Cervical and thoracic radiculopathies are among the most common causes of neck pain. Outcome studies of conservative treatments have shown varying results and have not been well controlled or systematic. Although there has not yet been a nonsurgical interventional procedure developed with the therapeutic efficacy of open surgery, conservative procedures can offer substantial benefits, are less invasive, and avoid surgical complications. While more invasive procedures may be appropriate when conservative treatment fails, prospective studies evaluating cervical and thoracic radiculopathy treatment options would help guide practitioners toward optimally cost-effective patient evaluation and care.
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Spinal neck and low back pain have a lifetime prevalence of 65% to 80% (1-3). Linton et al (3) have shown a 44% prevalence of neck pain in the general population. The prevalence of neck pain is the same, or higher, than low back pain (4, 5). One of the common causes of neck pain is cervical and thoracic radiculopathy, a pathologic process involving the nerve root. Radiculopathy typically originates from mechanical root compression due to degenerative spine changes such as disc herniation and spondylosis (6). Less common causes include tumor, trauma-induced root avulsion, viral inflammation or connective tissue disease (7). A thorough history, physical examination, and testing, which includes electrodiagnostic and imaging studies may distinguish radiculopathy from other pain sources, such as soft tissue pathology.

Thoracic radiculopathies may be confused clinically with intra-abdominal disorders. Symptomatic thoracic disc herniations account for < 1% of all symptomatic disc herniations. As a result, thoracic radiculopathy has been infrequently reported and described as uncommon (8, 9). In contrast with lumbar radiculopathy, for which natural history and outcome are well-understood (10), the outcome of patients with cervical or thoracic radiculopathy is unknown. Study results vary substantially. While some studies demonstrate that two-thirds of patients undergoing conservative treatment experience persistent pain (11, 12), a multicenter physiotherapy trial showed that 92% of 493 patients undergoing cervical traction received pain relief (13).

Surgery, typically involving laminectomy and microdiscectomy, has been shown to have excellent clinical outcomes in patients with disc extrusion and neurological deficits. However, patients with disc herniation < 6 mm have fair or poor surgical outcomes (14). In addition, conventional open disc surgery entails risks of general anesthesia, nerve damage, epidural fibrosis, chronic postoperative pain syndrome and adjacent spinal instability (15, 16).

The historical background and reliability of non-surgical interventional therapeutic methods for cervical and thoracic radiculopathy are discussed in the following sections.

Cervical Radiculopathy

Classic symptoms of cervical radiculopathy include pain and tingling in the neck and/or upper extremity, numbness, and eventually weakness. The most common cause is degenerative spine disease (e.g., disc herniation and spondylosis) affording neuroforamen narrowing and radicular compression. In an epidemiological survey of cervical radiculopathy, 68.4% of cases involved spondylosis, disc protrusion or both. Disc protrusion was confirmed in 21.9% of patients. Monoradiculopathy involving the C7 nerve root is most frequent, followed by C6, C8, and C5, in descending order. Neurological findings can include weakness on manual muscle testing, decreased sensation in dermatomal distributions, and diminished or absent muscle stretch. Spurling’s test (18), involving lateral neck bending and extension while applying pressure to the spine, may be utilized. While Spurling’s and similar tests are not particularly sensitive, they are specific for cervical radiculopathy diagnosed by electromyography (EMG) (19). Wainner et al (20) found that upper limb tension testing was useful for ruling out cervical radiculopathy.

Thoracic Radiculopathy

Thoracic radiculopathy patients commonly present with “band-like” chest pain (21). Lower limb pain may also be presented. Weakness is relatively uncommon. Findings of abdominal wall bulging, simulating hernia due to weakness of the abdominal wall muscle, may suggest thoracic radiculopathy (22). Vague and poorly localized abdominal “visceral” pain is a common
presenting symptom in mid and low thoracic radiculopathy. Pain is frequently associated with marked weight loss, but carries a good prognosis for recovery (23). The most common cause of thoracic radiculopathy is diabetes mellitus. Less common causes of thoracic radiculopathy include scoliosis, metastatic tumor, inflammation induced by herpes zoster, disc herniation and tuberculosis (24-26).

A T1 radiculopathy requires greater attention due to the similarity of clinical findings to C8 radiculopathy (27). Several characteristics such as diminished sensation in the axilla, motor deficit involving only the intrinsic muscles of the hand, and Horner’s syndrome may distinguish T1 radiculopathy (28).

DIAGNOSIS

Electrodiagnosis

Cervical Radiculopathy. Electrodiagnosis may distinguish cervical radiculopathy from other etiologies of neck and shoulder pain (29, 30). Needle EMG is putatively the most sensitive and specific component of the electrodiagnostic examination for axonal loss radiculopathy (31). Axonal loss also can be detected as abnormal spontaneous activity in the involved musculature, when the nerve lesion is severe enough (32).

There are limitations to the needle study, Abnormalities occur when injury produces motor axon loss and/or conduction block. Lesion level is determined by abnormal findings in a specific myotomal pattern. It is important to examine different peripheral nerve innervated muscles within the same myotome. Involvement of both proximal and distal muscles may differentiate radiculopathy and peripheral polyneuropathy.

Paraspinal EMG may differentiate radiculopathy andplexopathy (33) since the paraspinals are supplied by the dorsal primary rami proximal to the dorsal root ganglion. Care should be taken to study the highly specific deep paraspinal layers (34). Dillingham et al (35) recommended including paraspinals in cervical screening. Abnormal findings may facilitate radiculopathy detection. Nerve conduction studies, H- and F-responses, and evoked potential studies may help differentiate radiculopathy from other neuromuscular problems.

Thoracic Radiculopathy. Intercostal nerve conduction study can be used for evaluating thoracic nerves, but the risk of pneumothorax (8.8%) has limited popular use of the technique (36). The muscles for evaluating thoracic nerve root involvement are paraspinal, intercostal, and abdominal muscles. Electrophysiologic examination in patients with putative thoracic radiculopathy is difficult and problematic. Wide exploration of several levels above and below the suspected level should be performed bilaterally.

Electrophysiologic and clinical findings should be carefully assessed in patients with diabetes mellitus due to frequent non-symptomatic spontaneous activity in multilevel paraspinals. Care should be taken to optimize muscle relaxation. Vague findings obtained without relaxation should be excluded from the final diagnosis. Attention to active muscle insertion activity during needle examination at intercostal or abdominal muscles is required to avoid inadvertent pleural or peritoneal puncture.

Imaging Studies

Myelography. Although myelography was considered the gold standard for evaluating neural axis compression, computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance image (MRI) have superseded this technique. Myelography is indicated when MRI is not possible, e.g., in patients with certain ferromagnetic implants.

Magnetic Resonance Image and Computed Tomography. Studies using MRI and CT scanning can be considered precise when signs and symptoms are radicular and pain originates from a compressed nerve root. In the absence of objective neurological deficits or electrodiagnostic findings, the correlation of MRI findings and symptoms is imprecise.

TREATMENT

Outcome studies of conservative treatment for cervical and thoracic radiculopathies have shown various results (11-13). Referral center-based studies have shown persistent pain and incapacity in two-thirds of patients treated conservatively (11, 12). When legitimate incapacitating symptoms continue despite conservative treatment attempts, more invasive spinal procedures and intradiscal treatment may be appropriate.

Epidural Steroid Injection

Radicular pain results from mechanical compression and/or chemical irritation of a nerve root. Inflammation may be a key factor, even in the presence of mechanical compression. To reduce inflammation, therapeutic epidural steroid injections (ESIs) may be utilized. Injections typically include antiinflammatory agents such as corticosteroids, which reduce the rate of prostaglandin synthesis via phospholipase A2 inhibition (38). Corticosteroids may also impair cell-mediated and immunologic responses (39, 40), block nociceptive C-fiber conduction (41), reduce ectopic discharges from pathologic nerve roots, and block the vascular response of vasovagal to inflammatory agents (42).

Cervical Epidural Steroid Injections. Cervical ESIs have been used to treat neck, shoulder and upper limb pain resulting from radiculopathy (43). To our knowledge, no controlled, blinded cervical ESI study including a placebo group or an accounting of associated treatments or therapies has been published. Clinical trials demonstrate variable results. Rowlinson and Kirshenbaum (44) reported 64% efficacy in a retrospective analysis of 25 patients receiving cervical ESIs. Sixteen patients described >75% improvement. Six patients (24%) reported complete resolution of presenting symptoms. Shulman (45) reported 76% efficacy in 96 patients when successful outcome was defined as ≥50% pain relief.

Ferrant et al (46) studied cervical ESI outcomes in 235 patients with neck pain. At least 50% pain relief was obtained in 40% of patients. The best outcomes occurred in patients with true radiculopathy, including appropriate symptoms, and patients with radicular symptoms associated only with a correlate structural abnormality. Approximately 62% of patients with true radiculopathy described >50% pain relief.

Bush and Hillier (47) studied clinical outcomes in 68 patients with cervical radiculopathy managed by serial periradicular/epidural corticosteroid injection. Data were gathered via telephone interview an average of 39 months after initial presentation (range: 4-112 months). Although all 68 patients were potential surgical candidates, 100% recovered satisfactorily without requiring surgical intervention. Forty-eight patients (76%) did not experience any arm pain following treatment.

Thoracic Epidural Steroid Injec-
tion. Thoracic epidural block for anesthesia has been widely used for thoracotomy, cardiac surgery, management of cancer pain and spinal cord stimulation. (48-50) Thoracic ESI have been shown to provide good results for neurogenic pain caused by herpes zoster, trauma or diabetic neuropathy (51, 52).

Since thoracic radiculopathy has been infrequently reported and described as uncommon, there is no in-depth study reporting outcome or efficacy available in the National Library of Medicine PubMed database.

Percutaneous Disc Decompression

Minimally invasive techniques providing percutaneous access to pain-generating discs have been developed to treat radiculopathy induced by disc herniation. Chemonucleolysis, percutaneous nucleotomy, intradiscal laser discectomy, and Nucleoplasty incorporate this approach. These techniques have been utilized for treating radiculopathy via partial removal of the nucleus pulposus to reduce intradiscal pressure. Partial removal of the nucleus pulposus has been shown to decompress herniated discs, reducing pressure on nerve roots and affording relief from discogenic pain in some cases (53-55).

Chemonucleolysis. Chemonucleolysis was the first percutaneous intradiscal therapy. Injection of chymopapain affords enzymatic dissolution of the nucleus pulposus via the hydrolysis of proteoglycans (56). This procedure predominantly relieves radicular pain rather than neck or upper back pain. Proper patient selection is paramount. Classic indications are symptomatic disc displacement demonstrated by MRI, CT, and/or myelography and absence of other major causes of symptoms.

Over the 20 years following its introduction, the efficacy of chymopapain was examined in clinical trials. Hoogland and Scheckenbach (57) reported on a series of patients with cervical disc herniation and radicular pain treated by low-dose chemonucleolysis combined with automated percutaneous nucleotomy. At follow-up of at least 1 year, 19 of the first 22 patients showed good or excellent results. There were no intra- or postoperative complications in approximately 100 patients. Though rare, fatal complications such as anaphylaxis, transverse myelitis, cartilaginous endplate damage and hemorrhage were reported (56, 58, 59). Anaphylactic immunologic reaction induced by chymopapain protein antigens and neurotoxicity were suggested as the main causes of adverse effects (60, 61).

Although less allergenic enzymes have been developed (e.g., chondroitinase ABC), these agents are restricted to animal studies (62, 63) or there are insufficient data to support clinical use (64). Despite unproven safety, some physicians continue to state that chemonucleolysis is effective and economical given appropriate patient selection and proper surgical technique (58).

Percutaneous Nucleotomy. Percutaneous discectomy techniques utilizing manual nucleotomy through a cannula have been in use since the 1970s (15, 53, 65). Specialized forceps and curettes introduced by Hjikita et al (66) in 1975, permitted disc removal through a cannula placed percutaneously on the posterolateral aspect of the annulus. Some development in equipment continued, and published studies demonstrated safety and efficacy.

Bonaldi et al (67) reported successful use of nucleotome for percutaneous disc decompression in 15 patients with cervical herniated nucleus pulposus who refused or were ineligible for open surgery. A safe percutaneous approach to cervical discs useful for biopsy and treating patients at high risk for general anesthesia was suggested. Kootiainen (68) reported outcomes for three patients with cervical disc herniation treated with manual percutaneous nucleotomy. This technique has not been widely accepted due to several factors, including high complication rate, technical difficulty, and large cannula size (54).

Intradiscal Laser Discectomy. Intradiscal laser discectomy employs laser energy to vaporize part of the nucleus volume. Consequent debulking of the disc space reduces discal pressure and permits the regression of disc protrusion. Laser intradiscal procedures were introduced in the 1980s (69). Various laser types have been evaluated for effectiveness, safety and ease of use (55, 70). Common lasers for disc decompression include potassium-titanyl-phosphate (KTP), neodymiumyttrium-aluminumgarnet (Nd:YAG), and holmium:YAG (Ho:YAG). Laser choice depends on the ability to deliver energy through a fiberoptic system, tissue absorption/ablation properties, and the amount of thermal generation and spread. Although various complications from thermal damage are possible, these may be avoided when the procedure is correctly performed (71).

Laser discectomy yields variable success rates (70, 72, 73). Siebert (74) reported a preliminary experience of laser discectomy in 31 patients with herniated cervical disc, and suggested that treatment of cervical discs is a viable therapy. Chiu et al (75) demonstrated that percutaneous microdecompressive endoscopic cervical discectomy with laser thermodiscoplasty is safe and effective for the treatment of cervical disc herniation. A 94.5% success rate was obtained in 200 patients carefully selected thorough diagnostic MRI, CT, and electromyography correlated with signs and symptoms.

Disadvantages of percutaneous laser nucleolysis include the relative expense of the procedure and inadequate temperature control causing nerve root, vertebral body and endplate damage (76-78). As a result, laser discectomy currently has a limited role in the management of patients with neck pain and radiculopathy. New developments in lasers and endoscopy which improve the view field may facilitate use of this technique for percutaneous disc decompression and the treatment of more severe herniated discs.

Nucleoplasty. Nucleoplasty was approved by the Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of contained herniated discs in June 2001, and builds on the earlier percutaneous intradiscal treatment concepts of chemonucleolysis, nucleotomy and radiofrequency ablation. During conventional electrosurgery local tissue temperatures can exceed 400°C, with rapid tissue heating, significant collateral tissue damage and deep thermal penetration. In contrast, Nucleoplasty utilizes coblation technology, a non-heat-driven process. Bipolar radiofrequency energy is applied to a conductive medium (e.g., saline), permitting tissue removal with minimal collateral thermal damage.

In the lumbar spine, authors have recently found a modest temperature increase in adjacent neurovascular structures (e.g., nerve root and vasculature) at distances >5 mm when coblation was performed at the disc center. Elemen-
Nucleoplasty is designed to treat patients with ex- cessive large volume removal may cause rapid contraction of intervertebral disc volume. As in nucleoto-
my, poor results may be obtained when a large nucleus volume is removed (85). In addition to mechanical factors, intradiscal thermal effects may play a role in Nucleoplasty. Collagen remodeling and thickening, thremocoagulation of abun-
dant nociceptors (e.g., small, unmyelinated fibers) and sensitized mechanorecep-
tors within symptomatic discs may be in-
volved (86, 87).

Despite insufficient clinical safety data, strict patient selection seems likely to increase success rates. Selection criteria may include: normal psychometric test-
ing, adequate disc height (> 50% of nor-
mal), contained disc herniation < 6 mm, and radicular symptoms.

Clinical experience with this new technology in a larger patient popula-
tion and over longer follow-up peri-
s is needed. Based upon initial data, Nucleoplasty appears to be a promis-
ing treatment for contained disc herni-
ations < 6 mm with and without radiculopathy. Nucleoplasty may be a poten-
tial alternative to other minimally
invasive percutaneous disc decompression procedures.

**CONCLUSION**

Although there has not yet been a non-surgical interventional procedure developed with the superior therapeutic efficacy of open surgery for incapacitating neck or upper back pain, these procedures are less invasive and avoid the complications of open surgery. While more invasive procedures may be appropriate when conservative treat-
ment fails, prospective studies evaluating cervical & thoracic radiculopathy treatment options would help guide practitioners toward optimally cost-
effective patient evaluation and care. New appliances and techniques to treat radiculopathic pain continue to evolve and numerous controlled studies are under way. Although these procedures have limitations, careful patient selection and proper technique may substantially increase therapeutic effect.
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