Letter to the Editor

Re:Full-Endoscopic Procedures Versus Traditional Discectomy Surgery for Discectomy: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Current Global Clinical Trials

TO THE EDITOR:

It is with great interest that we read the article by Li et al, "Full-Endoscopic Procedures Versus Traditional Discectomy Surgery for Discectomy: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Current Global Clinical Trials", published in the 2016 March issue of Pain Physician (1).

The aim of this systematic review and meta-analysis was to compare the safety and efficacy between full-endoscopic (FE) and traditional discectomy surgery (TDS). However, the authors did not give the definition of TDS. They excluded FE from conventional minimally invasive techniques, and mentioned 3 main techniques performing discectomy, including conventional open surgery, conventional minimally invasive surgery and FE surgery. It was first elaborated that there are shortages of conventional open discectomy and indicated that the current systematic reviews have compared minimally invasive discectomy, limited to tubular or microendoscopic surgery or other surgical procedures, to conventional open discectomy. Was the definition of TDS equal to traditional open discectomy, in which the discectomy was performed via a standard surgical incision after a laminectomy or hemilaminotomy without microsurgical technique?

As the relative comparative study for FE discectomy was sporadic, the authors only included 6 studies to perform meta-analysis. However, all of them were performed to compare the outcome between full-endoscopic discectomy and conventional microsurgical technique (2-7). This systematic review and meta-anal-

2.

ysis was compared the clinical outcomes, perioperative parameters, and complications for FE discectomy versus microsurgical discectomy, rather than conventional open discectomy. For the authenticity of conclusion, we really think it is necessary to give the definition of TDS.

Jun-Song Yang, MD Department of Spinal Surgery Hong-Hui Hospital Xi'an Jiaotong University Xi'an, 710054, China

Yong-Sheng Zeng, MD Department of Orthopaedics The 4th People's Hospital of Chongqing Chongqing, 400014, China

Tuan-Jiang Liu, MD Department of Spinal Surgery Hong-Hui Hospital Xi'an Jiaotong University Xi'an, 710054, China

Ding-Jun Hao, MD Department of Spinal Surgery Hong-Hui Hospital Xi'an Jiaotong University Xi'an, 710054, China E-mail: dingjun.hao@qq.com

References

 Li XC, Zhong CF, Deng GB, Liang RW, Huang CM. Full-endoscopic procedures versus traditional discectomy surgery for discectomy: A systematic review and meta-analysis of current global clinical trials. *Pain Physician* 2016; 19:103-118. Ruetten S, Komp M, Merk H, Godolias G. Recurrent lumbar disc herniation after conventional discectomy: A prospective, randomized study comparing fullendoscopic interlaminar and transforaminal versus microsurgical revision. J Spinal Disord Tech 2009; 22:122-129.

- Ruetten S, Komp M, Merk H, Godolias G. Full-endoscopic anterior decompression versus conventional anterior decompression and fusion in cervical disc herniations. *Int Orthop* 2009; 33:1677-1682.
- Ruetten S, Komp M, Merk H, Godolias G. Full-endoscopic interlaminar and transforaminal lumbar discectomy versus conventional microsurgical technique: A prospective, randomized, con-

trolled study. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 2008; 33:931-939.

- Ruetten S, Komp M, Merk H, Godolias G. Full-endoscopic cervical posterior foraminotomy for the operation of lateral disc herniations using 5.9-mm endoscopes: A prospective, randomized, controlled study. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2008; 33:940-948.
- Lee DY, Shim CS, Ahn Y, Choi YG, Kim HJ, Lee SH. Comparison of percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy and

open lumbar microdiscectomy for recurrent disc herniation. J Korean Neuro Surg Soc 2009; 46:515-521.

Wang B, Lu GH, Li J, Kang YJ, Deng YW, Liu WD, Hu JR, Li L, Chen F, Chen WH, Kuang L, Wang XB. [Contrast study of full-endoscopic interlaminar approach for the surgical treatment of lumbar disc herniation]. Zhonghua Wai Ke Za Zhi 2011; 49:74-78.