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Since the description of electrical stimulation of nerve trunks as a means of providing pain relief, innovative peripheral nerve and nerve root stimulations have been developed. An extra-foraminal technique of electrical stimulation of cervical nerve roots resulting in reduction of upper extremity pain respond better to SCS than those with predominately axial pain (13, 14). Spinal cord stimulation is not indicated for the treatment of individuals who suffer only from neck or back pain.

Spinal cord stimulation provides electrical current to the dorsal columns, which leads to paresthesias of the affected limb and subsequent pain reduction. Unfortunately, SCS is not always successful in treating the painful disorder due to an inability to capture the area of pain. In addition, SCS has been ineffective in treating axial related pain. The recent development of peripheral nerve and nerve root stimulation techniques using SCS technology have been successful in treating some painful axial disorders and radicular pain that has failed to respond to SCS (10, 15, 16).

In 1959, Althus described electrical stimulation of nerve trunks as a means of providing pain relief (17). Innovative peripheral nerve and nerve root stimulation techniques have been developed since that time for the treatment of painful disorders and other conditions not amenable or responsive to SCS. These techniques have provided relief for occipital headaches, pelvic pain, radicular pain, interstitial cystitis, trigeminal and postherpetic neuralgias, urinary urge incontinence, urinary retention and bladder detrusor dysfunction (7-10, 15, 16, 18-23).

Peripheral nerve root stimulation has been used clinically for the treatment of pelvic pain, lumbar radiculopathy and several bladder disorders. Retrograde (craniocaudal) SCS electrode placement along lumbar and sacral nerve roots has been effective in the treatment of lumbar radiculopathy, pelvic pain, urge incontinence and bladder detrusor dysfunction (15, 18-20). Anterograde placement of SCS electrodes through the S2 foramen has been the primary stimulation method used for the treatment of non-obstructive urinary retention, urge incontinence and bladder detrusor dysfunction (21-23).

A trans-spinal approach in cadavers has been described for stimulation of cervical nerve roots (24). Electrodes were placed along the C5 through T2 nerve roots by advancing SCS leads laterally from the contralateral side of the cervical spine across the posterior epidural space into the cervical foramen. For C3 and C4 nerve roots a similar approach was used with a cephalocaudal approach to the foramen as opposed to the lateral method used for the lower cervical nerve roots in order to avoid the occiput, vertebral artery and cervical plexus.

This report describes an extra-spinal, extra-foraminal nerve root stimulation (EFNRS) technique for the treatment of chronic cervical radicular pain. The stimulation of the cervical nerve roots provided paresthesias in the area of pain with a reduction in pain intensity. The patient tolerated the stimulation without complications or side effects.
DESCRIPTION OF TECHNIQUE

Background Information
A 59-year old man with a chief complaint of numbness and burning in the left upper extremity was seen in consultation for pain management. The patient was involved in a motor vehicle accident four years ago sustaining a cervical spine hyperextension. He failed conservative treatment including medications and physical therapy. A cervical spine MRI revealed intervertebral disc herniations at the C4/5 and C5/6 levels with underlying central spinal stenosis. He subsequently underwent a cervical fusion at the C4/5 and C5/6 levels without relief of his extremity symptoms. Post surgery he received a cervical epidural and a left C7 selective nerve root injection with temporary relief.

At the time of the consultation he complained of burning pain present in the left forearm extending into the 2nd and 3rd digits of his left hand. The pain was described as constant with an intensity of 6-7 on a numerical scale of 10. There was also associated numbness in the same distribution of his pain. He was taking narcotic analgesics along with adjuvant neuropathic agents for pain control with little relief of his symptoms. The patient underwent a cervical sympathetic block after the initial consultation with temporary relief of his symptoms lasting several days. Spinal cord stimulation was discussed with the patient at the follow up visit and he consented to an SCS trial.

An attempt was made to place a SCS lead into the posterior epidural space, but the trial was unsuccessful. The lead could not be advanced cephalad beyond the C6 vertebral level due to the spinal stenosis. An extra-foraminal technique was employed with electrical stimulation at the left C6 and subsequently the left C7 nerve roots providing paresthesias in their respective areas of innervation. Stimulation of the left C7 nerve root provided the patient with coverage and relief of his symptoms and the lead remained within the C6/7 foramen for the trial. The patient had 50% relief of his symptoms during the trial period but elected not to undergo percutaneous implantation. He was not interested in considering lead implantation using an open surgical technique.

Technique for EFNRS
The patient was placed in a supine position on the procedure table after informed and signed consent. The cervical, supraclavicular and chest areas were prepped and draped in the typical sterile fashion. Intravenous conscious sedation was induced prior to starting the procedure.

The cervical foramina were identified using a left anterolateral approach under fluoroscopic visualization. A modified 14 gauge epidural (Touhy®) needle was used to inject local anesthetic and test for appropriate localization. Using fluoroscopic visualization, a modified Touhy® needle was advanced into the evert zone of the C6/7 cervical foramen. A 30 cm SCS lead was advanced to the needle tip and confirmed using fluoroscopic visualization. The needle was removed and the SCS lead was left in place until additional confirmation was obtained with the patient. The patient was then taken to the recovery area and the SCS lead was connected to a stimulator to evaluate for appropriate coverage of the pain distribution. A stimulation threshold of 1 mA was determined to be adequate for coverage of the patient’s symptoms. The patient was observed for several days and his symptoms were relieved. The implant was then removed and the patient was discharged with temporary relief of symptoms.
needle was advanced into the posterolateral aspect of the exit zone within the foramen, parallel to the nerve roots (Fig 1). Care was taken to avoid contact with the exiting nerve root and vertebral artery. A four electrode SCS lead (Quadtrode®, Advanced Neuromodulation Systems, Inc, Plano, TX) was then passed through the needle and advanced to the needle tip (Fig 2). The needle was then removed leaving the electrode in place within the foramen, posterior and parallel to the nerve root (Fig 3). This method was used in sequence for placing the SCS lead within the left C5/6 and C6/7 foramina to stimulate the left C6 and C7 nerve roots respectively. Electrical stimulation of the C7 nerve root provided the best paresthesia and most relief of his symptoms in the distal left upper extremity. The lead was then secured to the skin for the trial using steri-strips and sterile clear bioadhesive.

**DISCUSSION**

Nerve root stimulation techniques have been described for lumbar and sacral nerve root stimulation using retrograde and anterograde techniques for the treatment of lumbosacral radiculopathy and pelvic pain (15, 16). An S2 transforaminal technique has been developed for the treatment of bladder disorders (21-23). Trans-spinal techniques for electrode lead placement along cervical nerve roots have been described in cadavers (24). The extra-foraminal technique described herein represents an alternative approach for nerve root stimulation in the treatment of cervical radiculopathy.

Conditions that may preclude a cervical epidural trial include previous spinal surgery, central canal stenosis or other spinal anomalies. The EFNRS technique may be an option, when an epidural approach is not possible, to trial an individual with upper extremity radicular pain prior to making a clinical decision regarding an open surgical SCS implantation. The EFNRS technique may even provide an alternative stimulation technique for treating cervical radiculopathy that does not respond to spinal cord stimulation as has been previously demonstrated with retrograde and anterograde nerve root stimulation methods in the treatment of lumbosacral pain.

Potential risks associated with this procedure include injury to the spinal cord, cervical nerve root, vertebral artery, spinal accessory nerve or carotid artery during needle placement. Pneumothorax is another potential complication when attempting EFNRS for C8 or T1 nerve root stimulation. A relative contraindication for this procedure may be foraminal stenosis, which might increase the risk for nerve root or vertebral artery injury. Obese patients or those with relatively “short” necks may also pose a relative contraindication, with a potential increased risk for the above complications.

These potential risks and relative contraindications described herein may be reduced with the use of introducer needles and electrodes that are smaller in diameter.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Extra-foraminal nerve root stimulation (EFNRS) is a percutaneous technique for electrode lead placement to stimulate cervical nerve roots for the treatment of upper extremity radicular pain. This technique may be an alternative electrical stimulation trial method for chronic cervical radiculopathy and other upper extremity pain syndromes, when a percutaneous epidural approach is not possible. Further investigation of this technique is warranted regarding the efficacy of this procedure and its possible use as an alternative implantation technique.
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