of interest have raised challenges (3). Critical review of statistics surrounding the current opioid epidemic reveal that the most compelling statistic in 2017 was health care providers across the U.S. wrote about 196 million prescriptions for opioid pain medication as shown in Fig. 1 (4). Consequently, the opioid epidemic has been called "the most consequential preventable public health problem in the United States." Despite a multitude of regulations and declining use of opioids, opioid-related deaths increased 3.7% from 2016 to 2017 in the face of an explosive increase of deaths due to synthetic opioids of 46%, cocaine of 37%, and methamphetamine of 40% (Fig. 2). The major underlying issues in heroin epidemic is based on opioids as gateway drugs (4-7). Multiple regulations focus





on controlling the opioid epidemic by reducing opioid production and discouraging opioids as a therapeutic option. Reframing of the prevention strategies of the opioid crisis continues to lag behind the escalating epidemic (5-7). At the same time, the impact of chronic pain, and specifically of spinal pain, continues to escalate, by some accounts even higher than those for cancer, heart disease, and diabetes. Combined with low back pain and neck pain, spinal pain ranks among the top 5 conditions of disability with annual expenditures of \$87.6 billion in 2013 (8,9). These glaring statistics are not simply related to opioid prescriptions and health care expenditures, but to the presumed lack of effective treatment alternatives, despite advances in pharmacology and surgical interventions (Fig. 3) (6-10).

When Norman Shealy placed the first spinal cord lead 40 years ago, he would not have imagined the growth in technology and innovation the field of neuromodulation has seen over the last half-century (11-19). Inspired by the seminal gate-control theory of pain, which was proposed by Melzack and Wall (20), the conventional paradigm of spinal cord stimulation (SCS) involves tonic 40-60 Hz electrical stimulation that activates dorsal columns in the spinal cord to elicit paresthesia covering the patient's painful body regions (19,21). This paresthesia-based SCS has proven to be an effective treatment modality for 40-50% of patients with refractory pain conditions, including complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS) and failed back surgery syndrome (FBSS) (21,22). The last decade has seen rapid innovation in the development of new neuromodulation therapies, largely based on the rapid pace of neuroscience discovery (18,19). These developments include high-frequency stimulation, burst stimulation, dorsal root ganglion stimulation, as well as a plethora of more sophisticated outcomes data. The body of evidence for SCS as an effective and long-term treatment for chronic pain is robust and growing. However, awareness of the therapy has been mostly limited to spine surgeons (orthopedic and neurosurgery) and interventional pain physicians (18,22-30). Given the staggering implications of chronic pain and associated disability with numerous ongoing treatment options, including surgical interventions, other interventions, and opioid prescriptions (4-8,10,24-32), it is clear that the medical community, ranging from primary care physicians to surgical specialists, who treat chronic pain patients on a daily basis, are unaware of the advances



of neuromodulation and its potential adoption into pain treatment algorithms. Today's neuromodulation systems are no longer an extension of anecdotal data driven by industry-funded science, but evidence-based treatment for chronic pain patients that demonstrate a significant improvement in efficacy compared to alternative treatment modalities (18,19,21-23,28). Referring physicians of all disciplines should and must be encouraged to examine the evidence and provide appropriate referrals for comprehensive management of disabling chronic pain, to interventional pain physicians in the community offering evidence-based approaches, including neuromodulation. Consequently, lack of awareness can no longer constitute failure to provide a potential treatment alternative with demonstrated efficacy and limited side effects.

EVIDENCE TO VALUE-BASED

SCS has been shown to retain its efficacy in multiyear follow-up studies (18-23,32), whereas a review of the evidence of opioid therapy for chronic pain has

demonstrated limited data of long-term effectiveness of opioids beyond 3 months (5-7). Historically, patients living with chronic pain have had to undergo significant trials of opioid medications, other pharmacological therapies, and other procedural interventions in order to 'qualify' for neuromodulation therapy, which was positioned as a last-line therapy. A real-world analysis of claims data demonstrated that patients that were given high (average 73 mg/day) and increasing dosages of opioids before receiving SCS (33) ultimately increased the patient's risk for system explant by 57%. High dosage opioid use was also associated with other poor outcomes, such as longer postoperative stays and damped improvement of pain scores (34). Delaying the use of SCS by just one year was shown to increase the risk for higher healthcare expenditures by a staggering 33% (35). New evidence is emerging that shows SCS can help interrupt dependence on opioid medications. For example, in a trial of BURST SCS, 83% of patients had reduced or eliminated opioid use after receiving SCS, and similarly, 64-70% of patients had reduced or



eliminated opioid use in other single-center, randomized control, and real-world studies of SCS (36,37). Figure 4A summarizes these key points.

REFRAMING THE CURRENT TREATMENT PARADIGM FOR CHRONIC PAIN

Reframing of the current treatment paradigm for chronic pain essentially requires comprehensive assess-

ment of chronic pain patients and more rapid progression through various modalities with avoidance or extremely limited use of opioid therapy. Interestingly, Shealy described stimulation in favor of ablation in 1968 (13) and specialization and development of Centers of Excellence in 1974 (15). In the modern era of exploding health care costs, adverse consequence of numerous modalities of treatments, and continued expansion of disability development of comprehensive chronic pain management therapy with earlier application of spinal cord stimulation is an important approach.

Figure 4B is a proposed treatment algorithm to consider how SCS therapy could fit into the chronic pain treatment paradigm. One of the key aspects of stimulation therapy is that, unlike surgery or lesioning, it is reversible (13). The implant procedure requires a trial period during which a temporary stimulation system is used to test for therapeutic benefit before proceeding to device implant. The use of the trial period and its reversible nature supports stimulation therapy to be in an earlier tier prior to consideration of other advanced pain therapies, such as surgery, intrathecal therapy, or chronic opioids for non-cancer pain that are not easily reversible. Kumar's data is compelling showing 85% success rate if implanted less than 2 years of the pain diagnosis (38). Good response to trial stimulation more recently has anecdotally correlated consistently with a response to therapy post implantation above 80%.

THE FUTURE OF SCS THERAPY

Chronic pain is a complex disease process, often leading to a biopsychosocial disorder if not treated properly. As CMS administrator, Seema Verma (39,40) noted, physicians have received years of education, training, and hard work to develop a high level of expertise, which is not utilized appropriately, and instead, they are being forced to spend far too much of their time on burdensome and often mindless administrative tasks. The systems have taken the most brilliant students and put them to work clicking through screens and copying and pasting, with 42% of physicians reporting burnout. Thus, as physicians we must reflect not only on the opioid epidemic, but also the epidemic of chronic pain and disability. We must utilize our knowledge and advances, driving the optimal care of patients and removing them from the present state of a disability-driven health care system. Thus, neuromodulation offers an alternative by professionals trained in the management of chronic pain in a shifting paradigm of value-based health care with both increased emphasis on patient and physician quality of care.

This is not to promote neuromodulation without further research. It is crucial to perform appropriate clinical trials and establish real world data to improve clinical guidelines and ultimately clinical practice. We should remember the quote by Sir Arthur Conan Doyle which states, "Education never ends. It is a series of lessons, with the greatest for the last", complemented by Michelangelo's quote at age 87 of "I'm still learning."

It is time to educate physicians and patients on another very viable tool in the pain therapy arsenal – neuromodulation. Earlier consideration in appropriate patients by every medical practitioner treating pain can help replace opioid therapy with a presently reversible, safe, and effective therapy.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:

The authors would like to thank Dr. Allen Burton, MD, Dr. Alex Benison, PhD, and Dr. Allison Connolly, PhD for their valuable insight and suggestions towards this manuscript.

Author Affiliations

Dr. Chakravarthy is Assistant Clinical Professor Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine, University of California San Diego Health Sciences, San Diego, CA

Dr. Manchikanti is Medical Director of the Pain Management Center of Paducah, Paducah, KY, Clinical Professor, Anesthesiology and Perioperative Medicine, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY, and Professor of Anesthesiology-Research, Department of Anesthesiology, School of Medicine, LSU Health Sciences Center, New Orleans, LA.

Dr. Kaye is Professor, Program Director, and Chairman, Department of Anesthesiology, Louisiana State University Health Science Center, New Orleans, LA.

Dr. Christo is Associate Professor, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Director, Multidisciplinary Pain Fellowship (2003-2011), Director, Blaustein Pain Treatment Center (2003-2008), Division of Pain Medicine, Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine, Baltimore, MD.

REFERENCES

- Califf RM, Robb MA, Bindman AB, Briggs JP, Collins FS, Conway PH, Coster TS, Cunningham FE, De Lew N, DeSalvo KB, Dymek C, Dzau VJ, Fleurence RL, Frank RG, Gaziano JM, Kaufmann P, Lauer M, Marks PW, Mc-Ginnis JM, Richards C, Selby JV, Shulkin DJ, Shuren J, Slavitt AM, Smith SR, Washington BV, White PJ, Woodcock J, Woodson J, Sherman RE. Transforming evidence generation to support health and health care decisions. N Engl J Med 2016; 375:2395-2400.
- Graham R, Mancher M, Wolman DM, Greenfield S, Steinberg E (eds); Committee on Standards for Developing Trustworthy Clinical Practice Guidelines; Institute of Medicine. *Clinical Practice Guidelines We Can Trust.* The National Academies Press, Washington, DC, 2011.
- 3. Shekelle PG. Clinical practice guidelines. What's next? JAMA 2018; 320:757-758.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Annual Surveillance Report of Drug-Related Risks and Outcomes --United States, 2017. Surveillance Special Report 1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. August 31, 2017.

/www.cdc.gov/drugoverdose/pdf/ pubs/2017-cdc-drug-surveillance-report.pdf

- Manchikanti L, Sanapati J, Benyamin RM, Atluri S, Kaye AD, Hirsch JA. Reframing the prevention strategies of the opioid crisis: focusing on prescription opioids, fentanyl, and heroin epidemic. *Pain Physician* 2018; 21:309-326.
- Dowell D, Haegerich TM, Chou R. CDC guideline for prescribing opioids for chronic pain--United States, 2016. MMWR Recomm Rep 2016; 65:1-49.
- 7. Manchikanti L, Kaye AM, Knezevic NN, McAnally H, Trescot AM, Blank S, Pampati V, Abdi S, Grider JS, Kaye AD, Manchikanti KN, Cordner HJ, Gharibo CG, Harned ME, Albers SL, Atluri S, Aydin SM, Bakshi S, Barkin R, Benyamin RM, Boswell MV, Buenaventura RM, Calodney AK, Cedeno DL, Datta S, Deer TR, Fellows B, Galan V, Grami V, Hansen H, Helm S 2nd, Justiz R, Koyyalagunta D, Malla Y, Navani A, Nouri K, Pasupuleti R, Sehgal N, Silverman SM, Simopoulos TT, Singh V, Slavin KV, Solanki DR, Staats PS, Vallejo R, Wargo

BW, Watanabe A, Hirsch JA. Responsible, safe, and effective prescription of opioids for chronic non-cancer pain: American Society of Interventional Pain Physicians (ASIPP) guidelines. *Pain Physician* 2017: 20:2S:S3-S92.

- Dieleman JL, Baral R, Birger M, Bui AL, Bulchis A, Chapin A, Hamavid H, Horst C, Johnson EK, Joseph J, Lavado R, Lomsadze L, Reynolds A, Squires E, Campbell M, DeCenso B, Dicker D, Flaxman AD, Gabert R, Highfill T, Naghavi M, Nightingale N, Templin T, Tobias MI, Vos T, Murray CJ. US spending on personal health care and public health, 1996-2013. JAMA 2016; 316:2627-2646.
- Dieleman JL, Squires E, Bui AL, Campbell M, Chapin A, Hamavid H, Horst C, Li Z, Matyasz T, Reynolds A, Sadat N, Schneider MT, Murray CJL. Factors associated with increase in US health care spending, 1996-2013. JAMA 2017; 318:1668-1678.
- Manchikanti L, Helm S 2nd, Benyamin RM, Hirsch JA. A critical analysis of Obamacare: Affordable care or insurance for many and coverage for few? *Pain Physician* 2017; 20:111-138.
- Shealy CN, Taslitz N, Mortimer JT, Becker DP. Electrical inhibition of pain: experimental evaluation. *Anesth Analg* 1967; 46:299-305.
- Shealy CN, Mortimer JT, Reswick JB. Electrical inhibition of pain by stimulation of the dorsal columns: preliminary clinical report. Anesth Analg 1967; 46:489-491.
- 13. Shealy CN. Stimulation vs. ABLATION. *Headache* 1968; 8:33.
- 14. Shealy CN. Electrical stimulation: The primary method of choice in pain relief. *Compr Ther* 1975; 1:41-45.
- Shealy CN. Letter: Wants regional centers, sub-specialties. Surg Neurol 1974; 2:67-68.
- Shealy CN. Dorsal column stimulation: Optimization of application. Surg Neurol 1975; 4:142-145.
- 17. Shealy CN. Dorsal column stimulation. *Surg Neurol* 1977; 7:192.
- Deer TR, Lamer TJ, Pope JE, Falowski SM, Provenzano DA, Slavin K, Golovac S, Arle J, Rosenow JM, Williams K, McRoberts P, Narouze S, Eldabe S, Lad SP, De Andrés JA, Buchser E, Rigoard P, Levy RM, Simpson B, Mekhail N. The Neurostimulation Appropriateness Consensus Committee

(NACC) Safety Guidelines for the Reduction of Severe Neurological Injury. *Neuromodulation* 2017; 20:15-30.

- Slavin KV. Spinal stimulation for pain: future applications. *Neurotherapeutics* 2014; 11:535-542.
- 20. Melzack R, Wall PD. Pain mechanisms: A new theory. *Science* 1965; 150:971-979.
- Kumar K, Taylor RS, Jacques L, Eldabe S, Meglio M, Molet J, Thomson S, O'Callaghan J, Eisenberg E, Milbouw G, Buchser E, Fortini G, Richardson J, North RB. The effects of spinal cord stimulation in neuropathic pain are sustained: a 24-month follow-up of the prospective randomized controlled multicenter trial of the effectiveness of spinal cord stimulation. *Neurosurgery* 2008; 63:762-770.
- Grider JS, Manchikanti L, Carayannopoulos A, Sharma ML, Balog CC, Harned ME, Grami V, Justiz R, Nouri KH, Hayek SM, Vallejo R, Christo PJ. Effectiveness of spinal cord stimulation in chronic spinal pain: A systematic review. *Pain Physician* 2016; 19:E33-E54.
- Manchikanti L, Abdi S, Atluri S, Benya-23. min RM, Boswell MV, Buenaventura RM, Bryce DA, Burks PA, Caraway DL, Calodney AK, Cash KA, Christo PJ, Cohen SP, Colson J, Conn A, Cordner HJ, Coubarous S, Datta S, Deer TR, Diwan SA, Falco FJE, Fellows B, Geffert SC, Grider JS, Gupta S, Hameed H, Hameed M, Hansen H, Helm II S, Janata JW, Justiz R, Kaye AD, Lee M, Manchikanti KN, McManus CD, Onyewu O, Parr AT, Patel VB, Racz GB, Sehgal N, Sharma M, Simopoulos TT, Singh V, Smith HS, Snook LT, Swicegood J, Vallejo R, Ward SP, Wargo BW, Zhu J, Hirsch JA. An update of comprehensive evidence-based guidelines for interventional techniques of chronic spinal pain: Part II: Guidance and recommendations. Pain Physician 2013; 16:S49-S283.
- 24. Manchikanti L, Pampati V, Hirsch JA. Utilization of interventional techniques in managing chronic pain in Medicare population from 2000 to 2014: An analysis of patterns of utilization. *Pain Physician* 2016; 19:E531-E546.
- Manchikanti L, Soin A, Mann DP, Bakshi S, Pampati V, Hirsch JA. Reversal of growth of utilization of interventional techniques in managing chronic pain in Medicare population post Affordable Care Act. Pain Physician 2017; 20:551-567.

- Manchikanti L, Kaye AD, Hirsch JA. Proposed Medicare physician payment schedule for 2017: Impact on interventional pain management practices. Pain Physician 2016; 19:E935-E955.
- Manchikanti L, Singh V, Hirsch JA. Facility payments for interventional pain management procedures: Impact of proposed rules. *Pain Physician* 2016; 19:E957-E984.
- Farber SH, Han JL, Elsamadicy AA, Hussaini Q, Yang S, Pagadala P, Parente B, Xie J, Lad SP. Long-term cost utility of spinal cord stimulation in patients with failed back surgery syndrome. *Pain Physician* 2017; 20:E797-E805.
- 29. Elsamadicy AA, Yang S, Sergesketter AR, Ashraf B, Charalambous L, Kemeny H, Ejikeme T, Ren X, Pagadala P, Parente B, Xie J, Lad SP. Prevalence and cost analysis of complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS): A role for neuromodulation. *Neuromodulation* 2018; 21:423-430.
- 30. Hussaini SMQ, Murphy KR, Han JL, Elsamadicy AA, Yang S, Premji A, Parente B, Xie J, Pagadala P, Lad SP. Specialtybased variations in spinal cord stimulation success rates for treatment of chronic pain. *Neuromodulation* 2017; 20:340-347.

- Murphy KR, Han JL, Yang S, Hussaini SM, Elsamadicy AA, Parente B, Xie J, Pagadala P, Lad SP. Prevalence of specific types of pain diagnoses in a sample of united states adults. *Pain Physician* 2017; 20:E257-E268.
- 32. Farber SH, Han JL, Petraglia Iii FW, Gramer R, Yang S, Pagadala P, Parente B, Xie J, Petrella JR, Lad SP. Increasing rates of imaging in failed back surgery syndrome patients: Implications for spinal cord stimulation. *Pain Physician* 2017; 20:E969-E977.
- Sharan AD, Riley J, Falowski S, Pope JE, Connolly AT, Karst E, Dalal N, Provenzano DA. Association of opioid usage with spinal cord stimulation outcomes. *Pain Med* 2017; 19:699-707.
- 34. Gee L, Smith HC, Ghulam-Jelani Z, Khan H, Prusik J, Feustel PJ, McCallum SE, Pilitsis JG. Spinal cord stimulation for the treatment of chronic pain reduces opioid use and results in superior clinical outcomes when used without opioids. *Neurosurgery* 2018 Mar 12. [Epub ahead of print].
- Lad SP, Petraglia FW 3rd, Kent AR, Cook S, Murphy KR, Dalal N, Karst E, Staats P, Sharan A. Longer delay from chronic

pain to spinal cord stimulation results in higher healthcare resource utilization. *Neuromodulation* 2016; 19: 469-476.

- 36. Al-Kaisy A, Van Buyten JP, Smet I, Palmisani S, Pang D, Smith T. Sustained effectiveness of 10 kHz high-frequency spinal cord stimulation for patients with chronic, low back pain: 24-month results of a prospective multicenter study. *Pain Med* 2014; 15:347-354.
- 37. Staats P, et al. Evaluating the decrease in opioid use after tonic and Burst stimulation: A SUNBURST sub-analysis, in North American Neuromodulation Society Annual Meeting. 2018, Las Vegas, NV.
- Kumar K, Rizvi S, Nguyen R, Abbas M, Bishop S, Murthy V. Impact of wait times on spinal cord stimulation therapy outcomes. *Pain Pract* 2014; 14:709-720.
- Manchikanti L, Singh V, Benyamin RM, Kaye AD, Pampati V, Hirsch JA. Reframing Medicare physician payment policy for 2019: A look at proposed policy. *Pain Physician* 2018; 21:415-432.
- 40. Letter to Doctors from CMS Administrator Seema Verna, Department of Health & Human Services, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services.