Background: Full-endoscopic interlaminar discectomy (FEID) has achieved satisfactory outcome in adolescent lumbar disc herniation (ALDH). Sciatic scoliosis is found to be a common presentation in ALDH. However, few reports are focused on the influences of sciatic scoliosis on ALDH and the prognosis of sciatic scoliosis after FEID.

Objective: This study aims to evaluate the clinical and radiological results of FEID in the treatment of ALDH with sciatic scoliosis and to identify the effects of sciatic scoliosis on complication and recurrence.

Study Design: A retrospective study.

Setting: An inpatient surgery center.

Methods: A series of cases of patients under age 20 with single-level ALDH that underwent FEID between January 2010 and December 2014 were retrospectively analyzed. The patients were divided into 2 groups according to if they had scoliosis or not. Clinical outcomes were evaluated using a visual analog scale (VAS) for low back and leg pain, Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) for the functional assessment, and modified Macnab criteria for the patient satisfaction. Radiological parameters of the scoliosis group such as Cobb angle, CVSL-max, and CVSL-C7 were statistically analyzed.

Results: No significant differences were found between both groups in terms of the mean operative time, the mean length of hospital stay, complications, and recurrences (P > 0.05). VAS and ODI scores were significantly improved in both groups (P < 0.05). However, there were no statistically significant differences between the 2 groups in VAS, ODI, and modified Macnab criteria (P > 0.05). For the scoliosis group, significant improvements were observed in the postoperative sagittal and coronal alignment parameters (P < 0.05).

Limitations: This was a retrospective study with a relatively small sample size. Additionally, the length of follow-up was short.

Conclusions: The application of FEID in the treatment of ALDH could achieve satisfactory clinical and radiological outcomes. Sciatic scoliosis was corrected spontaneously without increasing the risk of complication and recurrence.
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Lumbar disc herniation (LDH) is one of the most common degenerative spinal diseases found among adults, while it is rarely seen among adolescents. The incident rate of LDH varies from 1 to 5% in the pediatric and adolescent population, as reported in previous studies (1-3), and trauma is
believed to be the common cause. It has been shown that 30–60% of children and adolescents suffering from symptomatic LDH have a history of trauma or sport-related injury (4). Besides, genetic factors have also been proved as a significant cause of adolescent LDH (ALDH) (5).

Clinical presentations of ALDH are typically different from those for adults. Neurological symptoms such as numbness and weakness are less commonly seen for ALDH. However, sciatic scoliosis associated with lumbar LDH has been found for both adolescent and adult patients (6-8). The explicit pathological reasons for this phenomenon has not been elucidated, yet possible mechanisms include compensatory positioning and gait abnormalities to relieve nerve irritation (9). The direction of sciatic scoliosis is related to the side of disc herniation instead of the topographic location of nerve root compression (7). Scoliosis is more likely to occur for adolescents compared to adults, with a reported incidence of 9–82% (6,10-13).

Conservative treatment is the first choice for LDH. Surgical treatment is usually recommended for patients who have failed in nonsurgical therapy, suffering from the progressive neurologic deficit or debilitating pain (14). Open discectomy (OD) is traditionally employed as the standard operation. In recent years, endoscopic techniques have been widely used for LDH. As reported, full-endoscopic interlaminar discectomy (FEID) has achieved satisfactory outcome (15-20), as well as in the treatment of ALDH (12,21,22). A previous study suggests that scoliosis may increase the risk of recurrence of LDH after microdiscectomy (23). However, few reports are focused on the influences of sciatic scoliosis on ALDH and the prognosis of sciotic scoliosis after FEID. As such, this work aims to evaluate the clinical and radiological results of FEID for ALDH patients with sciatic scoliosis and to investigate the effects of sciatic scoliosis on complication and recurrence.

**Methods**

**Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria**

This retrospective study included a series of patients who met the following criteria between January 2010 and December 2014: 1) younger than 20 years old (ranging from 13 to 20 years), 2) had single-level, nonforaminal and soft disc herniation located at either L4-L5 or L5-S1, as proven by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), 3) exhibited significant symptoms and signs including low back pain, neurogenic claudication, radiculopathy, and/or a positive straight leg-raise test, and 4) all patients underwent FEID without previous surgery. Exclusion criteria were: 1) had foraminal disc herniation, 2) older than 20 years, 3) had received prior lumbar surgery, and 4) possessed fractures, spondylolisthesis, spinal infection, tumor, spinal deformity, or other lumbar diseases. All patients had failed in some conservative treatment previously and the time interval before the surgery was at least 6 weeks.

Sciatic scoliosis was identified utilizing whole spine radiographs prior to the surgery. The criteria of scoliosis included that the Cobb’s angle was ≥ 10° (24). The patients were divided into 2 groups: the scoliosis group and the non-scoliosis group. All demographic data and perioperative and postoperative records were collected and compared between the 2 groups.

**Surgical Procedures**

In this work, all patients underwent FEID, which was first described by Ruetten et al (18,25). All procedures were completed through an 8 mm incision with the patient in the prone position. Specific steps are shown in Fig. 1, including: 1) constructing the surgical channel, 2) making a lateral incision in the ligamentum flavum, 3) exposing the nerve root (a bur was used when necessary), and 4) performing the discectomy and decompression. All surgical instruments were supplied by Richard Wolf GmbH, Knittlingen, Germany, and all operations were performed by an experienced surgeon in our department.

**Outcome Assessment**

General parameters such as mean operative time, mean length of hospital stay, complications, and recurrences were recorded. Clinical outcomes were evaluated using a visual analog scale (VAS) for low back and leg pain, Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) for the functional assessment, and modified Macnab criteria for the patient satisfaction (26). Preoperative and postoperative radiological parameters of the scoliosis group including Cobb angle, CVSL-max, and CVSL-C7 were statistically analyzed.

**Statistical Analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Version 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Quantitative data were presented as the mean ± standard deviation. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to analyze the difference in mean values, and the Fisher’s exact test and chi-square test were utilized for categorical...
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Results

Demographic Data

In this retrospective study, a total of 42 patients (female/male: 30/12) were consecutively enrolled with a follow-up period of 39.0 months in average. The general characteristics of the patients are summarized in Table 1. Twenty-eight patients (35.7%) comprised the scoliosis group, and 46 patients (64.3%) comprised the non-scoliosis group. There were 29 cases with L4-L5 disc herniation (scoliosis/non-scoliosis: 12/17) and 13 cases with L5-S1 disc herniation (scoliosis/non-scoliosis: 3/10).

As shown in Table 1, the demographic data of both groups are similar to each other. There were no statistically significant differences in age, gender, mean duration of symptoms, trauma, body mass index (BMI), level of herniated disc, type of herniated disc, nor the mean time of follow-up between the 2 groups ($P > 0.05$).

Clinical Outcomes

All operations were successfully performed. General parameters are summarized in Table 2. The mean operation time was $58.4 \pm 9.5$ minutes in the scoliosis group and $56.2 \pm 10.4$ minutes in the non-scoliosis group. The mean length of hospital stay of the scoliosis group was similar to that of the non-scoliosis group ($3.5 \pm 0.6$ days vs. $3.4 \pm 0.8$ days). No serious complications occurred in either group. Dural tears were noticed in one case (scoliosis: 0; non-scoliosis: 1, 3.7%) during the operation, but no further treatment was required. Transient postoperative dysesthesia occurred in 2 cases (scoliosis: 1, 6.7%, non-scoliosis: 1, 3.7%). Recurrences

Fig. 1. The surgical steps of FEID are shown. (A,B): constructing the surgical channel; (C): making a lateral incision in the ligamentum flavum; (D): exposing the nerve root; (E,F): performing the discectomy and decompression.
and 3.6 ± 1.8 points to 1.3 ± 1.0 and 1.2 ± 1.1 points at the final follow-up, respectively (P < 0.05). The mean decreases from preoperative to postoperative were 5.6 ± 1.3 and 2.4 ± 1.4 points for leg and back pain, respectively. The ODI score was 73.6% ± 11.5% points preoperatively, which declined to 14.4% ± 5.5% points at the final follow-up, with a mean decrease of 59.2% ± 9.6% (P < 0.05). No significant differences of the preoperative and postoperative VAS and ODI scores were noticed between the 2 groups (P > 0.05).

Patient satisfaction is presented in Table 4. According to the modified Macnab criteria, the clinical good-to-excellent rate was 93.3% in the scoliosis group: excellent- 6 (40.0%), good- 8 (53.3.0%), fair- 1 (6.7%), and no poor cases. As a comparison, the good-to-excellent rate for the non-scoliosis group was 92.6%: excellent-12 (44.4%), good- 13 (48.2%), fair- 1 (3.7%), and poor-1 (3.7%). No significant difference was found between the 2 groups (P > 0.05).

**Radiological Outcomes**

Preoperative and postoperative radiographic parameters such as Cobb angle, CVSL-max, and CVSL-C7 were measured in the scoliosis group (Table 5). There were significant changes observed in the postoperative sagittal and coronal alignment parameters (P < 0.05). A representative case is presented in Fig. 2.

**Discussion**

LDH is rarely seen in the adolescent population. Trauma and genetic factors are believed to be the most common causes. Sciatic scoliosis shows a greater incidence rate (9–82%) for ALDH patients compared to adult patients (6,10-13). The relationship between scoliotic posture and LDH still remains inexplicit. Kim et al (22) found that women and L4-5 disc herniation patients are highly subject to sciatic scoliosis and trunk list. The goal of the treatment of ALDH is to relieve symptoms and allow an early return-to-routine life. Although a small portion of ALDH patients achieve pain relief after some conservative treatment, the persistent scoliotic posture and trunk shift are still observed (24). Earlier operation helps avoid the persistent nonstructural curve progressing into a structural scoliosis and provides a greater opportunity for the correction of scoliotic posture (24).

Surgical treatments, such as OD, microendoscopy discectomy (MED), and percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy (PELD), for ALDH could achieve acceptable pain relief and function improvement (10,12,21).
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Table 3. Clinical outcomes of scoliosis and non-scoliosis groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Preoperative</th>
<th>Postoperative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3 mos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS Leg Pain</td>
<td>Scoliosis</td>
<td>7.1 ± 1.4</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-scoliosis</td>
<td>6.9 ± 1.5</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS Back Pain</td>
<td>Scoliosis</td>
<td>3.8 ± 1.6</td>
<td>2.1 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-scoliosis</td>
<td>3.6 ± 1.8</td>
<td>2.0 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODI (%)</td>
<td>Scoliosis</td>
<td>74.5 ± 12.3</td>
<td>24.6 ± 5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-scoliosis</td>
<td>73.6 ± 11.5</td>
<td>22.8 ± 6.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Final F/U = final follow-up. There was no significant difference in the VAS and ODI scores in both groups preoperatively (P > 0.05). The VAS and ODI scores improved significantly postoperatively in each group (P < 0.05). No significant differences of the VAS and ODI scores could be noticed postoperatively between the 2 groups (P > 0.05).

Table 4. Modified Macnab criteria for patients’ satisfaction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Scoliosis Group</th>
<th>Non-Scoliosis Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>6 (40.0%)</td>
<td>12 (44.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>8 (53.3%)</td>
<td>13 (48.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>1 (6.7%)</td>
<td>1 (3.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (3.7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Radiological results of the scoliosis group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>Preoperative</th>
<th>Postoperative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 mos</td>
<td>6 mos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobb angle</td>
<td>18.4 ± 4.6</td>
<td>8.7 ± 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVSL-max</td>
<td>3.6 ± 1.2</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVSL-C7</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.8</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Final F/U = final follow-up

Fig. 2. An illustrative case is presented. A 17-year-old girl presented with low back and right leg pain and trunk shift for 3 months. Conservative treatments were ineffective. (A): x-ray showed scoliosis with a Cobb angle 15.8°; (C,E): lumbar disc herniation at L4/5 was found in MRI. FEID was performed after admission. (G): the nerve (blue arrow) was compressed by herniated nucleus pulposus (red arrow); (H): complete decompression of the nerve root is presented; (I): excised nucleus pulposus is shown; (B): postoperative x-ray showed that scoliosis was spontaneously corrected at 3 months; (D,F): at the final follow-up, MRI showed complete decompression of the L5 nerve root was achieved.
However, problems still arise due to the trauma of open surgery such as residual low back pain, iatrogenic instability, and epidural scarring (27). It is crucial to remove the appropriate amount of bone and ligament during the OD in order to access the disc while preserving the spinal stability (14). Compared to the traditional OD and MED treatments, PELD is capable of reducing intraoperative bleeding, postoperative drainage, and postoperative hospital stay, as well as the time spent in bed, thereby allowing earlier return to work (16,27-29).

Full-endoscopic lumbar discectomy has been widely adopted since the first introduction by Ruetten et al (25). It has unique advantages of minimizing trauma to the normal spinal structures, such as the ligament flavum, muscle, lamina, and facet joint, and preventing iatrogenic instability, as well as the formation of epidural scar tissue (17,19). Studies have proven the epidural scar to be related to postoperative back pain, and it also increases the risk of dural sac and nerve injuries in the revision surgery (17,19).

FEID has been recommended to be a safe and effective treatment for ALDH and therefore, it is considered as the first option to treat ALDH (21). In this study, FEID was performed in all cases. VAS and ODI scores decreased significantly, and the overall good-to-excellent rate was 92.9%, which is similar to previous reports (10,12,21). Notably, no significant difference was observed between the scoliosis and non-scoliosis group. The existence of scoliosis does not affect the clinical outcome, consistent with a previous study that shows a weak correlation between the amount of trunk list and the low back pain/disability index (30).

Complications following PELD have been reported 4.0% in ALDH (12), while the whole incident rate is 7.1% in this study. Dual tears and transient dysesthesia are the most common complications that have been reported. The incident rate of dural tears varies from 0.4% to 10.4% (15-20), which is comparable to the value obtained during this study. Dural tears generally result from the lack of a 3-dimensional view of the operative field (31). Ruetten et al (27) reported a 3.8% incidence rate of transient dysesthesia after FEID for LDH, which may be related to the inevitable intraoperative retraction of nerves in the small operating space.

Recurrence of LDH has been found to be high for men, cigarette smokers, and patients who have traumatic events, “incompetent” annulus fibrosus, high BMI, diabetes, and occupational heavy weight lifting as summarized (23). Besides, the herniated level and type may affect the recurrence (32). In fact, the etiology of recurrence after successful discectomy remains unclear. Surgically undesired disc fragment remnants and incomplete decompression by piecemeal removal may lead to a higher early recurrence (33). On the contrary, minimized size of annular defect using the annular sealing technique may help decrease the recurrent rate (34). As noted, the recurrence rate of FEID was 3.3–5.7% for adults (25,35,36), while no recurrence was reported in a 19.7 month follow-up for ALDH (21). In the present study, the overall recurrent rate was 4.8%.

The effect of sciatic scoliosis with regards to the risk of LDH recurrence following FEID has not been identified. Previous studies suggest that scoliosis may increase the risk of recurrence of LDH after MED (23). In this study, recurrences were detected in one case (6.7%) in the scoliosis group and 2 cases (3.7%) in the non-scoliosis group, with no significant difference (P > 0.05). It is seemed to be in contrary to the previous study by Chang et al (23). However, they did not clarify the type of scoliosis and ignored the herniated level and type in the baseline data. In addition, sciatic scoliosis is non-structural and its effects on lumbar biomechanics are limited. Similar to our radiological results, this reactive scoliosis would usually ameliorate once the herniation is resolved (6,7).

In a previous study, after OD, sciatic scoliosis completely disappeared for 45% (18/40) of the patients by a mean time interval of 7.5 months (6) and for 69% (31/45) of the patients by 7 days in average (7). Similarly, trunk list was reversible for more than 50% of patients within 6 months after PELD (22). However, previous studies involved a wide age-ranged population including children and adults, and some cases had a Cobb angle less than 10°. In terms of a Cobb angle more than 10°, Zhu (24) reported that the mean Cobb angle of the lumbosacral curve was 19.5° at presentation, but decreased to 8.5° immediately after surgery, and 2 patients still had a residual lumbosacral curve greater than 20°. Therefore, earlier discectomy and adjunct postsurgical conservative measures can provide a greater opportunity for correction and stabilization of scoliotic posture (24). The true prognosis of sciotic scoliosis in ALDH after FEID has not been investigated. In the present study, the criteria of scoliosis include the Cobb’s angle ≥ 10°. All of the patients have a curve improvement postoperatively. The initial Cobb angle is 18.4° in average, and it decreases to 8.7° at 3 months postoperatively and to 2.1° at the final follow-up.
Several limitations exist in this study. Firstly, this study is a retrospective design and the size of the cases was relatively small. Secondly, it was a short-term follow-up, and a long-term follow-up may be preferred. However, satisfied outcomes have been achieved and no significant effect of sciatic scoliosis on complications, recurrences, and clinical outcome measures have been found.

**Conclusion**

The application of FEID in the treatment of ALDH successfully achieved satisfactory clinical and radiological outcomes. Low back and leg pain were relieved, and functional disability was improved. Additionally, sciatic scoliosis was corrected spontaneously without increasing the risk of complication and recurrence. FEID offers an alternative for treating ALDH with sciatic scoliosis.
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