Feasibility of Percutaneous Robot-Assisted Epiduroscopic System
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Background: Endoscopy has replaced open surgery, especially in spinal surgery. Among them, image-guided epiduroscopy allows pain generators to be identified, including epidural adhesion, fibrotic tissues, root compression, and spinal stenosis. However, the heavy lead apron worn by pain physicians to avoid exposure to radiation can induce occupational hazards, such as orthopedic complications and radiation-induced cancer. Hence, we developed a robotic system to address these problems.

Objective: The aim of the study was to evaluate the feasibility of a robot-controlled epiduroscopic system.

Study Design: In vivo animal experiment.

Setting: University in Republic of Korea.

Methods: The robot-controlled epiduroscopic system was developed using the open architecture robot system (The Raven Surgical Robotic System, CITRIS, Berkley, CA, USA). The robotic system consists of a lab-made epiduroscope, steering section, robotic arm, and manipulator. For the in vivo study, 2 Yorkshire pigs were used to simulate an epiduroscopic procedure with the robotic system.

Results: The insertion and steering of the catheter was performed safely, and epiduroscopic visualization was obtained without side effects. There were no device-related complications. Radiation exposure for the primary operator was 80% lower than the levels found during conventional epiduroscopic procedures. All live pigs showed normal behavior without any signs of pain. The mean time to reach the target region was less than 8 minutes.

Limitations: The epiduroscopic procedure was performed on pigs and not on humans. The dimensions of the spinal canal of pigs cannot compare to those of humans.

Conclusions: We demonstrated the feasibility of the robot-assisted epiduroscopic system.
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Epiduroscopy has long been performed to find and treat pain generators in the epidural space (1-6). It has been an effective, non-surgical method for the treatment of various spinal diseases (1-6). With epiduroscopy, one can visualize the epidural space, which is surrounded by the vertebral bone and the intervertebral disc, and which contains the spinal nerve and dural sac (2,7,8). Through the working channel of the epiduroscope, medications can be delivered, and a laser apparatus can be introduced to remove portions of pathological tissues (2,7,8).

During epiduroscopy, catheter insertion and steering are performed under the guidance of fluoroscopic imaging (9).
Adverse events, such as cancer and cataracts, are commonly reported to be associated with a physician’s exposure to radiation that occurs while steering the catheter during x-ray imaging (10-12). In addition, physicians wear lead aprons and thyroid shields during the procedure. These heavy aprons may cause arthritis and intervertebral disc diseases in physicians (13). Additionally, these tough working conditions may reduce the precision of the procedure.

Therefore, we developed a robot-controlled epiduroscopic system to address the occupational hazards related to fluoroscope-guided spinal interventions, and to enhance the degree of precision of these procedures.

Recently, various robotic devices have been introduced to enhance the performance of spinal surgeries, as well as to provide protection from the occupational hazards that are associated with the procedures (14-17). However, to the best of our knowledge, there has been no report on the use of a robotic system for epiduroscopy. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the feasibility of such a system through animal experiments.

**Method**

**Robotic System**

The robotic system consists of a navigable catheter with a built-in camera, a robotic arm moving the catheter, and a manipulator with haptic control (Fig. 1). The outer diameter of the catheter was 3 mm. The diameter of the working channel was 1.2 mm. The catheter was made of medical polyurethane. The distal 1 cm part of the catheter was made to be softer than the remaining part so as to be bent easily. The steering section was located at the end of the catheter and consisted of 2 wire-driving motors. The steering section on the robotic arm is shown in Fig. 2. Inside the catheter, there are 4 metal wires for steering the distal part of the catheter. The catheter was flexed vertically or horizontally by using 2 DC motors which pulled and pushed on a pair of metal wires. The robotic arm, which controlled the steering section, was fixed on the side rail of the operating table. The robotic arm was built with a research surgical robot platform developed by the University of Washington (Raven Surgical Robotic System, CITRIS, Berkley, CA, USA). The robotic control program was based on an open-source robot-operating system (Robot Operating System, Open Source Robotics Foundation, CA, USA).

The robotic arm had a total of 3 degrees of freedom. The first 2 axes moved the remote center of rotation on the sacral hiatus. After insertion of the tip of the catheter into the sacral hiatus, the third axis was used to control the progression of the catheter. The direction of the catheter was changed in the epidural space by using the steering section. While the catheter was navigated to the target area, the position of the catheter tip was frequently checked using a fluoroscope. The catheter was precisely entered into the desired site using the robotic system. In the future, we will build the system as shown in Fig. 3.

**Animal Experiment**

The animal study protocol was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Yonsei University College of Medicine. Two Yorkshire pigs, each 4 months old and weighing 40 kg, were used. The pigs were anesthetized using endotracheal intubation. Then, the pigs were placed on the operating table in a prone position. The robotic system was attached to the operating table (Fig. 1). The skin around the sacral hiatus was prepared using betadine in an aseptic manner so that the catheter was located at the entrance of the hole. The pigs were sedated using an intramuscular injection of ketamine (20 mg/kg). The pigs were then placed on a radiolucent table, intubated, and ventilated on a respirator administering a mixture of oxygen (10%) and air (90%). Anesthesia was maintained by alpha chloralose (100 mg/kg bolus and 30 mg/kg/hour).

After topical anesthesia, the skin just above the sacral hiatus was incised and subcutaneous dissection was made to expose the sacral hiatus. After the sacral hiatus was exposed, the sacrococcygeal ligament was removed, and the rongeur was used to remove the surrounding bone and open the sacral hiatus more widely. An epidural, steerable, fiber-optic catheter system was introduced into the caudal region through the sacral hiatus. The tip of the catheter was advanced toward the L4-5 level, and the direction of the catheter was changed in the epidural space using the robot. Then, the position of the catheter was confirmed through fluoroscopic and epiduroscopic images (Fig. 4). After the experiment, the pigs were allowed to recover after suturing, and after 2 weeks of observation, the pigs showed no signs of nerve damage or paralysis due to catheter insertion. The animals were returned to their home pen, and then the behavior of each individual pig was recorded for 1 month. Observations on appearance of the incision, behavioral characteristics, eating, drinking, urination, defecation, temperature,
pulse and respiration were carefully analyzed. The 2 primary endpoints were technical success and clinical success.

Technical success was defined as successful intraspinal advancement and target achievement by the robotic system, without conversion to manual operation. The duration of radiation exposure was measured. Clinical success was defined as the absence of major complications within 1 week of the procedure. Major complications were defined as pain behavior, gait disturbance, voiding difficulties, and wound problems.

**Results**

Before the animal experiment took place, a physician was trained to manipulate the robotic epiduroscope using the haptic control. After each training session, feedback was obtained from the physician in order to improve the shape of the tip of the epiduroscope and the image quality of the camera. A protocol for robotic epiduroscopy was also developed. Robot-controlled epiduroscopy was performed twice on each pig.

All robotic epiduroscopic procedures were performed via trans sacral access, with 15-gauge Tuohy needles. A mean time of 17.5 ± 0.7 minutes was taken from catheter insertion to target achievement. X-ray exposure occurred for a mean of 13.5 ± 1.6 minutes during the procedure.

In all trials, advancement of the epiduroscope proceeded uneventfully, without any nerve injury or dural perforation. We confirmed that the catheter could be precisely entered into the desired site. In all procedures, the tip of the catheter was delivered to the target, and the intervertebral discs were successfully visualized. No trial was converted to manual operation. There were no surgical complications related to the use of the robotic system. Technical and clinical outcomes are detailed in Table 1. The primary endpoints were achieved in 100% of trials.
Discussion

This study demonstrated the feasibility of robot-assisted epiduroscopy. In the 2 pigs treated with 4 trials, there were no treatment-related complications or adverse events. The primary endpoints were achieved in 100% of trials. There was no case of conversion to traditional manual operation. This study showed that the robotic system is safe and effective in the advancement and steering of the epiduroscope into the epidural space. Compared to conventional procedures, less time was required in x-ray to visualize the position of the epiduroscope. The robotic procedure can be used to visualize pain generators and to treat epidural pathologies, such as direct decompression, thermal annuloplasty, epidural adhesiolysis, and precise drug delivery.

While new implants and surgical procedures are often tested on human cadavers, the availability of fresh frozen human cadaver is very limited. The pig spine has been regarded as the most representative model for the human spine. Busscher et al (18) reported that the pig spine is quite similar to the human spine in pedicle width and height, spinal canal width and depth, and transverse process length in all spinal regions. They concluded that the pig spine could be used in studies testing new implants and surgical techniques (18). Pleticha et al (19) reported that the pig epidural space, demarcated by the ligamentum flavum dorsally and the dura mater ventrally, was filled with abundant adipose tissue in the pig. In the present study, we confirmed that the pig spine is appropriate for examining human epiduroscopy in terms of comparable canal size and redundant epidural space.

As the current practice of pain physician evolves into performing a higher volume of procedures, pain physicians have raised safety concerns, advocating for reducing radiation exposure to both patients and operators and for making interventions more ergonomic (10-12,20). A recent observational report raised concerns regarding a possible association between career-long exposure to radiation in interventional cardiologists with the development of left-sided brain tumors.
Robotic epiduroscopy has the potential to address this issue (Fig. 3). In the current study, we measured the duration of radiation exposure. During the procedure, epiduroscopy requires fluoroscopy to confirm the site of the scope tip. Komiya et al (22) reported that the average fluoroscopic time of epiduroscopy was 9.5 minutes. However, the procedure time of vertebroplasty, a procedure similar to epiduroscopy, was reported to be 27.7 minutes (23). In our series, conventional epiduroscopy took about 21.3 minutes (not published yet). We found a significant decrease in radiation exposure during robotic epiduroscopy, with a reduction of almost 37% compared to a personal investigation regarding conventional epiduroscopy. The difference is possibly due to differences in skills or methods. If the robotic system can shorten the procedure time with increasing accuracy in the future, the patient's exposure to radiation will also be significantly decreased.

No matter how skilled a physician is, if the robotic system helps, epiduroscopy will be more precise. The ability to remotely control precision instruments will significantly improve the outcome of epiduroscopy. Compared with other minimally invasive surgery, robot-assisted surgery allows the surgeon to manipulate the surgical tool more precisely and to see the surgical site more clearly (16,24,25). In addition, physicians no longer need to stand throughout the epiduroscopy and do not get tired as quickly. Hand tremors can also be filtered out by the robot's software (16,24,25).

Another major issue associated with epiduroscopy is occupational hazard, such as arthritis or a slipped disc due to long periods of added weight-bearing. Long hours donning a heavy lead apron while standing may adversely affect interventional physicians, resulting in reduced performance and loss of productivity (12,26,27). Although no measurement of operator com-
The robot-assisted epiduroscopy system was effective in reaching target lesions in a swine model. Further research will address the safety and effectiveness of this procedure in a larger sample of patients.
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