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The rarity of published bleeding complications with respect to the practice of interventional pain medicine suggests two possibilities: techniques are being performed in a manner to minimize bleeding or the process of hemostasis is very forgiving. Hence, bleeding complications may increase if techniques are not performed with due skill or if the process of hemostasis is impaired. Interventional pain physicians may be well acquainted with the technical aspects of procedures, but the degree of their expertise in the field of coagulation is unclear.

This monograph will review coagulation physiology, coagulation pathophysiology, common anticoagulants, and minor and major bleeding complications associated with interventional pain and regional anesthetic procedures.

This manuscript will present a tool to help stratify the risk of bleeding with specific techniques and specific hemostatic abnormalities. The Overall Risk of Significant Bleeding score may help interventional pain practitioners in their individualized assessment of bleeding risk. If used collectively, this tool may help improve patient safety and data collection, with respect to bleeding complications.
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All interventional pain procedures carry an inherent risk of major and minor bleeding, but this risk is unknown. Literature on this subject, with the exception of neuraxial techniques, is sparse. Several reasons account for this: 1. Major bleeding episodes are so rare that no study has sufficient statistical power to assess this risk; 2. Reliance on published reports or post-marketing surveillance data may underreport major bleeding episodes; 3. A selection bias may be present in that patients with an elevated bleeding risk may be offered noninvasive pain management strategies; 4. The denominator, i.e., number of specific procedures performed, is unknown.

Interventional pain physicians will have to assess bleeding risk based on their own clinical experience and appraisal of available literature. The adoption of guidelines, which apply to neuraxial techniques (1) and other clinical scenarios (2), may or may not be relevant to interventional pain management.

In fact, guidelines published by several European and American anesthesiology societies (3–5) only define the risk of significant bleeding, spinal hematomas, for neuraxial procedures in the presence of anticoagulation. The recently updated American Society of Regional Anesthesia guidelines only briefly address the risk of significant bleeding following a few, select non-neuraxial blocks (5). Guidelines for assessing bleeding risk with most interventional pain procedures do not exist. However, the creation of such guidelines is a challenge for several reasons: 1. Interventional pain procedures are heterogeneous, in terms of procedure-related technical complexity and bleeding risk; 2. the practice of interventional pain management is the purview of a broad range of practitioners, with a wide specialty and skill base, unlike the practice of neuraxial anesthesia; and 3. there are very few reports of bleeding complications with non-neuraxial procedures. Hence, advice about minimizing bleeding risk has to be provided without sufficient information.

Even if guidelines did exist, concerns about malpractice and medico-legal disputes might influence one’s clinical judgment. In an analysis of the American Society of Anesthesiology (ASA) Closed Claims database, Kroll et al (6), noted that the mechanism of nerve injury following an anesthetic was rarely discussed or explored with any methodological rigor. Payments, nonetheless, were made. An updated evaluation of the ASA Closed Claims database was conducted in 1999 (7). The proportion of claims for nerve damage remained constant. However, spinal cord injury secondary to regional anesthetic and interventional pain management techniques became the leading cause of these claims. Payments were often made despite the practice of standard of care (7). This suggests that lay perceptions about causality in nerve injury play a more important role than actual facts. Practitioners are apprehensive about these issues.

The use of percutaneous interventions for the diagnosis and treatment of chronic pain conditions is gaining wider public acceptance. There is a growing body of evidence supporting the utility of these procedures (8). As the population ages, the prevalence of disorders requiring anticoagulant therapy and interventional pain procedures will increase. The risks of bleeding must be weighed against the benefits of the procedure.

Bleeding risks may outweigh the concerns about thromboembolism and practitioners may stop anticoagulation prior to a procedure (9). Ideally, there must be cooperation between the patient, the proceduralist, and the physician managing the anticoagulation. Ultimately, a decision to continue with or abandon a procedure must be made. Guidelines and reviews of relevant literature may help clinicians make appropriate decisions, improve uniformity in patient care, and perhaps reduce adverse outcomes.
In this article, we will review coagulation physiology, coagulation pathophysiology, and common anticoagulants. We will survey the literature concerning minor and major bleeding complications that are associated with specific interventional pain management and regional anesthesia procedures. Finally, we will present an approach to assessing the bleeding risk associated with these procedures. Herein lay the greatest difficulties. Bleeding risk assessment is arguably one of the most relevant issues to interventional pain practice, but the most difficult to carry out. Our approach stratifies this risk based on technique-specific and patient-specific factors.

**Physiology of Coagulation**

The delicate and complex process of hemostasis involves a series of mechanisms that serve to limit blood loss following vascular damage via clotting, while preserving the fluidity of blood at other times. A significant component of the blood clotting process is the thrombus. However, a thrombus may be formed when blood clotting occurs inappropriately within a major vessel and can lead to vascular occlusion (10). Under normal circumstances, equilibrium between clotting and bleeding is tightly regulated with the assistance of multiple activators, inhibitors, cofactors, and feedback loops, both positive and negative. Pathologies may disrupt that equilibrium, leading to either hemorrhagic or thrombotic complications. Accordingly, hemostasis disorders can be broadly classified into those that lead to abnormal bleeding and those that lead to abnormal clotting (10). Furthermore, these disorders can be characterized by specific abnormalities of platelets, clotting factors, coagulation inhibitors, or thrombolytic/fibrinolytic processes (11).

Hemostasis involves three linked processes that occur almost simultaneously. Primary hemostasis is the formation of a friable platelet plug. Coagulation or secondary hemostasis is the reinforcement of the friable platelet plug by the formation of a fibrin clot. Fibrinolysis or clot lysis removes thrombi and permits blood flow through recanalized vessels (11).

**Primary Hemostasis and Platelets**

Normal platelet actions, such as adhesion and activation, are central events in response to endothelial injury. Primary hemostasis is immediate and results in the formation of a friable platelet plug that temporarily arrests bleeding, until clot formation (coagulation) and repair can occur. Platelets (thrombocytes) are minute, round or oval discs that are one to four micrometers in diameter. They are formed in the bone marrow from megakaryocytes, which are extremely large hematopoietic cells located in the bone marrow; megakaryocytes fragment into minute platelets, within the bone marrow. Alternatively, megakaryocytes can fragment soon after entering the vascular system, especially as they try to squeeze through pulmonary capillaries (10).

Platelets have many of the functional characteristics of whole cells, but they do not have nuclei and they cannot reproduce. Their cytoplasm contains active components: 1. Contractile proteins that cause platelet contraction, such as actin, myosin, and thrombosethrin; 2. Remnants of the endoplasmic reticulum and the Golgi apparatus, in order to synthesize various enzymes and to store large quantities of calcium ions; 3. Mitochondria and enzyme systems that can form adenosine triphosphate and adenosine diphosphate (ADP); 4. Prostaglandin synthesis machinery, which then allows vascular and local tissue reactions; 5. Fibrin-stabilizing factor, and 6. A growth factor that causes vascular endothelial cells, vascular smooth muscle cells, and fibroblasts to multiply, grow in size, and thus, repair vascular wall injury (12).

The cell membranes of the platelets are important. A coat of glycoproteins repulses adherence to normal endothelium, yet permits adherence to injured areas of the vessel wall. In addition the platelet membrane contains large amounts of phospholipids that play several activating roles at multiple points in the blood-clotting process. Thus, the platelet is an active structure. Its half-life in the blood is 8-12 days and its functional processes run out over several weeks.

Despite the important role of platelets, primary hemostasis involves several other components and mechanisms. For example, endothelial cells display surface membrane proteins that resist thrombogenesis. However, when disrupted, a subendothelial layer is exposed: this layer contains a collagen matrix and smooth muscle cells that are highly thrombogenic. Proteins in the subendothelial tissue stimulate platelet adhesion and set the coagulation cascade in motion (12).

**Platelet Adhesion**

Platelets, due to their small size and the kinetics of blood flow, i.e., slower blood flow near the perimeter, preferentially circulate and align themselves along the walls of blood vessels (11). When the endothelium is denuded platelets will adhere to the subendothelial collagen layer via von Willebrand factor (vWF). VWF is a protein that is present in plasma and the subendothelial tissue matrix. The platelet glycoprotein 1b receptor (GP1b) binds to vWF. This interaction is integral to the process of “platelet adhesion” (11). An abnormality in the vWF:GP1b receptor-ligand complex may result in bleeding tendencies. For the platelet plug to develop further, platelet activation and aggregation must also occur. Changes in vascular blood flow can result in stasis and turbulence, both of which contribute to platelet aggregation and blood clotting (11).

**Platelet Activation**

Adhesion of platelets to subendothelial structures leads to “platelet activation”: 1. Platelet glycoprotein (Gp) fibrinogen receptors (GpIIb/IIIa) are expressed on the luminal side; 2. Platelets change shape from flattened discs to spheroids with numerous pseudopods; and 3. Platelets undergo a granule release reaction, wherein alpha granules and dense bodies are extruded and compounds, such as ADP, serotonin, clotting factors V and XIII, and other chemical mediators, are released. Collagen and thrombin are potent platelet activators, whereas ADP, epinephrine, prostaglandin endoperoxides, platelet activating factor (PAF), serotonin, and TXA2 are weaker activators. All of these mediators and processes are vital to primary hemostasis and the subsequent coagulation process (11).

Inactive platelets possess heterogeneous phospholipids and phosphatidyl serine concentrated on the inner leaflet of the cell membrane. Upon platelet activation, phosphatidyl serine flip-flops to the outer leaf and this rearrangement changes the surface charge of the platelet and thus results in procoagulant activity (12). The new procoagulant activity of the platelets is referred to as platelet factor-3 (PF3) activity. Clotting factors interact with the activated platelet surface membrane, form and deposit fibrin, and finally, reinforce the friable platelet plug (11).

Platelet activation is a carefully controlled process that is designed to produce...
an appropriate, but localized response to a damaged area. Activators, such as collagen and thrombin, cause membrane platelet phospholipids to undergo enzymatic changes. Arachidonic acid is converted to thromboxane A$_2$ (TXA$_2$) by cyclooxygenase and thromboxane synthase (Fig. 1). TXA$_2$ enhances ADP release: ADP is a powerful platelet activator and proaggregant, which actively recruits other platelets to the growing platelet mass/thrombus (11). Fibrinogen further stabilizes this mass by binding newly exposed platelet GpIIb/IIIa receptors in the presence of Ca$^{2+}$ (11).

Platelet aggregation can be inhibited by certain factors. Endothelial cells arrest platelet aggregation beyond the area of vascular injury through secretion of prostacyclin (PGI$_2$), a potent vasodilator and platelet activation inhibitor. Any imbalance in the production of the two prostaglandins, thromboxane (TXA$_2$) or prostacyclin (PGI$_2$), can lead to a defect in primary hemostasis or abnormal coagulation.

**Coagulation Cascade**

Clotting factors (Table 1) circulate in an inactive form, called a procoagulant molecule or proenzyme. During the process of coagulation, a portion of this protein molecule is cleaved off and the remaining protein becomes an active cleavage enzyme (serine protease). The "activated clotting factor," designated by an "a" after the Roman numeral clotting factor, cleaves off a portion of the next procoagulant clotting factor, which "activates" that factor in succession (Fig. 2). In a continuing cascade, one factor "activates" another, until soluble fibrinogen (factor I) is cleaved to form insoluble fibrin. Fibrinogen is a high-molecular-weight protein (MW-340,000) that occurs in the plasma in quantities of 100 to 700 mg/dl. Fibrinogen is formed in the liver, and liver disease occasionally decreases the concentration of circulating fibrinogen, as it does the concentration of prothrombin (11).

Fibrin is the "mortar" which cements the friable platelet plug. Fibrin first forms a soft gel rather than a solid clot. For structural strength, fibrin requires covalent cross-linking between fibrin monomers. This process is catalyzed by fibrin stabilizing factor (FSF), factor XIII$_a$ (11). The last reactions of the clotting cascade (Fig. 2), from factor X$_a$ to fibrin, are known as the "final common pathway." The activation of the inactive pro-

---

**Table 1. Clotting factors and their synonyms in the blood**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clotting Factor</th>
<th>Synonyms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fibrinogen</td>
<td>Factor I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prothrombin</td>
<td>Factor II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tissue Factor; Tissue Thromboplastin</td>
<td>Factor III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium</td>
<td>Factor IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proaccelerin; Labile Factor; Ac-globulin (Ac-G)</td>
<td>Factor V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum prothrombin conversion accelerator (SPCA); Proconvertin; Stable Factor</td>
<td>Factor VII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antihemophilic factor (AHF); Antihemophilic Globulin (AHG); Antihemophilic Factor A</td>
<td>Factor VIII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma Thromboplastin Component (PTC); Christmas Factor; Antihemophilic Factor B</td>
<td>Factor IX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stuart or Stuart-Prower factor</td>
<td>Factor X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma thromboplastin antecedent (PTA); Antihemophilic Factor C</td>
<td>Factor XI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hageman factor</td>
<td>Factor XII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrin-stabilizing factor</td>
<td>Factor XIII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Kallikrein</td>
<td>Fletcher Factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Molecular Weight Kininogen (HMWK)</td>
<td>Fitzgerald Factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2 The coagulation cascade.

**Intrinsic Pathway**

- Kallikrein
- Prekallikrein
- HMWK collagen

**Extrinsic Pathway**

- Tissue Injury
- Tissue Factor (Thromboplastin)

**Common Pathway**

- Thrombin (IIa)
- Cross-linked Fibrin
- Fibrinogen (I)

The only protease of the "extrinsic pathway" is factor VIIa, which can be activated by thrombin or factor Xa. However, factor VIIa only exhibits protease function in the presence of tissue factor (TF), or thromboplastin, a membrane glycoprotein in the subendothelial tissue. Tissue factor and factor VIIa come in contact only after vascular damage. Hence the name of the pathway since it must require a substance "extrinsic" to blood to proceed (11).

The intrinsic pathway is so named since in-vitro, it can be induced in the absence of any extrinsic tissue components: It requires factors "intrinsic" to blood. This pathway becomes activated only on contact with a negatively charged surface. This surface is provided by constituents of
the subendothelial tissue following vascular injury. Kallikrein, factor XIIa, and high molecular weight kininogen (HMWK) are the initial contact factors activated by the negatively charged surface and in turn, activate factor XI. Factor XIa activates factor IX. Activated factor IXa coupled with activated factor VIIIa binds to the phospholipid surface of the activated platelets (PF3) to form a reaction complex. The IXa-VIIIa PF3 reaction complex activates factor X to produce Xa and fibrin formation proceeds via a “final common pathway” (12).

Clearly, the intrinsic and extrinsic pathways of the coagulation cascade occur simultaneously, once vascular injury occurs. Tissue factor initiates the extrinsic pathway, whereas the interaction of Factor VII and platelets with collagen in the vascular wall initiates the intrinsic pathway. An especially important difference between the extrinsic and intrinsic pathways is that the extrinsic pathway can be explosive; once initiated, its speed of occurrence is limited only by the amount of tissue factor released from the traumatized tissues and by the quantities of Factors X, VII, and V in the blood. With severe tissue trauma, clotting can occur in as little as 15 seconds. The intrinsic pathway is much slower to proceed, usually requiring one to six minutes to cause clotting (11).

**Coagulation Control in the Normal Vascular System**

Coagulation regulation and clot formation must be restricted to the site of damage. Three types of inhibition exist by which extraneous thrombin, factor IIa is deactivated. The first depends on serine protease inhibitors, serpins, which complex with free clotting factors in the vascular and block their active sites. Antithrombin III, a member of the serpin family, inhibits numerous clotting factors, particularly factor Xa and thrombin. Heparin enhances the activity of antithrombin III and facilitates the formation of inactivated factor Xa and thrombin complexes (11). Heparin-antithrombin III complexes additionally remove several other activated coagulation factors: XIIa, XIa, and IXa.

The heparin molecule is a highly negatively charged conjugated polysaccharide. By itself, it has little or no anticoagulant property, but when it combines with antithrombin III, the effectiveness of antithrombin III in removing thrombin increases by a hundredfold to a thousandfold and thus it acts as an anticoagulant. Therefore, in the presence of excess heparin, the removal of free thrombin from the circulating blood by antithrombin III is almost instantaneous (11).

Heparin is a powerful anticoagulant, but this property is not clinically apparent due to ordinarily low blood levels under normal physiologic conditions. Parenterally-administered, exogenous heparin will raise serum levels significantly and the anticoagulant properties become readily apparent (10, 11).

The second mechanism involves thrombomodulin, an endothelial cell surface protein. Thrombomodulin binds thrombin and this complex activates a serine protease called protein C. Activated protein C couples with serum protein S on phospholipid membranes and then deactivates clotting factors Va and VIIIa by proteolysis. Thrombomodulin bound thrombin loses its ability to activate platelets and to convert fibrinogen to fibrin (12).

The third mechanism involves a protein, tissue factor pathway inhibitor (TFPI). TFPI inhibits factor Xa, via a negative feedback loop. Once bound to factor Xa, TFPI will inhibit the tissue factor-factor VIIa complex and will decrease the proteolytic activation of factor X (11).

**Fibrinolysis**

The fibrinolytic system controls the enzymatic degradation of fibrin and is critical for clot removal. Plasminogen, a zymogen, is an inactive protease precursor of plasmin and binds with high affinity to fibrin clots (Fig. 3). Plasmin is a proteolytic enzyme that resembles trypsin, the most important digestive enzyme secreted by the pancreas. Plasmins digest fibrin fibers as well as other protein-procoagulants such as fibrinogen, Factor V, Factor VIII, prothrombin, and Factor XIII. Therefore, if plasmin is formed, a hypocoagulable state may occur.

Tissue-type plasminogen activator (tPA) is a serine protease that also binds to fibrin: its activity is minimal in the absence of fibrin. Endothelial cells are the principal physiological source of tPA (12). TPA does not require a proteolytic activation step. When tPA complexes with fibrin, plasminogen will be convert-

---

**Fig 3. The fibrinolytic pathway**


---
ed to plasmin, but within the fibrin clot. Hence, enzymatic degradation is confined to where it is needed (11).

Streptokinase, a bacterial protein from streptococci, can also activate plasminogen without proteolytic cleavage: streptokinase binds to the zymogen and induces a conformational change that exposes the active site. Urokinase-like plasminogen (uPA) is a kidney-derived protein present in normal urine and in the plasma. UPA can activate plasminogen to plasmin. UPA and streptokinase, unlike tPA, do not require binding to fibrin for enzymatic activity. They will convert plasminogen to plasmin in the plasma. Streptokinase and urokinase can be used as therapeutic agents for patients with thrombotic diseases (12).

Fibrinogen degradation products (FDPs) and fibrin split products (FSPs) are the end products after fibrin clots are lysed enzymatically. The structures of FDPs and FSPs vary, depending on whether plasmin cleaves fibrinogen, fibrin, or cross-linked fibrin (11). The FDPs are normally removed from the blood by the liver, kidney, and reticuloendothelial system and have a half life of about nine hours; if the rate of production of FDPs exceeds their rate of clearance, FDPs can accumulate. FDPs impair platelet function, inhibit thrombin, and disrupt the cross-linking of fibrin strands. FDPs, themselves, inhibit both primary hemostasis and coagulation (11). An elevation in FDPs indicates a significant bleeding risk; FDP levels serve as a marker of ongoing fibrinolytic activity and the degree of anticoagulation.

### Table 2. Strategies to identify and manage bleeding problems

- Evaluate baseline risk factors
- Obtain careful history: Significant medical conditions (renal, liver disease), drugs, family history (autosomal dominant, sex-linked), prior bleeding history (inherited vs. acquired), type of bleeding (platelet type vs factor deficiency)
- Physical examination: Petechiae, ecchymoses, mucosal bleeding, hemorrhages, bleeding at venopuncture sites
- Screening tests: CBC, PT, aPTT, thrombin time, routine use of bleeding time not recommended
- Evaluate abnormal/suspicious history, physical examination, or abnormal screening test prior to invasive procedure: May require additional laboratory evaluation (specific factor assays, platelet function tests, von Willebrand analysis, etc.)
- Reduce pre-procedure risk factors
- Prevent pharmacologic coagulopathies
- Allow ample time for elimination of drugs
- Correct abnormal baseline coagulation parameters: Platelet transfusions, fresh or frozen plasma, desmopressin, factor concentrates, dialysis, vitamin K, etc.
- Notify appropriate consultants and blood bank if possible bleeding potential and have plan of action in place
- Enhance post-procedure care: Monitor wound sites
- Follow coagulation tests and factor levels if indicated
- If bleeding occurs: Identify source, is it expected/not expected, is it secondary to local trauma or underlying hematologic derangement
- Administer appropriate replacement products
- Follow with hemoglobin, platelet count, PT, aPTT, fibrinogen, etc.

*APTT – activated partial thromboplastin time; CBC – complete blood count; PT – prothrombin time*

Adapted from: Cobos E, Cruz J, Day M. Etiology and Management of Coagulation Abnormalities in the Pain Management Patient. *Curr Rev Pain* 2000; 4:413-419 (13)
Understanding the physiology of bleeding is important, but identifying patients at risk for bleeding is clinically relevant. Chronic pain patients represent an extremely diverse group of patients from the standpoint of co-morbid illness. Many may have an underlying clinical bleeding disorder that has not been diagnosed or for that matter, never recognized. Prior to performing any invasive procedure, the practitioner must identify those patients at a specific risk for bleeding. The clinical history, physical exam, and preliminary screening tests should be performed by practitioners, before referring suspected patients to a hematologist.

Patients are at risk for bleeding if they 1. Present with a current or prior history of bleeding (prior surgical bleeds, recurrent or prolonged epistaxis, hematuria, gastrointestinal bleeding, bleeding after circumcision); 2. Report easy and excessive bruising or bleeding, either without antecedent trauma or with minor trauma, such as injections, small cuts, or tooth extractions; 3. Have never had prior bleeding problems, but present with a new abnormal bleeding episode; 4. Have an underlying medical condition, such as renal failure, liver failure, malignancy, or systemic lupus erythematosus, which poses an increased bleeding risk or increased likelihood of abnormal coagulation studies; 5. Have an unreliable, questionable, or impressive family history of bleeding and are going to undergo an invasive procedure; and 6. Are women that have a history of excessive menstrual blood flow or bleeding with parturition.

During the history-taking process, practitioners should ask about the type of bleeding. Patients with coagulation abnormalities of secondary hemostasis, such as hemophilia, usually manifest deep muscle hematomas or hemarthroses. Patients with platelet abnormalities such as von Willebrand disease typically experience mucocutaneous, i.e., "superficial", bleeding such as epistaxis, gingival bleeding, bruising, petechiae, gastrointestinal bleeding, such as melena, hematuria, or excessive menstrual flow (Table 2). Details of transfusions needed for bleeding episodes should be elicited. The physical exam can help identify the type of bleeding and bleeding sequelae as well. For instance, many patients with hemophilia may present with a painful swollen joint or develop a hemophilic arthropathy. Patients with platelet disorders may have areas of excessive bruising or petechiae.

Detailed past medical, medication, and family histories are important, particularly when trying to distinguish between hereditary and acquired bleeding disorders.

Patients should be queried about the consumption of well recognized anticoagulants, such as coumadin, clopidogrel, aspirin, and low molecular weight heparins. The practitioner may ask about anticoagulant use in several different ways to ensure that the patient understands what is being asked. Patients should be asked about their consumption of over the counter products and herbal medicines. Numerous cold and cough remedies may contain aspirin or non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. Likewise the drug history is important, since even drugs not typically associated with bleeding may cause bleeding: common antidepressants that inhibit serotonin reuptake have been associated with increased blood loss during certain types of surgery.

Patients with severe hereditary bleeding abnormalities typically present at infancy and have a life-long bleeding history. Patients with mild but hereditary disorders may present as adults and have little or no abnormal bleeding history.

Hereditary disorders will frequently affect other family members of the patient. Directed family history questions should concentrate, for instance, on bleeding episodes in male relatives over several generations. The latter is important, since inherited diseases, such as hemophilia, might skip a generation if that generation had female carriers. Disorders that are transmitted in an autosomal dominant fashion, such as von Willebrand's disease, will have a positive family history. Some patients may lack an impressive family history and still have an inherited bleeding disorder, if they suffer from a rare autosomal recessive condition. Also, some typically sex-linked inherited bleeding disorders, such as hemophilia A or B, may occur due to spontaneous mutations.

Routine laboratory tests of blood urea nitrogen, serum creatinine, serum electrolytes, liver function, and complete blood count may be necessary in order to identify an underlying renal, hepatic, hematological, infectious, or oncological disease. These illnesses may increase bleeding risk. Severe systemic disorders, such as sepsis or malignancy, may lead to major bleeding problems.

In the absence of a bleeding history the need for routine laboratory tests may not be necessary. The best preoperative screening test to predict bleeding continues to be a carefully conducted clinical history that includes family, dental, obstetric, surgical, traumatic injury, transfusion, and drug histories. Once patients have been identified to be at increased risk for bleeding, then tests of clotting function may be useful. Another caveat is that specific coagulation assays rarely correlate with blood loss, but they do provide specific diagnoses, which can guide treatment.

**Tests of Clotting Function**

**Platelet Count**

The normal concentration of platelets in the blood is between 140,000 and 445,000 per microliter. The platelet count is an extremely important routine investigation, as platelet deficiency (thrombocytopenia) will increase bleeding tendency. Thrombocytopenia is defined as a platelet count <150,000 per microliter. A platelet count performed by automated cell counters may erroneously read a low platelet count due to pseudothrombocytopenia. Pseudothrombocytopenia occurs due to the formation of platelet clumps; platelet clumping occasionally occurs in blood samples that are drawn in ethylenediaminetetraacetic (EDTA) anticoagulant tubes. In order to confirm the diagnosis of pseudothrombocytopenia, a peripheral blood smear should be examined and a repeat blood sample should be redrawn in tubes containing a different anticoagulant, such as a citrate of heparin.

**Bleeding Time**

The bleeding time is an indicator of primary hemostasis in vivo. Bleeding time prolongation occurs in patients with quantitative and qualitative platelet disorders. Specifically, the bleeding time assesses platelet plug formation at a skin wound; a small skin incision is made and the time required for blood flow to cease is the bleeding time.
The Ivy bleeding time involves making a skin incision along the volar forearm and using a blood pressure cuff to prevent vessel collapse (16). The Ivy bleeding time is a common pre-operative test to assess the status of anti-platelet therapy (20, 21). If the bleeding time is <10 minutes, some practitioners consider central neuraxial blocks to be safe (20, 22). Others assert a cut-off of 6 minutes (21).

Several modifications of the bleeding time have been introduced, such as the template technique to standardize the length and depth of incision and the use of disposable devices (19, 23). Disposable devices are simple to use, incur minimal physical trauma, and are essentially painless (23). In using a disposable device, Babson et al (23), reported a mean bleeding time of 4.1 min with a 95% range of 2.2-7.0 min in 47 normal adults. The standard deviation of duplicate bleeding times and day-to-day variation was 0.7 and 0.9 min, respectively. In a double-blind crossover study of 20 normal adults, the mean bleeding time increased from 3.7 to 6.2 min after ingestion of 1000 mg of aspirin (23).

Nonetheless, the utility of the bleeding time has been questioned (16). The bleeding time at the skin lacks sensitivity and specificity in predicting hemorrhage, following an invasive procedure (24-26). The bleeding time cannot predict the degree of intra-operative hemorrhage or bleeding at gastric biopsy sites, in patients receiving aspirin therapy (24-28). There is no correlation between hip surgery-associated blood loss and bleeding time prolongation, in the presence of aspirin. This held true irrespective of whether bleeding time prolongation was defined as >10 minutes or >4 minutes above baseline (27).

Bleeding times cannot be used reliably to identify patients who may have recently consumed antiplatelet drugs (16). In vitro platelet aggregation tests may take up to 7 days to normalize, but the bleeding time may normalize in 3 days (29). This implies that platelet function can still be abnormal, even if the bleeding time is normal shortly after stopping aspirin (21).

The bleeding time may frequently be prolonged due to improper performance of the test. Only experienced laboratory personnel should perform a bleeding time (16). The bleeding time is not recommended as a routine test (16, 24-26), despite current widespread use. Bleeding times should be limited to those patients with a normal platelet count and a history of bleeding that suggests platelet dysfunction.

Platelet Function Analysis
Platelet function analyzers were recently introduced to study primary hemothromostatic disorders. Specifically they offered several advantages over standard platelet function studies, such as improved sensitivity and specificity and ease of use. They are used 1. As a screening tool for von Willebrand disorder and various platelet disorders; 2. For monitoring the response to desmopressin acetate (DDAVP) therapy in both VWD and platelet disorders; 3. For monitoring response to antiplatelet drug therapy, such as aspirin; and 4. For evaluating primary hemostasis in various clinical disorders or during surgical procedures (30).

One method of platelet function analysis, the PFA-100, utilizes whole blood. Whole citrated blood is passed through a capillary tube into the central aperture of a membrane. This mimics in vivo shear stress conditions (30). The membrane is coated with collagen or a platelet agonist, such as epinephrine or adenosine diphosphate. A platelet plug forms over the aperture. The time required to close the aperture and stop capillary blood flow is defined as the Closure Time (30-32). Normal reference ranges for Closure Time with collagen/adenosine diphosphate (C/ADP) is 77-133 seconds and with collagen/epinephrine (C/epi) is 98-185 seconds (30, 33).

The PFA-100 is not sensitive in detecting fibrinogen defects, heparin effects, and hemophilia A or B. The PFA-100 closure time may be prolonged in the setting of thrombocytopenia or antiplatelet drug therapy. The PFA-100 has been used to monitor therapy with glycoprotein IIb/IIIa antagonists, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and ticlopidine. The PFA-100 may be more sensitive than a classical bleeding time and closure times may exhibit a dose dependent effect (30, 34). Additionally, anti-platelet drugs may exhibit differential effects on closure time, depending on whether a collagen/ADP or collagen/epinephrine cartridge is used (30). In fact the laboratory at our institution considers a prolongation of closure time in the presence of C/epi, but not in the presence of C/ADP to be suggestive of an aspirin-like effect.

Overall the PFA-100 is simple to use and has a high sensitivity to particular hemostatic disturbances such as vWD, platelet disorders, and platelet 'affecting' medications. However, the PFA-100 cannot distinguish among these various disorders. Further testing is required if the underlying cause of an abnormal PFA-100 result is unknown (30). Whether the PFA-100 is predictive of significant bleeding following invasive procedures is unknown. One recent study demonstrated that the PFA-100 was not able to separate those patients at low risk for bleeding after cardiopulmonary bypass from those who had substantial bleeding (35), even though platelet dysfunction is the most important reason for bleeding after cardiopulmonary bypass (35). Similarly, another study demonstrated a low positive predictive value of abnormal PFAs in detecting post-operative bleeding (36). However, normal PFA values argued against a coagulopathy as the etiology of post-operative bleeding following cardiac surgery: a normal PFA in the presence of significant post-operative bleeding suggests that a surgical cause should be quickly identified and managed (36). Nonetheless, routine use of the PFA-100 after cardiac surgery is not useful in predicting post-operative bleeding (37). Others suggest that the PFA-100 may be a useful tool in identifying patients with an elevated bleeding risk prior to performing an epidural procedure (38).

Thromboelastography
Thromboelastography (TEG) is used to monitor whole-blood coagulation. Specifically, TEG monitors the shear elastic modulus during clot formation in whole or recalcified citrated blood (17). TEG yields qualitative information about platelet function, thromboplastin generation, and their respective interactions with the intrinsic coagulation cascade to form a stable clot (39). TEG also provides information about fibrinogen and Factor XIII levels, as well (39). TEG is very non-specific (17), but may be more sensitive than traditional coagulation tests in predicting and managing coagulopathies (39). Some limitations of TEG include its 1. Inability to diagnose a specific hemostatic abnormality; 2. Weak correlation with specific coagulation assays; and 3. Inability to consistently detect the benefits of fractionated blood product therapy (17). TEG may be able to
monitor fibrinolysis and hemostasis during liver transplantation and consequent-
ly, reduce blood transfusion requirements (40). TEG may be useful in predicting the bleeding risk in patients that are going to undergo regional anesthesia (39). The TEG maximum amplitude may be associated with excessive hemorrhage after car-
diopulmonary bypass surgery, but no di-
rect correlation with degree of blood loss has been demonstrated (17, 36). In fact, TEG, like the PFA-100 has a high negative predictive value in the early identification of surgical bleeding versus a significant coagulopathy (36). TEG has been useful in obstetric anesthesia. The severity of preeclampsia is associated with progressive impairments in hemostasis and this can be assessed with TEG (17, 41). How-
ever, the ability of TEG to identify which parturients with moderate-severe hyper-
tension can safely receive epidurals is still not known (17). TEG and injury severi-
ty were both predictive of early transfu-
sion requirements in major blunt trauma (42). Overall, TEG may improve clinical decision-making in patients undergoing surgery (17). However, there are several problems to universal adoption of TEG as a pre-procedure screening tool. TEG is non-specific. There is a lack of quality assurance methodologies. Finally, studies on the utility of TEG lack sufficient scientific rigor or power (17).

Activated Partial Thromboplastin Time and Prothrombin Time

Activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT) and prothrombin time (PT) are measures of the intrinsic (contact phase) and extrinsic pathways, respective-
ly, of the coagulation cascade. Both path-
ways result in the generation of factor Xa. The reaction then proceeds along a common pathway, resulting in the generation of thrombin, which converts fibrinogen to insoluble fibrin clot. The aPTT and PTT cannot measure factor XIII.

The aPTT mimics intrinsic pathway activation (43). Citrated plasma from the patient is mixed with phospholipid and a surface activator (celite or kaolin), and the reaction is started by the addition of calci-
um. The contact activation phase of coag-
ulation begins with the generation of ac-
tive Hageman’s factor (factor XIIa), which in turn converts factor XI to its active form, and this enzyme together with the cofactor (factor VIII) is responsible for the generation of factor Xa (43).

A prolonged aPTT will be reported with deficiencies in factor VIII and fac-
tor IX, secondary to hemophilia A, hem-
ophilia B, or vWD. Acquired deficiencies can occur with liver disease, which reduces production of vitamin K depend-
ent factors IX or X, or if a patient is on oral anticoagulant therapy (43). Suchma-
et al (44) found that the aPTT was not able to predict the occurrence or absence of hemorrhagic complications in patients at low risk for bleeding. However, the aPTT was useful in predicting post-proce-
dure hemorrhage in patients at higher risk for bleeding, such as liver disease, malab-
sorption, malnutrition, and acquired co-
agulopathies (44). The use of aPTT as a screening test should be limited to pa-
tients at higher risk for bleeding, follow-
ing a procedure (44).

Correspondingly, the PT reveals dis-
orders in the extrinsic pathway. The PT is a simple test based on incubation of pa-
tient plasma, calcium, and tissue throm-
boplastin (tissue factor). The addition of tissue factor accelerates the normal clot-
ting time for plasma from five minutes to about ten seconds. In vivo, the extrinsic pathway for factor Xa generation requires the release of tissue factor VII in the plasma to form an enzymatic complex that cleaves factor X to factor Xa. Blood re-
moved from the patient is immediately citrated so that none of the prothrombin can change into thrombin. Later, a large excess of calcium ion and tissue factor is suddenly mixed with the citrated blood. The calcium nullifies the effect of the cir-
trate, and the tissue factor activates the prothrombin-to-thrombin reaction by means of the extrinsic clotting pathway.

The time required for coagulation to take place is known as the prothrombin time because the shortness of the time is deter-
mained mainly by prothrombin concen-
tration. The normal prothrombin time is about 12 seconds. In each laborato-
ry, a curve relating prothrombin concen-
tration to prothrombin time is drawn for the method used, so that the prothrom-
in in the blood can be quantified. How-
ever, variations between laboratories in PT values have led to the development of the international normalized ratio, INR. The INR simplistically converts the ra-
tio, PT\textsubscript{patient}/PT\textsubscript{normal}, to the value ex-
pected if the World Health Organization reference thromboplastin were used (43, 45, 46).

Test for Other Clotting Factors

Additional tests to assess specific bleeding disorders should be carried out in consultation with a hematologist. Mix-
ing studies, between the patient’s plasma and normal plasma may help narrow the differential diagnosis. Specifically, if mix-
ing studies in patients with elevations in PT or PTT normalize, then a factor may be deficient (43). Tests similar to that for PT time have been devised to determine the quantities of other blood clotting fac-\tors. In each of these tests, excess of calci-
um ions and all factors apart from the one being tested are added to oxalated blood at once. Then the time of coagulation is determined in the same manner as for the usual prothrombin time. If the factor is deficient the coagulation time is pro-
longed. The time itself can then be used to quantify the concentration of the fac-\tor being studied (43).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Clotting disorders</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

1. **Hereditary Disorders**
   - von Willebrand’s disease
   - Hemophilia A
   - Hemophilia B - Christmas disease

2. **Acquired Disorders**
   - Acquired Hemophilia
   - Vitamin K deficiency (malnutrition, biliary obstruction, malabsorption, sterile gut)
   - Liver Disease (complex coagulopathy)
   - Renal Disease
   - DIC (uncontrolled bleeding with uncontrolled coagulation)
   - Drugs-induced Hemorrhage (aspirin, heparin, coumadin)
   - Immune Thrombocytopenia Purpura (ITP)
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PATHOPHYSIOLOGY OF COAGULATION

Coagulation factors and processes are complex and inter-dependent. Under pathological circumstances, disequilibrium between clotting and bleeding can develop. Defects in coagulation physiology manifest as hemorrhagic or thrombotic disorders. Hemorrhagic disorders can be broadly characterized, based on whether there are problems related to platelets (primary hemostasis), clotting factors (secondary hemostasis), the presence or absence of inhibitors, such as fibrin degradation products (fibrinolysis), or a combination of these three. Furthermore, hemorrhagic disorders may be either hereditary or acquired (Table 3).

Hereditary Disorders of Hemostasis

Hereditary (congenital) coagulation disorders are usually due to the absence or reduced presence of a single procoagulant (47). The three most common hereditary coagulation disorders are von Willebrand’s disease (deficiency of von Willebrand factor), Hemophilia A (factor VIII deficiency or classic hemophilia), and hemophilia B (factor IX deficiency or Christmas disease). An understanding of the deficient or absent procoagulant, its elimination half-life following exogenous administration, and the products available for treatment of the coagulation disorder are important in the clinical management of these patients. A hematologist should be closely involved in the management of patients with hereditary or acquired coagulopathies that will be receiving a percutaneous or surgical intervention.

Von Willebrand Disease

Von Willebrand Disease is the most frequent of inherited bleeding disorders afflicting approximately 1-3% of the general population (48-50). This coagulation disorder is caused by quantitative or qualitative defects of von Willebrand factor. This results in low factor VIII levels and abnormal platelet adhesiveness, since vWF is necessary for platelet adhesiveness to exposed endothelium (51). Von Willebrand Disease is inherited as an autosomal dominant trait, which affects both sexes. There are three types. In type I, there is a reduction in the amount of vWF (52). In type II, vWF levels are normal, but the vWF is functionally abnormal. In type III, there is a severe deficiency in vWF. The severest form is homozygous but fortunately, very rare (50).

Patients describe a lifelong history of bruising and mild, mucosal bleeding, unlike hemophiliaacs (48,49). Prolonged epistaxis or menorrhagia may be present (52). Patients are frequently unaware that they have a bleeding disorder until they undergo surgery or experience trauma (53). Excessive bleeding from surgery or trauma is typically localized to the site of the injury and distant site bleeding, such as that into joints and soft tissues, is uncommon.

Von Willebrand’s disease is diagnosed by patient history and laboratory studies: abnormal platelet function assays, bleeding time prolongation, and normal platelet counts. Recently, the PFA-100 was found to have a sensitivity of 96-100% and a specificity of 95%, when used to screen various subtypes of von Willebrand disease (31,32). Two specific laboratory investigations, vWF:Ag level and Ricof level, are used to evaluate the quantity and functional capacity of von Willebrand factor, respectively (52). The vWF:Ag level can be measured directly and compared to reference values. Amounts >50% are considered normal. Ristocetin is an antibiotic that interacts with vWF and platelets to induce aggregation. Platelet aggregation by ristocetin follows a dose-response curve that depends on the amount of ristocetin cofactor, the functional unit of von Willebrand factor. The Ricof level refers to the amount of Ristocetin cofactor. Normal Ricof levels are >50%, but levels as low as 30% are not thought to increase bleeding risk. Ricof levels below 10% are associated with significant bleeding. It is unclear what Ricof level is safe for regional anesthesia (52).

Prophylaxis and treatment options for vWD include desmopressin and factor replacement. Desmopressin raises endogenous factor VIII and von Willebrand factor. The majority of patients with vWD respond to this treatment. Approximately 20% of patients do not respond to desmopressin and require plasma concentrates to boost factor VIII and vWF levels (49,50). The PFA-100 may be used to monitor response to desmopressin, but not factor replacement therapy (31,32). Estrogen therapy may also improve the bleeding abnormalities of von Willebrand disease (54).

Hemophilia A and B

Hemophilia A is a bleeding disorder resulting from a defect or deficiency of coagulation Factor VIII:C. Factor VIII:C is a protein that serves as a cofactor for the activation of factor X in the coagulation cascade; defects in factor VIII:C results in a hemorrhagic tendency. X-linked transmission of a recessive genetic trait limits this disease, predominantly, to males and homoygous females. Rarely, heterozygous females may present with severe hemorrhage, presumably caused by “unfavorable lyonization” (inactivation of the normal X chromosome in most of the cells) (53). In males, the incidence is 1:10,000-1:25,000 (53,55). All patients with hemophilia A have normal plasma concentrations of vWF.

In its most severe form, hemophilia A is a life-threatening and crippling disease. Severely afflicted patients are at risk for spontaneous bleeding into joints, into soft tissues, into neural compartments with subsequent neural compression, into the urinary tract, and into intracranial structures (53,55). Other sites of bleeding include mucosal and cutaneous tissues (55). Spontaneous bleeding in synovial joints results in a progressive hemophilic arthropathy. Central nervous system bleeding is the major cause of death in patients with hemophilia A (53,56) and is associated with a 30% mortality rate (56). The incidence of bleeding into the central nervous system is 2.2-7.8% (56). However, the most common neurological injury in hemophilia is bleeding into peripheral nerve compartments (56). The incidence of peripheral nerve injury ranges from 1.3-20.4% (56).

The activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT) is prolonged in these patients, but the platelet count, bleeding time, and prothrombin time (PT) are usually normal. Hence, Hemophilia A is an abnormality of the “intrinsic pathway” of the coagulation cascade (53). Factor VIII assays can confirm the diagnosis of hemophilia (55). There is a direct relationship between the plasma concentration of factor VIII and the severity of bleeding. Normal plasma activity ranges between 0.5U/ml (50%) to 1.5U/ml (150%). Severely affected patients have levels below 1%. Moderately affected patients have levels between 2-5%. Mildly affected patients have amounts ranging from 6-30% (53,57). Nonetheless, mildly affected patients can bleed significantly after trauma.
Acquired Disorders

In addition to the inherited disorders, there are acquired disorders of hemostasis that pose a significant bleeding risk (Table 3). Some of the more commonly described acquired bleeding disorders include acquired hemophilia, vitamin K deficiency, hepatic disease, disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC), and immune thrombocytopenia purpura (ITP). Drug-induced bleeding disorders are by far the most prevalent. These patients may also have co-morbid illnesses that impact upon bleeding risk.

Acquired Hemophilia

Acquired Hemophilia A and B are consequences of autoimmune processes. Factor VIII or factor IX inhibitors may develop in the setting of pregnancy, drug-intake, or disease, such as lupus erythematosus, rheumatoid arthritis, or cancer (59, 60). Factor VIII auto-antibodies develop due to a dysregulation in the immune system (61). These autoantibodies interfere with several Factor VIII functions: binding to von Willebrand factor and phospholipids, interactions with Factor IXa and Xa, and the formation of Factor VIIIa-Factor IXa-phospholipid complexes (61).

Acquired hemophilia develops in 1 out of 1,000,000-4,000,000 patients (59). Mortality was high in these patients until the 1980s. Even since then, major bleeding has been reported in 87% of patients and the associated-mortality is still as high as 22% (62). Factor replacement therapy is not useful (63). Remission may be induced by steroids, immunoglobulins, plasmapheresis, and immunosuppression (59, 60, 64).

Management continues to be a challenge (59). Acute bleeding may be controlled by using activated forms of factors VII, VIII, and IX, in order to bypass inactivated factors VIII and IX. Historically, prothrombin-complex concentrates or products containing factor VIII inhibitor-bypassing activity have been used. Recombinant activated factor VII has recently been approved. This product stops spontaneous bleeding and prevents excessive bleeding during complex surgical procedures (63). Other less successful treatment options include DDAVP, high-dose factor VIII concentrates, intravenous immunoglobulin, and immunosuppressive drugs, such as steroids, cyclophosphamide, cyclosporine, and rituximab (59, 61).

Vitamin K Deficiency

Microsomal carboxylase, a liver enzyme, converts clotting factors II, VII, IX, and X to functionally active forms, but depends on the cofactor, Vitamin K. Carboxylation enables these factors, in turn, to bind with calcium (factor IV) and act as cofactors on platelet phospholipid membranes. Vitamin K is efficiently recycled in the liver by epoxide reductase (12).

When vitamin K deficiency occurs, the clotting factors, II, VII, IX, and X, become depleted in an order that is determined by their individual half-lives. Factor VII has the shortest half life and is the first to be depleted. This is followed by factors IX and X and finally, factor II. Without vitamin K these procoagulants are ineffective, even if there are sufficient amounts in the plasma. In addition, anti-coagulant proteins C and S require similar enzymatic carboxylation for activation (11)

Endogenous intestinal bacterial flora continually synthesize vitamin K. In patients, who have normally functioning livers, there is only a small requirement for exogenous vitamin K, which is provided by the typical Western diet. Vitamin K deficiencies are commonly found in 1.Malnutrition; 2. Fat malabsorption syndromes, particularly biliary tract disease; 3. Broad spectrum antibiotic use leading to the destruction of endogenous bacterial intestinal flora; 4. Neonates since they lack stores of vitamin K and can become deficient in this vitamin in absence of supplemental therapy; 5. Diffuse liver disease (65).

One of the most prevalent causes of vitamin K deficiency is failure of the liver to secrete bile into the gastrointestinal tract which can occur as a result of biliary duct obstruction or liver disease: lack of bile prevents adequate fat digestion and absorption and therefore, prevents the absorption of a fat-soluble vitamin, such as vitamin K. Neonates may be deficient in Vitamin K, since bacterial flora might not have yet become established in their gut. In gastrointestinal disease, vitamin K deficiency occurs as a result of poor absorption of fats and the consequently poor absorption of vitamin K. Liver pathology often causes decreased production of vitamin K and non-vitamin K dependent clotting factors. Even if vitamin stores are normal, hepatocyte dysfunction interferes with vitamin K dependent carboxylation of clotting factors (65).

In adults suffering from vitamin K deficiency or decreased synthesis of vitamin K-dependent factors, a bleeding diagnosis may occur. This may be characterized by hematomas, hematuria, melena, ecchymoses, and bleeding from the gums. Due to this, Vitamin K is injected into all surgical patients with liver disease or with obstructed bile ducts prior to surgery. If vitamin K is given to a deficient patient four to eight hours before surgery and if the liver parenchymal cells are functioning at least at 50% of normal, sufficient clotting factors will be produced and excessive bleeding may be prevented during surgery.
Liver Disease

Liver disease represents a continuum of disorders. Chronic liver disease can represent the endpoint of active chronic hepatitis or alcoholic liver disease or it can be the starting point, en route to hepatic failure or cirrhosis (66). All stages of liver disease increase the risk of bleeding. Even ethanol can suppress hematopoiesis (67). Secondary hemostasis is most commonly thought to be affected by liver disease. Except for von Willebrand’s factor and Factor VIII, both of which can be produced by endothelial cells or megakaryocytes, all remaining clotting factors are formed by the liver (11, 68). Decreased liver production of clotting factors and an increase in factor consumption affects secondary hemostasis. In reality, however, liver disease affects all three facets of coagulation: primary hemostasis, secondary hemostasis, and fibrinolysis (Table 4) (11, 69). Thrombocytopenia and several defects in platelet function are common. Increased fibrinolysis occurs in 30% of patients with end-stage liver disease, thus placing these patients at a high risk for massive bleeding following invasive procedures.

Qualitative and quantitative deficits in clotting factors may develop (68). Early on, hepatic dysfunction may mimic vitamin K deficiency. First, a deficiency of factor VII (shortest plasma half-life) occurs. This is then followed by deficiencies in factors IX, X and II. The reduction in levels of vitamin K dependent factors correlates with the degree of liver disease (68). Progressive deterioration in liver function affects the remaining clotting factors: I, V, XI, and XII. The synthesis of anticoagulant factors, such as, antithrombin III, protein C and protein S is also impaired, which can lead to thromboembolic events and the consumption of clotting factors. Clearance of activated clotting factors from circulation by the liver is diminished allowing constant activation of the clotting cascade (11, 68).

Thrombocytopenia and platelet dysfunction impair primary hemostasis. A reduction in platelet numbers, in liver disease, is largely due to decreased thrombopoietin secretion and hypersplenism. Platelet counts may be reduced due to splenic sequestration in the setting of portal hypertension: the most common cause of thrombocytopenia in liver disease (68). Platelet counts may decrease to as low as 30–40,000/mm² (68). In advanced liver disease, the pathogenesis of thrombocytopenia is more complex (68). Impaired platelet function results from advanced liver disease due to insufficient clearance of FDPs. Excessive FDPs in the plasma coat the surface of platelets and impair platelet aggregation, function and clot formation (11). The degree of platelet dysfunction parallels the severity of liver disease and roughly corresponds to the degree of serum bilirubin (68). Platelet function studies, however, may not predict bleeding tendency in liver disease (70).

Increased fibrinolysis occurs as a result of decreased clearance of tissue plasminogen activator (t-PA) from the circulation by the impaired liver and decreased hepatic secretion of α2-antiplasmin (11). The combined effects of increased fibrinolysis and persistent coagulation in patients with advanced liver dysfunction can result in continual low grade disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC).

Pre-procedure screening should include a platelet count, hemoglobin, PT, aPTT, and fibrinogen level. The PT may be relatively more prolonged than the PTT (68). However, the measurement of specific clotting factor levels may be abnormal, even if the routine screening tests are normal (68). Reductions in Factor VII levels may be an early marker of parenchymal liver disease, whereas reduced Factor IX levels don’t occur until advanced stages of liver disease. In centers where such tests are not readily available, strategies have been published to assist in diagnosing the correct liver disease (68).

Several management strategies for coagulopathy secondary to liver disease are present: vitamin K supplementation, fresh frozen plasma, plasma exchange, platelet transfusions, and cryoprecipitate infusion. Vitamin K replenishment may partially correct liver disease-associated coagulopathy, due to the reduced production of Vitamin K-dependent factors (70).

Table 4. Etiology of hemostatic abnormalities in the liver

| Thrombocytopenia | Decreased production |
| Impaired platelet function | Decreased FDP clearance |
| Decrease factor synthesis | Decreased hepatocyte function |
| | Vitamin K deficiency (diet, malabsorption) |
| Increased factor consumption | Decreased clearance of activated factors |
| | Decreased synthesis of inhibitors (proteins C and S) |
| Increased fibrinolysis | Decreased synthesis of α2-antiplasmin |
| | Decreased clearance of t-PA |

Adapted from: Petrovitch CT, Drummond JC, Hemo therapy and Hemostasis. In Barash PG, Cullen BF, Stoelting RK (eds). Clinical Anesthesia, 4th Ed, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia, 2001;224 (11)
In biliary tract disorders, such as biliary cirrhosis, Vitamin K may completely correct the coagulopathy. Typical doses of 10 mg are given subcutaneously on a daily basis and the PT is closely monitored (68). Fresh frozen plasma can be administered to correct markedly prolonged PT/aPTT times, by replacing all necessary clotting factors. FFP is particularly important in DIC. Repetitive infusions of 10-15 ml/kg every 12 hours are usually needed. Plasma exchange has the same effect as FFP, but the risk of volume overload is reduced. Platelets should be maintained above 70,000 to 80,000/μL. A minimum level of 50,000 is recommended for liver biopsies (68). The use of desmopressin to shorten bleeding time is used by some investigators (68). Cryoprecipitate infusions should be used if fibrogen levels are less than 75 mg/dl (68). One bag of cryoprecipitate may raise the serum concentration by 5 mg/dl. Typically, 2 bags of cryoprecipitate should be given per 10 kg of body weight and the fibrinogen should be maintained above 125 mg/dL.

**Disseminated Intravascular Coagulation**

DIC represents the inordinate activation of the coagulation system (68). It is an acute, sub acute, or chronic thrombotic and hemorrhagic disorder that frequently occurs as a complication of numerous diseases. In the acute stage, patients present with multiple ecchymoses, mucosal and subcutaneous bleeding, visceral hemorrhage and tissue ischemia (71). Platelets and coagulation factors are depleted. The acute stage is life-threatening (71). Chronic DIC is subtle and less explosive. Chronic DIC demonstrates evidence of thromboembolism (71), such as superficial or deep venous thrombi. The coagulation system is activated, but factor levels and platelet counts may be increased, normal, or decreased (71).

Pathological activation of the extrinsic and/or intrinsic pathways of coagulation or impairment of clotting inhibiting factors may trigger DIC. Two major mechanisms are implicated. First, there is an excessive release of tissue factor (formerly thromboplastin) into the circulation. This may occur as a result of an amniotic fluid embolus, extensive soft tissue damage, or severe head injury. Secondly, widespread endothelial cell injury occurs and causes the release of even larger quantities of tissue factor, from fibrin blasts. Broad endothelial cell injury may be produced by numerous processes such as deposition of antigen-antibody complexes, temperature extremes, or the presence of microorganisms (Table 5) (53).

DIC is characterized by the excessive formation of thrombin (factor IIa) throughout the vasculature and an activation of the coagulation cascade process. Accelerated clot formation leads to the formation of microthrombi that lead to tissue ischemia and the critical depletion of platelets and clotting factors. Concurrently, the fibrinolytic system is stimulated and plasmin is generated to lyse the fibrin clots. In addition, the mechanisms which normally serve to localize plasmin degradation of fibrin are overwhelmed and plasmin circulates unrestricted throughout the circulation. Fibrinolysis and circulating plasmin cause widespread degradation of both fibrin and fibrinogen. FDPs result in further inhibition of platelet aggregation, fibrin polymerization and have antithrombotic activity. All of these influences ultimately result in massive bleeding and the patient’s demise. For instance, massive trauma results in a profound coagulopathy that is associated with thrombocytopenia, coagulation factor deficiency, and hypothermia (17).

Laboratory results that are characteristic of acute DIC include a prolonged PT and PTT. Factor V, Factor VIII, platelet, and fibrinogen levels are reduced and fibrin degradation product levels are elevated (71). In fact, The D-dimer test is the most specific test to assess for the presence of acute DIC (11). In chronic DIC the laboratory studies are more variable, except for FDP levels; FDP levels are elevated in both acute and chronic DIC. Treatment of underlying disease is the mainstay of DIC management (71). Acute DIC may be treated with factor, platelet, or fibrinogen replacement. Heparin and fibrinolytic-inhibitors, such as aminocaproic acid or tranexamic acid, may be used in acute DIC. Chronic DIC is primarily a hyper-

### Table 5. Major disorders associated with Disseminated Intravascular Coagulation (DIC)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Obstetric Complications</th>
<th>Abruptio placenta</th>
<th>Retained dead fetus</th>
<th>Septic Abortion</th>
<th>Amniotic fluid embolism</th>
<th>Toxemia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Infections</td>
<td>Gram-negative sepsis</td>
<td>Meningococccemia</td>
<td>Rocky Mountain spotted fever</td>
<td>Histoplasmosis</td>
<td>Aspergillosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neoplasms</td>
<td>Carcinomas of pancrease, prostate, lung, and stomach</td>
<td>Acute promyelocytic leukemia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massive Tissue Injury</td>
<td>Traumatic</td>
<td>Burns</td>
<td>Extensive surgery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>Acute intravascular hemolysis, snakebite, gaint hemangiomas, shock, heat stroke, vasculitities, aortic aneurysm, liver disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

coagulable state that may be managed with warfarin or low molecular weight heparin (71).

Renal Disease

Bleeding in uremic patients is typically mucosal, genitourinary, subdural, or gastrointestinal. Patients who are undergoing needle or catheter placement may be at significant risk of local, prolonged oozing and hematomas (54). Deep bleeding, such as an intramuscular hematoma, is uncommon (54). Hemostatic defects in renal disease are multifactorial (54). Several hypothesized mechanisms include defects in platelet and subendothelial metabolism, platelet-vessel interactions, and anemia (54, 69, 72). Platelet function can be even more impaired in the presence of anti-platelet medications. The effects of anticoagulants that depend on renal clearance can be augmented. Low molecular weight heparins pose a particular problem: impaired clearance, prolonged half-life, and lack of reversibility with protamine can significantly increase bleeding risk in renal patients (69).

In thrombocytopenic oncology patients, the presence of uremia did correlate with hemorrhagic risk but the absolute platelet count did not (73).

A comprehensive coagulation profile must be performed in renal failure patients that are pending an invasive procedure. The bleeding time is typically prolonged in uremia and although not ideal, may be predictive of bleeding (54, 72). Bleeding time is useful in monitoring response to therapy (69). Bleeding time, as compared to BUN or creatinine, is a better test in the assessment of bleeding risk in renal failure (54). Elevations in PT and a PTT denote the effect of other clotting problems, such as the lupus anticoagulant, or drugs, such as heparin (54). A variety of therapeutic modalities are available to correct renal dysfunction associated coagulation abnormalities prior to an invasive procedure (54). These include pre-procedure dialysis, abstinence from platelet active drugs, correction of anemia, desmopressin (DDAVP) (0.3µ/kg over 20'), cryoprecipitate infusions, or estrogens. A 10 unit infusion of cryoprecipitate normalizes bleeding time in 50% of uremic patients (54). Conjugated estrogen infusions (0.6/mg/kg/d X 5 days) or oral estrogens shorten the bleeding time in uremia. The effect is rapid-within 48 hours and can last up to 10 to 14 days. Correction of anemia in renal failure also improves the bleeding time. Blood transfusion or the use of erythropoietin to raise the hematocrit above 30% appears to restore platelet-vessel wall interactions.

Thrombocytopenia and Idiopathic Thrombocytopenic Purpura

True thrombocytopenia should be assessed prior to any invasive procedures. In the absence of platelet dysfunction, most individuals can tolerate invasive procedures with platelet counts about 80,000/µl. Moderate thrombocytopenia with platelet counts in the range of 50,000 to 80,000/µl are usually asymptomatic but can result in significant bleeding depending on the invasive procedure. However, platelet counts as low as 58,000, and the associated bleeding time prolongation do not correlate with perioperative blood loss or transfusion requirements (74). Platelet counts in the range of 30,000 to 50,000/µl will result in petechiae, easy ability to bruise, and excessive bleeding with minor procedures. Severe thrombocytopenia with counts below 10,000-20,000/µl can result in spontaneous mucosal bleeding and potentially life-threatening bleeds (43, 75, 76).

Immune thrombocytopenic purpura (ITP) is an acquired autoimmune disorder characterized by low platelet counts and mucocutaneous bleeding (77). This disorder occurs as a consequence of antibodies directed against platelet glycoproteins, such as GP IIb/IIIa (77). The antibody-coated platelets are removed from the circulation by the binding of the Fc moiety of the immunoglobulin to the Fc receptors on macrophages located predominantly in the spleen and liver (77).

Chronic ITP is seen in adults. There is a female predominance and the most commonly afflicted are between the ages of 20 to 40 years old. Thrombocytopenia falls within a wide range of severity, but leukocytes and erythrocytes are typically normal. The thrombocytopenia may be clinically silent or associated with petechiae, easy bruising, or mucosal bleeding (14). The absence of a family history and systemic symptoms support the diagnosis of idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura (77). Bone marrow aspiration may be performed in patients over the age of 40 with atypical features (77), but routine practice should be limited to those patients over the age of 60 (14).

Despite the common perception that ITP has a benign course, even with platelet counts <30,000, a recent study refutes this (78). Based on mathematical models, pooled data from several clinical series, and the use of a fatal hemorrhage as an end-point, the five year mortality was 2.2% and 47.8% for patients with an age <40 or >60, respectively (78).

A variety of treatment modalities are available and the American Society of Hematology has recently established practice guidelines (14). In general, asymptomatic patients with platelet counts greater that 50,000/µl do not need medical intervention and can safely undergo minimally invasive procedures. This is dependent on these patients not receiving drugs that interfere with platelet function. Procedures are frequently performed on patients with thrombocytopenia of various etiologies with little risk of bleeding. The risk of hemorrhage in patients with coagulopathies may be more related to the experience of the medical personnel rather than the abnormality. In patients with platelet counts less than 50,000 µl who will be undergoing invasive procedures, some sort of medical intervention (platelet transfusions, corticosteroids, intravenous immune globulin, or anti-D immune globulin) may be required to raise the platelet count (77, 79). Very low platelet counts may require immunosuppressive therapy (77). Long term management may require a splenectomy (77). The management of these patients should be made in conjunction with a hematology specialist, since no single treatment algorithm is suitable for all patients (77).

Factor XIII

Routine coagulation studies (platelet function, prothrombin time, partial thromboplastin time, fibrinogen, anti-thrombin III) do not assess for Factor XIII deficiency (80). Low levels of factor XIII may interfere with fibrin monomer cross-linking and render clots more susceptible to degradation, but the clinical significance is not established. Acquired deficiency of Factor XIII may be present in several conditions: liver disease, inflammatory bowel disorders, peptic ulcer disease, and septicemia. Delayed post-operative hemorrhage is typical of Factor XIII deficiency since the early stages in the clotting cascade are not affected: hematomas may occur as long as 3-4 days after a neurosurgical procedure (80).
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Antiplatelet Medications

There are several types of antiplatelet agents with different pharmacological actions: cyclooxygenase inhibitors, adenosine diphosphate inhibitors, direct thrombin inhibitors, and GP IIb/IIIa receptor antagonists.

Aspirin and Non Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs

Cyclooxygenase inhibitors inhibit the formation of thromboxane A2, which is responsible for vasoconstriction and secondary platelet aggregation. Platelets still adhere normally to subendothelium and form a normal, primary hemostatic plug. This fragile plug may be adequate for small vascular injuries, but not for stopping perioperative hemorrhage (224).

Aspirin is considered the ‘reference standard’ for antiplatelet agents by the American Heart Association. Aspirin is primarily used for the prevention and treatment of a variety of cardiovascular disorders and stroke. Aspirin is a potent cyclooxygenase inhibitor that suppresses thromboxane A, production, but there are several mechanisms by which platelet aggregation is inhibited. Aspirin inhibits the enzyme phosphodiesterase and leads to increased levels of cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP), an inhibitor of platelet aggregation. Aspirin also interferes with platelet function by antagonizing adenosine diphosphate (ADP) and glycoprotein IIb/IIIa receptors (11, 53). Aspirin irreversibly inhibits cyclooxygenase for the life of the platelet, 7-10 days, but non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents only reversibly inhibit cyclooxygenase (81). This reversible inhibition normalizes within 3 days (81). Ketonolac transiently inhibits platelet function in healthy volunteers (82). The cyclooxygenase-2 enzyme is induced in the presence of pain and inflammation, but is not present in platelets: selective cyclooxygenase-2 enzyme inhibitors do not cause platelet dysfunction (83).

Increased doses of aspirin increase major bleeding risk (84). Aspirin therapy has been implicated as a risk factor in intracranial subdural hematomas (85). Preoperative anti-inflammatory consumption may increase bleeding during orthopedic surgery (86), prostate surgery (87), tonsillar surgery (88), and hysterectomies (89). Others dispute whether aspirin use increases perioperative blood loss in during surgery (27, 90).

Arguably, the lack of reported major bleeding complications in association with aspirin or NSAID use does not guarantee the prevention of a future complication. Postponing a procedure may not be necessary in the setting of aspirin or NSAID use, but may be prudent in some cases. Theoretically, for patients with significantly prolonged bleeding times, >15-18 minutes, there are several options: postpone case, administer DDAVP until the bleeding time normalizes, or perform the procedure and administer platelet transfusions, if bleeding does develop.

Thienopyridine Inhibitors

Thienopyridine inhibitors interfere with adenosine diphosphate and affect both primary and secondary platelet aggregation: specifically, these agents irreversibly inhibit ADP binding to the platelet ADP receptor and this subsequently prevents activation of the GpIIb/GpIIIa complex, the major platelet receptor for fibrinogen (91).

Two common thienopyridine inhibitors include ticlopidine and clopidogrel. Ticlopidine and clopidogrel modulate vascular smooth muscle and interfere with platelet-fibrinogen, platelet-platelet, and platelet-vascular endothelium interactions (92-94). Steady state is achieved in 7 days for clopidogrel and 14-21 days for ticlopidine. The irreversible antiplatelet actions of ticlopidine may persist for 10-15 days after discontinuation (20). Clopidogrel is biotransformed by the liver, via the cytochrome p450 system. Ticlopidine is theorized to be the main intermediate metabolite that is a precursor to the final active metabolite (95, 96). The final active metabolite expresses the maximum anti-aggregating activity, via platelet-ADP inhibition (95, 96).

Thienopyridine derivatives prevent arterial and venous thrombosis (91). Ticlopidine and clopidogrel are more effective than aspirin in preventing further adverse vascular events following stroke, myocardial infarction, peripheral vascular disease, or vascular stent implantation (91, 92, 97). Clopidogrel may have a therapeutic advantage over aspirin in those patients with arterial thromboembolic disease, in whom aspirin therapy is contraindicated or not effective (98). In fact, thienopyridine derivatives are often used in conjunction with aspirin, despite lack of safety data and the increased risk of hemorrhage. Recent investigations have demonstrated that the combination of clopidogrel with aspirin is more effective than aspirin alone and may be better tolerated than the combination of ticlopidine and aspirin, for the prevention of atherothrombosis following intravascular stent placement (99). Ongoing trials are assessing the efficacy and safety of clopidogrel-aspirin combinations after acute ischemic coronary events and in patients at very high risk of stroke (99).

Bleeding time is prolonged to a greater extent with clopidogrel over aspirin, but absolute prolongation is significant with either agent alone (100). However, the greatest prolongation of bleeding time occurs with simultaneous use of aspirin and clopidogrel (100).

Purpura and epistaxis were reported to occur at a rate of 2.9-5.3% with clopidogrel (92, 97). Bleeding rates are similar among patients with atherosclerotic vascular disease that are receiving either clopidogrel or medium dose aspirin: 9.3%. Rates of serious bleeding between clopidogrel and aspirin groups are 1.38% and 1.55%, respectively. Serious hematological events, such as thrombocytopenic purpura, have occurred with ticlopidine, but are rare with clopidogrel. There is a significant increase in the risk of major and minor bleeding in patients receiving clopidogrel and aspirin versus aspirin alone for acute coronary syndromes. Major bleeding occurred 3.7% and 2.7% of patients with acute coronary syndromes that were receiving clopidogrel and aspirin (75-375 mg) versus placebo and aspirin, respectively (101). Most of the bleeding events were gastrointestinal or at the arterial puncture sites. In patients undergoing coronary artery bypass surgery, there was an increased rate of major bleeding between the clopidogrel and placebo groups, if clopidogrel was stopped within 5 days of surgery. Overall, the risk of bleeding with clopidogrel is comparable to aspirin, but lower than that with GpIIb/IIIa receptor antagonists (91, 101). The risk of intracranial bleeding is less with clopidogrel compared to aspirin (91). The excess risk bleeding of clopidogrel in patients receiving antiplatelet therapy for unstable angina is 1.1-2.2%, which implies that the adjusted hazard ratio for major bleeding is 1.6 (84).
Glycoprotein Receptor Antagonists

Fibrinogen and von-Willebrand factor have multiple binding sites for platelet Gp IIb/Gp IIIa receptors. Antagonism of these receptors will interfere with the final common pathway of platelet aggregation and cross linking (102, 103). Gp IIb/Gp IIIa inhibitors are used synergistically with heparin and aspirin in the management of acute coronary syndromes and in percutaneous coronary interventions. Depending on the agent, the time required for normalization of platelet function varies between 8 to 48 hours following intravenous administration. Gp IIb/IIIa antagonists pose a significant bleeding risk during this interval. There is almost a two-fold bleeding risk associated with Gp IIb/IIIa inhibitor use in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary interventions: 1.9 percent of these patients develop a clinically significant hemorrhage (104). The majority of patients receiving Gp IIb/IIIa antagonists develop some degree of bleeding (102, 103) and this risk increases in the elderly (105). Thrombocytopenia, with platelet counts as low as 20,000, may develop in 1-2 % of patients using these agents (102).

Use of these agents during cardiac and vascular surgery is associated with an increased incidence of perioperative bleeding (106, 107). Contraindications to the use of these agents include a history of surgery within 4-6 weeks. Interventional radiology guidelines suggest delaying elective surgery for 24-48 hours after abciximab and 4-8 hours after eptifibatide or tirofiban. Surgery performed within 12 hours of abciximab will necessitate a platelet transfusion (108).

Overall, the management of antiplatelet agents includes the use of platelet concentrates. Platelet concentrates prevent bleeding time prolongation in patients undergoing cardiac bypass surgery (74). The French Society of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care recommends using platelet transfusions, if increased surgical bleeding occurs in patients using antiplatelet agents (109).

Dextran

Dextran is not an anticoagulant, but is anti-thrombotic by virtue of hemodilution, plasma volume expansion, and modulation of the hemostatic system (110). Dextran inhibits thrombus formation. Dextran enhances the conversion of fibrinogen to fibrin. Since fibrin is functionally and structurally inferior to fibrinogen, fibrin clots are more vulnerable to lysis. This clinical effect occurs 2-8 hours after the infusion is started and depends on the dose and molecular size of dextran. Additionally, dextran reduces the level of factor VIII activator, which in turn, diminishes platelet adhesiveness and aggregation (110, 111). The typical use is for venous thromboprophylaxis for general and orthopedic surgery (110). Dextran has been used as a volume expander during surgery and may not significantly impair hemostasis for hemodilution below 30% (110, 112). Dextran must not exceed 1.5g/kg of body weight/24 hours, in patients without hemostatic abnormalities (110, 112). Dextran is contraindicated in patients with inherited or acquired hemostatic abnormalities, such as hemophilia or thrombocytopenia (112).

Warfarin

Oral anticoagulants exert their anticoagulant effect by interfering with gamma carboxylation of vitamin K-dependent coagulation factors (113). The liver enzyme, epoxide reductase, is blocked and thus, the regeneration of reduced Vitamin K is prevented. The vitamin K-dependent factors, II, VII, IX, X, S, and C, are functionally depleted (65, 113).

The intensity of warfarin therapy depends on the proportion of inactive factors and the duration of effect depends on factor half-life. If less than 40% of any particular factor is present, bleeding may occur (113). After administration of an effective dose of warfarin, the coagulant activity of the blood decreases to about 50 percent of normal by the end of 12 hours and to about 20 per cent of normal by the end of 24 hours. In other words, the coagulation process is not blocked immediately but must wait the natural consumption of prothrombin and other affected coagulation factors already present in the plasma (65).

The prothrombin time and international normalized ratio are most sensitive to the activity of the factors with the shortest half-lives, VII and X, but least to the factor with the longest-half life, II. When factor VII activity is reduced to 55% of baseline, the INR will exceed 1.2. When factor VII activity is reduced to 40% of baseline, the INR will exceed 1.5. Hence, hemostasis is presumed to be normal when the INR is <1.5, since factor levels exceed 40% (5, 113). The caveat is this applies to INR values upon initiation of warfarin therapy. This does not apply to the converse situation, recovery of hemostasis after stopping warfarin. Factor II and X levels will take longer to normalize and may not be adequate even if the INR <1.4 (114). Typically, however, an INR value that is within the normal range implies that there are sufficient levels of vitamin K dependent clotting factors (5) and coagulation returns to normal within one to three days after discontinuing warfarin therapy (65).

Warfarin is typically prescribed for patients at risk for arterial or venous thromboembolism (2): venous thromboembolism prevention and treatment, deficiencies of protein S and C, atrial fibrillation, prosthetic heart valves, and acute myocardial infarctions complicated by ventricular mural thrombi (11).

Several factors influence the response to warfarin: race, drug interactions, diet, advanced age, female gender, body weight, and pre-existing medical conditions such as liver or renal disease (5, 115). Age and the intensity of anticoagulation are known risk factors for intracranial and possibly, intraspinal bleeding (116, 117).

A warfarin overdose manifests as ecchymosis formation, mucosal hemorrhage, and subserosal bleeding into the wall of the gastrointestinal tract. The PT is markedly prolonged in the presence of a coumadin overdose. If an expansile hematoma occurs following an invasive procedure, in the presence of anticoagulation with coumadin, urgent care is warranted. Close monitoring of vital signs, prothrombin time, and hematocrit are imperative and surgical attention may be necessary (118). Administration of fresh frozen plasma and vitamin K are necessary to reverse the effects of warfarin (114, 118-120).

There is no consensus on the optimal management of patients receiving oral anticoagulants in the perioperative period (2, 120). Once the INR reaches 1.5, certain types of surgery may proceed uneventfully (120). Kearon et al (120) estimates that if warfarin is held for four days prior to surgery and re-started the night of surgery, then the actual time frame, within which patients are exposed to thromboembolism risk, would only be one day prior and one day after surgery (120).

Dental procedures, joint and soft tissue injections, arthrocentesis, cataract
surgery, upper endoscopy or colonoscopy with or without a biopsy can be safely performed in the presence of oral anticoagulation (2). Arthrocentesis and joint injections do not increase the risk of joint or soft tissue hemorrhage (121).

The dental literature advises holding coumadin for 3-4 days until the international normalized ratio decreases to the 1-2 range. If systemic heparinization is used in the interim then it should be stopped 6 hours prior to the procedure. Coumadin should be restarted in the evening following the procedure.

The American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy indicates that for low-risk procedures (e.g., colonoscopy with or without biopsy) full dose anticoagulation should be continued. In patients undergoing high risk procedures, such as polypectomy or in those patients at high-risk for thromboembolic complications, perioperative heparinization should be administered and oral anticoagulation held (122). Nonetheless, even these recommendations may not be fully adopted by gastroenterologists.

For other invasive and surgical procedures, oral anticoagulation needs to be withheld, and the decision to pursue aggressive perioperative anticoagulation with unfractionated or fractionated heparins depends on an individualized assessment of risk of thromboembolic versus bleeding events. When the risks of major disability following arterial or venous thrombosis versus those following post-operative hemorrhage are considered, perioperative management of oral anticoagulant becomes more complex. Recurrent venous thromboembolism can be fatal in 6% and can cause serious disability in 2% of patients, respectively (120). Arterial thromboembolic events are more serious: 20% of patients die and 40% of patients suffer serious disability (120). However, only 3% of post-operative hemorrhages are fatal (120).

The calculated risk of stroke and venous thromboembolism in patients that chronically receive, but stop oral warfarin prior to a surgical procedure is 0.6 and 0.3%, respectively (2). These rates are greater than the expected stroke rates for patients with atrial fibrillation and mechanical valves that never receive anticoagulation (2). For instance, withholding coumadin for 4 days prior to surgery, in a patient with a mechanical valve, would expose the patient to a 0.4% risk of stroke.

The calculated stroke incidence for a patient, with a mechanical heart valve, who never receives any anticoagulation, over the same interval, would be 0.04% (2). Theoretical explanations for this include the hypercoagulable state induced by holding and restarting coumadin and the surgical milieu (2). Furthermore, death or permanent disability is common following arterial thromboembolism and infrequent following venous thromboembolism or post-operative bleeding (2).

Hence, guidelines based on the risk of stroke for certain conditions, assuming oral anticoagulation has never been administered, have been developed. If the risks and consequences of bleeding are high, then oral anticoagulation should be held irrespective of the annual stroke risk. The need to administer intravenous heparin or subcutaneous low molecular weight heparin when the INR becomes subtherapeutic depends on stroke risk. If the risk is <4%, eg. chronic atrial fibrillation without thromboembolic stroke or cardiomyopathy without atrial fibrillation, heparin therapy is not needed. If the risk is 4-7%, eg. mechanical aortic valve, heparin therapy is optional. If the risk is >7%, eg. mechanical mitral valve or atrial fibrillation with a history of thromboembolic stroke, then heparin therapy is required (2).

Similar recommendations are provided by other authors. Kearon and Hirsh (120) suggest that warfarin should be held in patients whose INR is maintained between 2 to 3 and that the INR should drop below 1.5, before surgery is started. Warfarin may have to be held longer if the INR is maintained above 3 or the requisite INR prior to surgery has to be <1.3. One day prior to surgery the INR should be measured and if the INR<1.8, vitamin K should be administered (120).

Several series have argued that oral anticoagulation can be safely withheld in patients with mechanical valves that undergo non-cardiac surgery (123, 124). Mechanical mitral valves, however, may need perioperative heparinization (123). Ananthasubramanian et al (125), withheld oral anticoagulation for a mean of 15+/4 days, in patients with mechanical heart valves, without any thromboembolic complications (125). In contrast, bleeding complications can increase if oral anticoagulation is not withheld or if perioperative intravenous heparin therapy is administered prior to surgery (120, 126, 127). Immediate initiation of intravenous heparin therapy after surgery is likely to increase the risk of major bleeding (120). The calculated bleeding risk in the background of oral anticoagulation is 2-4% for major surgery and 0-2% for invasive procedures (2). Two days of intravenous heparin therapy will increase the absolute rate of major post-operative bleeding by 3 percent (120). One strategy, not yet validated in clinical trials, includes the use of outpatient subcutaneous low molecular weight heparin therapy as a bridge to surgery (2, 128).

In summary, recommendations for perioperative management of oral anticoagulation have been made based on the type of thromboembolic event (venous versus arterial) and specific risk factors for thromboembolism.

If surgery is planned within the first month after an acute venous thromboembolic episode, then the surgery should be postponed. If this is not possible, warfarin should be held, and intravenous heparin should be administered whenever the INR falls below 2. Intravenous heparin should be held 6 hours prior to and restarted 12 hours after surgery, without a bolus. Heparin may have to be held even longer if there is bleeding at the surgical site. If oral anticoagulation has been administered for >1 month, but <3 months following venous thromboembolism, preoperative intravenous heparinization is not needed, unless the patient has additional risk factors. Post-operative heparinization is needed (120), however if oral anticoagulation has been administered for >3 months, only post-operative venous thromboembolism prophylaxis is necessary, but in combination with mechanical methods, e.g., pneumatic compression devices (120).

Elective surgery should be deferred in the first month following an arterial embolism. Essential surgery in this period should be managed with pre-operative heparinization and if the bleeding risk is low, post-operative heparinization. In patients receiving prophylaxis for the prevention of arterial thromboembolic events, e.g., mechanical heart valves or non-valvular atrial fibrillation, perioperative intravenous heparinization is not necessary (120) and should be avoided due the risk of post-operative bleeding. Subcutaneous unfractionated and low molecular weight heparin should be used for prophylaxis in hospitalized patients. No prophylaxis is needed for out-
patients (120).

Watts and Gibbs (119) only agree with one scenario in these guidelines: patients with acute venous or arterial thromboembolism that have been treated with oral anticoagulation therapy for less than one month. All other scenarios would require perioperative low molecular weight heparin therapy (119), with doses that range between 20 mg/day to 1.5 mg/kg/day. The dose depends on the risk of arterial or venous thromboembolism recurrence, whether the risk is high, e.g., malignancy, valvular atrial fibrillation, and caged-ball prostheses, or non-existent (119).

**Thrombolytics and Fibrinolytics**

Thrombolytic drugs, such as urokinase and tissue plasminogen activator, lyse pathological thrombi and hemostatic plugs. The major risk of these agents is hemorrhage (20). Resolution of the action of thrombolytic drugs may take days: plasmin dissolves fibrin clots and forms fibrin degradation products, which inhibit platelet aggregation. Some contraindications to thrombolytic therapy include surgery within ten days, including organ biopsy and puncture of non-compressible vessels such as epidural veins (5, 129).

**Heparin**

Heparin is a negatively charged, water-soluble glycosaminoglycan and clinically, the most important antithrombotic drug (130). Heparin is widely used for anticoagulation in vascular surgery and in procedures requiring cardiopulmonary bypass. Standard, i.e., unfractionated heparin has a heterogeneous range of molecular weights (5-30,000 Daltons), due to the variable length of attached polysaccharide chains (131, 132). Anticoagulant and pharmacokinetic properties are correspondingly variable (131, 132). Low molecular weight heparins consist of glycosaminoglycans with lower, average molecular weights (4000-6500 Daltons). Low molecular weight heparins have relatively shorter polysaccharide chains, compared to unfractionated heparins. These differences in physical characteristics account for the different activities of low molecular weight versus unfractionated heparins.

One-third of standard heparins contain a specific pentasaccharide with a high affinity for anti-thrombin III (131-133). This binding accelerates the activity of antithrombin III, an ordinarily slow acting protease inhibitor. The heparin-ATIII complex results in a conformational change that significantly increases ATIII inhibitory activity. Heparin-antithrombin III binding inactivates thrombin (factor IIa), factor Xa, factor XIa, and XIIa (20, 130). Factor IIa is the most sensitive to inhibition (20, 131, 132). Heparin-antithrombin III complexes further inhibit a procoagulant feedback loop via Factors V and VIII. The relative activity of heparin depends on the number and size of molecules containing the pentasaccharide sequence. Whereas higher molecular weight heparins inactivate both factors Xa and IIa, lower molecular weight heparins only inhibit Xa (131, 132).

Heparin must be given parenterally, since the oral bioavailability is zero. Intravenous injections result in an immediate anticoagulant effect, but subcutaneous injections have a delay of 2 hours. This anticoagulant effect is dose and molecular-size dependent (131, 132). The anticoagulant effect, furthermore, is non-linear with increasing doses. This has important clinical applications. Practitioners often think the half-life of heparin is on the order of 30-60 minutes and these values may be valid at common clinical doses: 25-100U/kg (131, 132). However, at doses as high as 400U/kg, the half-life may increase to 150 minutes (131, 132).

Up to five percent of patients receiving heparin therapy will have a generation of circulating antibodies that bind a heparin-platelet factor complex on the surface of platelets or endothelium. This eventually results in platelet activation or endothelial cell injury and a subsequent prothrombotic state. This syndrome can be circumvented by the use of specially manufactured low-molecular weight heparin preparations, which retain anticoagulant activity but do not interact with platelets. Osipova et al (134) studied 17 patients that underwent extensive microsurgical autotissue graft repair and received prophylactic and therapeutic treatment with various antithrombotic, rheological, and anticoagulative agents. The protocol's proposed combination of fraxiparin, a low molecular weight heparin, ketoprofene (ketonal), a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug, perfluorane, and antikinnigen contrycal created optimal conditions for maintaining blood supply and oxygenation of the transplant. The regimen reduced blood clotting and platelet aggre-

Significant bleeding has been reported in association with different types of heparin therapy. Heparin overdose manifests as subcutaneous hemorrhage and deep tissue hematomas. This anticoagulant is inactivated in the liver and is excreted by the kidneys, explaining the prolonged anticoagulant effects of heparin in the patient with hepatic or renal disease. Decreased body temperature is also associated with an enhanced anticoagulant effect of heparin. The PT and PTT are prolonged, but bleeding time is normal. Protamine may reverse the effect of heparin.

Low dose subcutaneous heparin, 5000 units B.I.D., is used for venous thromboprophylaxis in surgery and urol-

Low molecular weight heparin, as compared to unfractionated heparin, has a longer half-life, has a more predictable bioavailability, lacks adequate tests to monitor the anticoagulant effect, cannot be reversed with protamine, and has a reduced influence on platelet function (5,130,139,140). Protamine is the least toxic and most commonly used antidote to heparin (130,139). Protamine may neutralize the antithrombin effects of low
molecular weight heparin, but not its antifactor Xa activity (130,143). Prolonged use may be associated with an accumulation of anti-Xa activity, dose-dependent fibrinolysis, and interference with platelet-endothelial binding (144,145).

Two to four hours after subcutaneous administration, therapeutic levels of LMWH are reached and twelve hours after administration, 50% of peak levels are maintained (145). Enoxaparin, a LMWH has an elimination half-life of 2-3 hours, but the antithrombotic effect can last as long as 24 hours (132). Anti-hemostatic effects are magnified in the presence of advanced age, abnormal renal function, and concomitant NSAID use (132,145).

Low molecular weight heparins may be as safe and effective, in terms of major bleeding risk and thromboembolic event reduction, respectively, as unfractionated, adjusted dose heparin (128). Low molecular weight heparins reduce mortality after an acute deep venous thrombosis (128).

European guidelines for DVT prophylaxis are 20 mg once-daily for low risk and 40 mg once-daily for high risk patients. Thirty or forty milligrams, twice daily are advised for the treatment of deep venous thrombosis (5). Enoxaparin was the first low molecular weight heparin approved thromboprophylaxis for major joint replacement surgery in the USA in 1993.

The uses of LMWH have expanded beyond the FDA-approved use. ‘Bridging therapy’ has been used for patients chronically anticoagulated with warfarin: prosthetic valves, parturients, chronic atrial fibrillation, and hypercoaguable states (148). LMWH has been used as therapy for acute deep venous thromboembolism, coronary syndromes, and preservation of graft patency following percutaneous vascular revascularization procedures (149,150).

Herbal Medications
Garlic, ginkgo, and ginseng are herbal medications that may cause bleeding. Garlic irreversibly inhibits platelet aggregation in a dose dependent fashion and may potentiate the antiaggregatory actions of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (151). Garlic has fibrinolytic activity (152), Ginkgo inhibits platelet activating factor (153) and has been implicated in several cases of spontaneous intracranial bleeding (154-156). Ginseng inhibits platelet aggregation and interacts with warfarin. Ginseng may prolong thrombin time and activated partial thromboplastin time (5, 157, 158). Apart from the common herbal medications, there are others that may cause bleeding: feverfew, green tea, horse chestnut, cat’s claw, ginger, chamomile, and fenugreek seed. Herbal preparations are not as tightly regulated as prescription medications. Government protections may not be sufficient to protect against adverse events. A significant proportion of patients presenting for a pre-anesthetic evaluation self-administer nutraceutical agents (159). Patients may not even report the consumption of herbs to practitioners (159).

New Anticoagulants
Some thrombi may continue to grow despite anticoagulant therapy. Fibrin-bound thrombin is protected from inhibition by heparin and remains enzymatically active: bound fibrin can amplify its own generation through a positive feedback loop via coagulation factors V and VIII (160). Bound thrombin also continues to activate platelets through thromboxane-A2-independent mechanisms that cannot be blocked by aspirin (160).

Direct thrombin inhibitors (direct factor IIa inhibitors), unlike heparins (direct factor IIa inhibitors), interact directly with thrombin, in both clot-bound and circulating forms (161). Unfractionated and fractionated heparins block circulating, but not clot-bound thrombin (76, 161). These agents are used in acute coronary syndromes and coronary angioplasty procedures, in patients with heparin induced thrombocytopenia, and in venous thromboembolism prophylaxis for hip surgery. One recent meta-analysis found that direct thrombin inhibitors are superior to heparin for the prevention of death or myocardial infarction in patients with acute coronary syndromes (160).

Direct thrombin inhibitors have an anticoagulant response that is more predictable than unfractionated heparins (161) and this response can be monitored by the aPTT. Thrombin inhibitors can block either thrombin’s active site (univalent) or both the active and substrate recognition sites (bivalent) (161). The prototypical thrombin inhibitor is hirudin, which is bivalent, potent, and almost irreversible. Thrombin inhibitors have short half-lives ranging from 30 to 60 minutes (161). Hemorrhage may be life-threatening and the antithrombin effects cannot be reversed pharmacologically (5). HIRUDIN derivatives pose an increased risk of major bleeding compared to systemic heparin therapy (160). Spontaneous intracranial bleeding has occurred. Argatroban, another direct thrombin inhibitor, resulted in a higher incidence of major bleeding compared to historical controls: episodes of gastrointestinal and genitourinary bleeding and episodes requiring transfusions were higher with argatroban (162). Additional clinical experience is needed to assess bleeding risk (5).

Fondaparinux is a new selective factor Xa inhibitor (163). This synthetic pentasaccharide has a half-life of 21 hours and a bioavailability approaching 100%. Other beneficial pharmacological properties include the absence of metabolism or non-specific binding. Clinically this implies that dosing can be once-daily and that anticoagulation is more predictable. Fondaparinux has been approved for venous thromboprophylaxis following orthopedic surgery. Fondaparinux may reduce this risk by 50% more than low molecular weight heparins (163).

Fondaparinux and low-molecular weight heparins have similar bleeding risks and similar FDA warnings (163). A spinal hematoma has been reported in patients receiving twice the recommended thromboprophylaxis dose (163, 164). There were no additional hematomas in a prospective trial of 3600 patients.

Hemostatic Drugs
Hemostatic drugs deserve brief mention due to their potential role as therapeutic agents for acquired and congenital coagulation disorders and for major bleeding episodes.

Synthetic amino acids, such asaminocaproic acid and tranexamic acid, may interfere with fibrinolysis by reversibly binding to plasminogen and preventing its transformation to plasmin (165). Tranexamic acid is more potent than aminocaproic acid and has a longer half-life. These agents can reduce blood loss in primary menorrhagia, gastrointestinal bleeding, urinary tract bleeding, oral bleeding in hemophiliacs, oral bleeding following dental extractions in patients receiv--
ing oral anticoagulant therapy, cardiac surgery, thrombocytopenia, patients receiving thrombolitics, joint replacement, and liver transplants (165). The dose of tranexamic acid is typically 10-15 mg/kg. Side effects are typically dose dependent. The main risk, however, is a thrombotic complication.

Aprotinin is a polypeptide that reversibly inhibits the action of several serine proteases (165): aprotinin inhibits the coagulation cascades and fibrinolysis. Aprotinin does not affect platelets. It is primarily used in liver transplantation and cardiac surgery. Hypersensitivity reactions are common with this agent. Aprotinin may cause arterial or venous thrombosis, but several studies have failed to demonstrate this complication.

Desmopressin, an analogue of vasopressin, may temporarily boost factor VIII and von Willebrand factor levels in patients with acquired and congenital bleeding disorders (165). Desmopressin is useful when an immediate effect on hemostasis is required. Adverse events include facial flushing, headaches, water retention, and hyponatremia.

Conjugated estrogens shorten bleeding times in patients with uremia (165). The mechanism of action is unknown, but can last for two-three weeks. These agents may be useful in preventing bleeding during elective procedures or recurrent bleeding gastrointestinal or nasal bleeding episodes.

It is unclear if these agents will be useful in the management of bleeding complications following interventional pain procedures. Nonetheless, knowledge about ways to obtain hemostasis in face of a coagulation disorder is useful.

**Bleeding Complications in Interventional Pain Practice with and without Associated Coagulopathy**

We have summarized the relevant literature on bleeding complications with respect to specific techniques and coagulopathies. We performed a literature search on MEDLINE, using the National Library of Medicine PubMed data base and the aid of an experienced medical librarian. A broad search strategy was used to capture all articles pertaining to bleeding as a complication in interventional pain and regional anesthesia. Over 2400 articles were identified, but only about one hundred and eighty were relevant. Additional data was obtained from references in these articles. The majority of articles pertained to neuraxial anesthesia, retrobulbar blockade, and intracranial hematoma. There were a few papers concerning lumbar plexus, lumbar sympathetic and peripheral nerve blocks. Some papers may have been missed due to the limitations of the search engine: the search engine can only identify terms listed in the citation, such as the title, authors, abstract, publication type, and MeSH terms. For instance, a paper that discusses the efficacy of a technique, but incidentally reports complications in the discussion may have been missed. A case report that describes the consumption of an anticoagulant by a patient, in the methods section, might have been missed. Nonetheless, we feel that these articles are representative: reported bleeding complications are rare and available for only a few commonly practiced interventional pain and regional techniques.

**Acquired and Congenital Coagulation Disorders**

**Acquired and Congenital Hemophilias**

Three patients with Hemophilia A developed spontaneous spinal hematoma (166-168) that only required conservative treatment. In two patients, cervical epidural hematomas developed following minimal trauma (166). Factor VIII replacement therapy was initiated and both patients had dramatic improvements in neurological symptoms over several days; in one patient the hematoma was completely resorbed (166, 167). In another patient, the hematoma developed after sit-ups and spanned the segments C2-T12 (168). Recombinant factor VIIa was used and the hematoma resolved within 4 weeks (168).

Recombinant Factor VIIa has been used to control surgical bleeding in non-hemophilics (58). As a ‘universal’ hemostatic agent, recombinant Factor VIIa has controlled trauma-associated, post-surgical, and spontaneous bleeding (58). Factor VIIa has been used in neurosurgical patients with a pre-existing coagulopathy: anticoagulation, liver disease, and hemodilution (169). Normalization of coagulation status may occur within 20 minutes (169).

Unlike the previous three cases, surgical management may be required in some cases of hemophilia. A spontaneous cervical epidural hematoma developed in a patient with a deficiency of Factor XI. Despite continuous infusions of fresh frozen plasma and Factor XI cryoprecipitate therapy, an emergency decompressive laminectomy had to be performed, due to progressive neurological dysfunction. Post-operatively, the patient made a good recovery (56).

Published reports on the use of regional anesthetic or interventional pain procedures in hemophiliacs are few. Dhar (55) et al reported successful placement of an epidural catheter in a patient with Hemophilia A that developed spontaneous rupture of membranes. Recombinant factor VIII replacement therapy raised her factor VIII levels to 101% of normal, prior to catheter placement and was continued for 48 hours post-delivery. Intermittent recombinant factor replacement was continued for six weeks. There were no complications to the patient or her child (55). Elevations in coagulation factors during pregnancy, such as the 200-500% increase in factor VIII, may have also been protective in this case (55, 170).

Kang et al (171), reported successful use of a continuous axillary brachial plexus block, using a nerve stimulation technique, in a severe hemophilic. The patient had Factor VIII levels of less than 1% and developed severe hemophilic arthropathy of the elbow. He underwent radial head resection, synovectomy, and contracture release. No bleeding or neurological complications developed, despite some difficulty in keeping factor VIII levels above 30%. The authors speculated that if Factor VIII levels were kept above 30%, the risk of bleeding complications with a continuous axillary brachial plexus block should be equivalent to patients without hemophilia (171).

Epidermal catheterization was used for labor in a patient who had two prior uneventful vaginal deliveries with epidural anesthesia. The epidural dressing became saturated with blood, five hours after placement. Coagulation studies were performed and the aPTT was 57 seconds (reference range: 25-38 seconds). Epidural analgesia and anesthesia were continued. Cryoprecipitate was used well into the case, but the aPTT did not significantly change. Epidural site bleeding continued at a rate of 150ccs/hour and hematuria spontaneously developed. Further coagulation studies demonstrated factor VIII activity of 4% and a presumptive diagnosis of acquired Hemophilia secondary
to factor VIII inhibitors was made. Factor VIII concentrates and steroids were then administered for several days. The authors speculated that a caesarean section and emergent neurosurgical decompression were luckily averted, both of which could have lead to the patients demise.

These authors cautioned that abnormal coagulation studies in an otherwise asymptomatic patient should not be ignored (59). For example, an elevation of the aPTT may indicate a range of bleeding disorders: acquired hemophilia with inhibitors, congenital hemophilia, or von Willebrand disease. However, severe von Willebrand disease may be less severe than acquired hemophilia due to the presence of factor inhibitors (59).

**Von Willebrand Disease**

A spontaneous, spinal hematoma spanning 12 segments has been reported in a patient with von Willebrand disease (172), who also had spontaneous bleeding at other sites. There have been a few reported cases of safe epidural catheterization in patients with von Willebrand’s disease (52, 170, 173). Epidural catheterization was uneventful in one patient, whose vWF:Ag and Ricof levels were 28 and 42%, respectively (52). In another report, the vWF:Ag level was 35% and the Ricof level was 10% (173) and epidural catheterization was also uneventful.

**Thrombocytopenia and Idiopathic Thrombocytopenic Purpura**

A platelet count of 100,000 is abnormal and represents a value that is two standard deviations below the mean (174). Epidural catheterization is considered safe in patients with platelet counts > 100,000 (175). Neuraxial procedures in patients with platelet counts less than 100,000 are controversial.

Waldman et al (176) prospectively performed 336 caudal epidural injections, with a 25 gauge needle, in 56 coagulopathic patients. Thirty seven patients had abnormal coagulation parameters, with a PT or aPTT that was 1.5 times the control value. Nineteen patients had thrombocytopenia with platelet counts <50,000/mm³. There were no spinal hematomas, but two patients had a small hematoma at the injection site (176). He concluded that thrombocytopenia and anticoagulation may only be relative contraindications to caudal epidural procedures.

Fifty-five to sixty-six percent of anesthesiologists, depending on practice setting, would perform an epidural in a parturient that has a platelet count that ranges from 80,000 to 100,000. Only a minority of anesthesiologists would place epidural catheters at lower platelet counts (177). One retrospective study identified 30 parturients that received epidural anesthesia with platelet counts ranging between 69-98,000 and 22 parturients with initial platelet counts >100,000 that subsequently dropped below 100,000 (178); none of the patients developed neurological complications (178). Rasmus et al (179) retrospectively identified 14 parturients with platelet counts less than 100,000 (15,000-99,000) that received epidural anesthesia without sequelae. However, their small sample size only ensured a 95% probability that the incidence of epidural hematoma would be less than 17% (180). In parturients with thrombocytopenia, a history and physical consistent with bleeding is a contraindication to regional anesthesia. If there is no clinical evidence of bleeding, a platelet count should be repeated to ensure no further decrease in value, prior to the regional anesthetic. No cut-off value for the platelet count is advised and platelet function analyses are not recommended (181). If a regional block is going to be used then a spinal is preferable to an epidural; if an epidural is used then the local anesthetic concentration should be minimized to permit neurological evaluation (181). If a spinal must be used and profound thrombocytopenia is present, a platelet transfusion is advised. Platelet transfusions are advised for patients undergoing lumbar puncture, when their platelet count is less than 20,000 (182).

Spinal anesthesia was performed on a young parturient with idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura, based on a negative history of bleeding diathesis and a normal bleeding time. However, this patient had a platelet count that varied between 46,000 to 64,000/microliter. Despite proceeding with the procedure and an uneventful post-partum course, the authors expressed caution, i.e., spinal anesthetics should be limited to patients with platelet counts greater than 50,000/microliter (183).

**Liver and Renal Disease**

The presence of even mild liver dysfunction may be a causal factor in procedure-related bleeding. Hepatic dysfunction with accompanied portal hypertension may cause epidural venous congestion and increase the likelihood of bleeding (184-186). More advanced liver disease increases the risk of neuraxial hematomas following regional procedures: reduced production of clotting factors, portal hypertension induced epidural venous engorgement, and hypersplenism-induced platelet sequestration (67, 187). A spinal hematoma, following a lumbar puncture, has been reported in a patient with liver disease (188). An epidural hematoma following epidural catheter placement has been reported in patients with mild (174) and advanced liver disease (187).

A subarachnoid hematoma complicated by paraplegia has been reported as a complication of spinal anesthesia, in a patient with chronic renal failure (189). Although there are several reported cases of spontaneous epidural hematoma in patients with renal failure (190, 191), there are fewer reported cases following neuraxial anesthetics (192). Despite a negative history of bleeding and normal coagulation studies, a patient with chronic renal failure developed a delayed epidural hematoma, after epidural catheter placement for post-operative analgesia (192). Treatment options for a spinal hematoma in chronic renal failure patients include decompressive surgery, fresh frozen plasma, or desmopressin (190-192).

**Specific Anticoagulants**

Anticoagulation increases the absolute risk of bleeding. Warfarin is responsible for spontaneous bleeding in 3% and 7% of patients, depending on whether the INR is 2-3 or >4, respectively. The risk of bleeding is less than 3% when patients receive subcutaneous, intravenous or low molecular weight heparin (138). Thrombin inhibitors may induce bleeding in 2-5% of patients (160, 162). Thrombolytic therapy, however, presents the greatest risk of bleeding: 6-30% (193). Invasive procedures or surgery may pose an even greater risk of significant bleeding in the face of anticoagulation.

Hite et al (194) provided a strategy for the management of anticoagulation during endoscopy. For low risk procedures, such as, upper endoscopy with biopsy, colonoscopy with biopsy or endoscopic retrograde and cholangiopancreatography with stent insertion (but without sphincterotomy), anticoagulation did
not have to be adjusted. For high-risk procedures, such as polypectomy, endoscopic sphincterotomy, laser therapy, mucosal ablation and variceal treatment, anticoagulation would have to be adjusted. Warfarin should be discontinued four to five days before the procedure and restarted the night of the procedure. In weighing the risks vs. benefits, in terms of preventing bleeding versus thromboembolism, patients may require Vitamin K +/- fresh frozen plasma or intravenous heparinization, respectively. Low molecular weight heparin may be an alternative to unfractionated heparin. Aspirin and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs do not have to be discontinued. Thienopyridine drugs should be discontinued 7-10 days prior to a high risk procedure (194).

Bleeding risks for procedures commonly performed by one specialty may not apply to those performed by other specialties. In patients undergoing cataract surgery, retrobulbar hemorrhage is more common in those patients who cannot stop anticoagulation and even in those who stop it prior to surgery, compared to those who have never been anticoagulated (195). Nonetheless, retrobulbar blockade may be safe in the presence of anticoagulation (196-198).

The administration of a neuraxial anesthetic in the presence of anticoagulation is a cause for concern: if a blood vessel is traumatized during the performance of a regional anesthetic, then a delayed hemorrhage may lead to a spinal hematoma and spinal cord compression (199).

Regional blocks are typically contraindicated in the presence of systemic anticoagulation with heparin, coumadin, and thrombolytics. Antiplatelet and unfractionated, low dose, subcutaneous heparin therapies are considered to present a very small risk of epidural hematoma. Low molecular weight heparin, however, was associated with a rise in the number of epidural hematomas and thus, prompted an FDA advisory (200).

The relative risk of bleeding in the presence of anticoagulation can be calculated. Stafford-Smith et al (201) assumed a baseline risk of one for neuraxial procedures that are performed without anticoagulation and without technical difficulties. The relative risk in the presence of aspirin therapy was no different when compared to patients that did not consume aspirin. Traumatic insertion during a neuraxial procedure increases the relative risk to eleven. Traumatic insertion, followed by intravenous heparinization, maximally increases the relative risk to 111. Aspirin and intravenous heparin therapy, together, increase the risk to 26. Intravenous heparin therapy that is administered within one-hour of the neuraxial block will increase the risk to 25, compared to 2, if heparin is delayed more than 1 hour (201). Fortunately, spinal hematomas following neuraxial anesthesia are rare. In a series of 17,733 neuraxial blocks, 3 spinal hematomas were identified in patients with abnormal hemostasis (202).

The American Society of Regional Anesthesia developed guidelines for the safe practice of neuraxial anesthesia in the presence of anticoagulation (5, 203). These may be the most relevant for interventional pain practice. The main quandary in developing these guidelines was the lack of data. Hence, the ASRA guidelines represent the opinions of experts on anticoagulation and regional anesthesia and are based on the available clinical and basic science literature (5, 204). Additionally, there are published European guidelines (3, 4) and several exhaustive reviews on this subject (20, 129, 133, 205, 207-210).

**ANTIPLATELET MEDICATIONS**

**Aspirin and Non Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs**

Aspirin and NSAIDs are not contraindications to neuraxial anesthesia (209). This issue is debated. Spanish guidelines on the use of antiplatelet agents with neuraxial procedures are more conservative than the American and German guidelines: hold these medications prior to neuraxial blocks (3). One survey in Europe showed that patients receiving aspirin therapy may be accepted for neuraxial blocks only after meeting some criteria: laboratory tests are within normal limits, aspirin is withheld for a minimum time period, and the dose should not exceed a maximal limit (20).

Vanderemeulen (208) identified two hematomas related to aspirin and indomethacin usage in a series of 61 patients. Aspirin has been implicated as the etiology in a few reported cases of spontaneous spinal hematoma (211-214). Ketorolac has the potential to worsen platelet function during spinal anesthesia (215) and has been implicated in two cases of epidural hematoma (216, 217). Indomethacin has been implicated in the development of a cervical epidural hematoma following repeat cervical epidural steroid injections (218). The combined use of naproxen and diclofenac has been implicated in the development of a large epidural hematoma following a subarachnoid puncture (219). MacDonald suggests withholding aspirin for 7-10 days and obtaining a bleeding time prior to epidural catheter placement (220). The main concern was how much bleeding could occur due to prolongation of bleeding and would such a volume of blood be significant to cause extradural hematoma (22). Nonetheless, these few reports in the context of widespread use of cyclooxygenase inhibitors, suggests that neuraxial anesthesia can be safely performed in patients, receiving these agents.

In a combined series of 4714 patients consuming cyclooxygenase inhibitors, there were no hematomas (209). There were no adverse neurosurgical events in 1422 high risk obstetric patients that received 60mg of aspirin, while participating in the collaborative low dose aspirin study in pregnancy (CLASP) (221). Recently, in a prospective series of 1035 individuals undergoing 1214 epidural steroid injections, fifteen percent of patients reported a history of bleeding and bruising and 32% were taking non-steroidal anti-inflammatory medications. Platelet counts were performed on only 77 patients and all were greater than 100,000. 5.2% of patients developed minor hemorrhagic complications, but none developed a hematoma. NSAIDs did not increase the frequency of minor bleeding, but increased age, large needle gauges, type of needle approach, needle insertion at multiple interspaces, number of needle passes, volume of injectate, and accidental dural puncture were significant risk factors for bleeding (222).

In a retrospective series of over 1000 patients, Horlocker (223) reported that 39% were taking at least one antiplatelet drug and 11% were taking multiple antiplatelet drugs at the time of neuraxial block; no patient developed a spinal hematoma, but patients exhibited a higher incidence of minor hemorrhagic events: blood aspirated through spinal or epidural needle or catheter.

In a follow-up prospective study of 1000 patients undergoing orthopedic procedures with neuraxial anesthesia, Horlocker et al (224) demonstrated that
pre-operative antiplatelet therapy had no correlation with the presence of blood at the needle hub or during catheter placement or removal. These results differed from their retrospective study, but once reporting of minor hemorrhagic events was standardized, the rate increased from 2% (223) to 22% (224). Despite asserting that the risk of spinal hematoma is not significant in patients receiving antiplatelet therapy and neuraxial anesthesia, Horlocker et al (224) surmises that there may be a correlation between minor spinal canal bleeding and spinal hematomas: these hematomas represent clinically insignificant collections of blood in the spinal canal. This implies that pre-operative antiplatelet therapy is not a significant risk factor for the development of neurological dysfunction from spinal hematoma. A world famous hematologist, however, questions whether these data are sufficient to exclude the possibility of a hematoma in a patient that consumes aspirin and receives a neuraxial anesthetic (225).

Subarachnoid hematomas following lumbar puncture can occur in the presence of anti-platelet therapy, along with other causes of reduced platelet function or numbers (184, 220, 226-228). Erythrocyte counts were not significantly increased during subarachnoid injections, in patients receiving antiplatelet therapy. Knowles et al (229), however, cautioned that the risks associated with lumbar puncture and antiplatelet therapy are of prolonged bleeding, rather than the initial vascular injury (229). In fact, delayed hematomas have occurred (228), in the presence of anti-platelet therapy.

Aspirin is not a contraindication to non-neuraxial blocks, despite concerns among clinicians (26, 220). Acetylsalicylic acid administration, following femoral nerve blocks, did not result in more hematomas (230). Aspirin use is not associated with an increased risk of retrobulbar hemorrhage and should not be discontinued prior to retrobulbar blocks (196). However, Sub-Tenon anesthesia is associated with an increased incidence of minor subconjunctival hemorrhagic in patients consuming aspirin compared to those not taking aspirin. Surgery could not proceed in 4% of these aspirin-takers (231). Spontaneous orbital hemorrhage has been reported in a patient that was consuming fenoprofen and aspirin (232).

Thienopyridine Derivatives and Glycoprotein Receptor Antagonists

The risk of bleeding, during regional blocks, may be increased with thienopyridine derivatives relative to aspirin and NSAID therapy (209). Thienopyridine agents have been implicated in two cases of severe bleeding following lumbar sympathetic blocks (233). The authors followed a strict and safe approach, with fluoroscopic control, aspiration, small caliber sharp needles, and contrast. Ticlopidine was not held prior to the block in one patient and the hematocrit dropped. Nonetheless, a second block was performed 6 days later. Several hours later, the patient complained of groin pain and developed hypotension. There was a further reduction in the hematocrit and a retroperitoneal hematoma was diagnosed. The patient was transfused. In the second case, a lumbar sympathetic block was performed three days after discontinuing clopidogrel. Coagulation studies, including bleeding time, were normal. Several hours later, the patient developed groin pain and suffered a cardiac arrest. An autopsy demonstrated a large retroperitoneal hematoma (233). This complication unfavorably compares to the <0.1% incidence of retroperitoneal hematomas, following lumbar plexus blocks in general (233). Ideally, ticlopidine should be held for 10-14 days and clopidogrel for 7 days (5). Some suggest holding both medications for only 7 days (233, 234). Further caution is advised if these agents are used in conjunction with aspirin or NSAIDs.

A few spinal hematomas that developed following a neuraxial procedure, but were attributed to thienopyridine derivative therapy, have been reported (227, 234, 235). The synergy between several antiplatelet agents may significantly increase the risk of a hematoma. A patient developed a cervical epidural hematoma following a cervical epidural steroid injection. He was consuming dicylofenac, aspirin, and clopidogrel at the time the procedure was performed (234).

Due to the variety of pharmacological actions of antiplatelet agents, predicting the risk of a spinal hematoma following a neuraxial block is impossible (3). A history of easy bruising, female gender, and increased age may increase the risk of a hematoma with antiplatelet agent (5). Aspirin and NSAIDs do not pose a significant bleeding risk in performing neuraxial procedures and there are no issues regarding the timing of the block (5). Since the risk associated with thienopyridine derivative and glycoprotein receptor antagonists is unknown, the American Society of Regional Anesthesia has adapted guidelines from the radiology and cardiology literature for neuraxial procedures: withhold ticlopidine for 14 days and clopidogrel for 7 days and avoid procedures 4 weeks after Gp receptor antagonists. At a minimum, platelet function should normalize following Gp receptor antagonist administration: 24-48 hours for abciximab and 4-8 hours for epifibatide and tirofiban (5). If a patient consumes several antiplatelet drugs, synergistic actions may have a profound effect on platelet function; thus, these agents may have to be held for 5-7 days (234).

Dextran

Only one epidural hematoma, following a neuraxial procedure has been reported in a patient receiving dextran therapy (111).

Warfarin

Oral anticoagulation with warfarin is a contraindication to neuraxial anesthesia (5). Atraumatic epidural catheterization was performed in one patient that was fully anticoagulated with warfarin; the anesthesiologist was unaware and the patient developed paraparesis (115).

Neuraxial anesthesia in patients who have discontinued coumadin or will be receiving coumadin for thromboprophylaxis is more controversial. Survey data from 1998 regarding opinions of anesthesiology program directors in the United States on perioperative discontinuance or alteration of medications, specifically warfarin, have been reported. The length of time physicians would discontinue warfarin therapy, prior to surgery, is variable. However, 72% of the respondents favored the use of a heparin window preoperatively. Further data may be needed to assess which approach is more appropriate for the use of anticoagulants in operative candidates (236).

No hematomas have been reported in patients receiving perioperative warfarin for thromboprophylaxis (5). Odoom and Sih (237) performed 1000 epidurals in 950 patients undergoing vascular surgery. All the patients were taking oral anticoagulants pre-operatively and received heparin intra-operatively. A pre-operative thrombo-test (to assess factor IX activity) was decreased and a post-operative aPTT
was elevated. Catheters were left in place for 48 hours. No hematomas developed.

A few studies have investigated the safety of perioperative, low dose warfarin prophylaxis and epidural anesthesia. Wu et al (238) removed epidural catheters an average of 43.6 hours after placement for hip and knee surgery; low dose warfarin prophylaxis was used and the average prothrombin time increased from 10.8 (9.6-11.1, normal range) to 14.1 seconds at removal. In another series (239), one hundred eighty-eight patients received warfarin prophylaxis following a total knee replacement. Epidural catheters were placed for post-operative pain control. They were left indwelling an average of 37.5 hours and the mean PT during catheter removal was 13.4 (range: 10.8-12.8). The PT did not exceed the upper range of normal until the third post-operative day and did not reach 15 seconds until the 7th post-operative day. There were no epidural hematomas in either series. Due to the variability in warfarin response, monitoring the PT is advised prior to catheter removal (5, 238, 239). In one case report, a single pre-operative dose of warfarin, 10 mg, increased the INR to 6.3 by the second post-operative day. The patient was nonetheless removed. The patient developed paraparesis secondary to an epidural hematoma and subsequently, required emergent decompression (240).

Badenhorst (241) reported an epidural hematoma in a patient who had a catheter removed with a PT of 17.3 seconds (normal range:11.2-14.4 seconds). Several other cases through the MedWatch system have been reported. In one case the PT was 50 seconds at the time of needle placement and another in which the INR was 1.6 at the time the neurological deficit was diagnosed and the catheter was indwelling. Wu, et. al., however, reports that 20% of patients in his series had epidurals removed when the PT was greater than 16 seconds (205, 238).

The American Society of Regional Anesthesia suggests that warfarin should ideally be stopped 4-5 days prior to neuraxial procedures. The prothrombin time and international normalized ratio should be checked the prior to the neuraxial block. An early reduction in the PT/INR reflects replenishment of factor VII; the remaining Vitamin K–dependent factors take longer to normalize and consequently, so does return of normal hemostasis. The effect of warfarin may be enhanced when antiplatelet agents, fractionated heparins, and unfractionated heparins are co-administered (46, 242), without affecting the PT/INR. Hence, despite advocates for off-label, outpatient 'bridging therapy' with low molecular weight heparin (119), bleeding risk may actually be increased. There is no safe PT/INR for performing neuraxial procedures, despite literature suggesting that an INR of 1.5 (120) is safe for major surgical procedures. In one institution, an INR of <1.3 is safe for neuraxial procedures and an INR >1.5 is unsafe; if the INR falls between 1.3 and 1.5, a neuraxial procedure may proceed in the absence of other bleeding disorders or anticoagulants (119).

If low dose warfarin is administered in the presence of an indwelling epidural catheter, the PT/INR must be monitored daily. Catheter removal may be performed with an INR <1.5. European guidelines suggest that therapy should be stopped for 48 hours and the INR should be ≤ 1.4 (20). Serial neurological examinations should be performed for a minimum of 24 hours following catheter removal or longer in the case of higher warfarin doses (5). If the INR >3 in the presence of an indwelling catheter, then warfarin should be held or reduced in dose (5). No definitive recommendations are given for the removal of a catheter during therapeutic anticoagulation (5), however, an INR>3 may warrant a reduction of dose or cessation of therapy (243). Due prudence is required in this situation.

Very little information is available on the performance of non-neuraxial blocks in the setting of oral anticoagulation. Kallio et al (196) prospectively graded the hemorrhages following retrobulbar/peribulbar block. They concluded that the pre-operative use of warfarin, ASA, NSAIDs, whether discontinued or not, did not pre-dispose to hemorrhage in these blocks (196). However, they advised holding warfarin for two days before peribulbar blockade. Maxillary and mandibular nerve blocks are contraindicated in the setting of oral anticoagulation: vessel puncture presents a high risk of hematoma formation (244). Sublingual or submaxillary hematomas have never been reported following facial blocks, but such a complication could cause severe airway compromise (245). Similar concerns may apply to other blocks in the head. Distal extremity blocks can result in complications. Pazziale et al (118) reported the development of compartment syndrome following a median nerve injection in a patient receiving warfarin. It is not known, if and to what extent, the guidelines for neuraxial anesthesia apply to interventional pain procedures.

Nonetheless, if an expansile hematoma occurs due to needle injury, in a patient that is anticoagulated with coumadin, urgent care is warranted. Fresh frozen plasma and vitamin K are used to reverse anticoagulation (114, 118). Close monitoring of vital signs, prothrombin time, and hematocrit are imperative and surgical attention may be necessary (118).

### Thrombolytics and Fibrinolytics

Although no trials have investigated the safety of neuraxial anesthesia in the presence of thrombolysis or fibrinolysis (205), thrombolytics are an absolute contraindication to regional anesthesia (5). There are several reports of spontaneous spinal hematomas following thrombolysis (129, 246-250), but few in association with neuraxial techniques (251-253). In most of these cases, thrombolytics were administered perioperatively, with or without heparinization. Significant bleeding reportedly may present anywhere from a few hours to a few days after a neuraxial procedure.

If thrombolysis is anticipated, patients should be advised against neuraxial blocks (5). There are no data are available for how long neuraxial procedures should be held after thrombolytics have been administered (5). The action of thrombolytics may take days to resolve, due to the presence of fibrin degradation products.

The ASRA guidelines are very firm and cautionary in avoiding neuraxial techniques during the administration of thrombolysis/fibrinolysis: 1. Concurrent heparin use with thrombolytics/fibrinolytics exposes patients to a high risk of adverse spinal bleeding following neuraxial procedures; 2. Neuraxial techniques should be avoided in these patients, unless highly unusual circumstances are present; 3. Patients and their clinicians should be queried pre-operatively to determine if thrombolytics/fibrinolytics had been used pre-operatively or if these agents will be used perioperatively; 4. If thrombolytics are given around the time of the neuraxial block, serial neurological monitoring should be performed at an interval of 2 hrs and the drugs used in neuraxial infu-
sions should be minimized to avoid sensory-motor blockade; 5. No recommendations were provided about neuraxial catheter removal or maintenance in those patients who unexpectedly receive fibrinolytic or thrombolytic therapy (129). Fibrinogen levels may be helpful in clinical decision-making (129).

**Heparin**

Vandermeulen (208) reported 30 cases of epidural hematoma in patients that received heparin therapy in a variety of forms: unfractionated or low molecular weight, subcutaneous or intravenous, single or multiple boluses, or continuous. Heparin therapy was responsible for the majority of hematomas associated with abnormal hemostasis (208). Due to the risk of epidural hematoma, 70-75% of neuraxial blocks in Europe are single dose spinal anesthetics and the majority of neuraxial procedures are performed on in-patients that received heparin thromboprophylaxis (unfractionated or low molecular weight) the day before (5, 254, 255). American, German, and Spanish (3, 4, 5) guidelines have been published with respect to neuraxial anesthesia and heparin therapy. These guidelines are similar with respect to unfractionated heparin (3, 4, 5), but American guidelines are more conservative with respect to LMWH (5).

**Subcutaneous Heparin**

In 1981, Stanton-Hicks (256) suggested that neuraxial anesthesia is contraindicated in the presence of heparin thromboprophylaxis. There have been a few cases of epidural hematoma in association with low dose heparin, following an epidural (257, 258). Subcutaneous heparin therapy was considered an absolute or relative contraindication to epidural and spinal anesthesia in 38% and 24%, respectively, of Danish anesthesiology departments (115). Opinions have since changed. Tryba et al (254) reported that mini-dose heparin is not a contraindication to spinal or epidural anesthesia. Currently, neuraxial anesthesia is considered to be safe in the setting of subcutaneous, mini-dose heparin (141, 142), as suggested by the absence of complications in more than 9000 patients (133). Most anesthesiologists in Europe do not consider subcutaneous heparin to be a strong contraindication to neuraxial blockade (5, 115, 259).

Spinal hematomas have been reported in association with neuraxial techniques and subcutaneous heparin (208, 260-262), but many of these cases had complicating factors, such as traumatic needle placement. Ideally, a neuraxial block should be performed prior to or after the injection of subcutaneous heparin, but there does not appear to be an increased risk when performed in the presence of subcutaneous heparin (5, 133). Delaying the performance of a neuraxial block for 2 hours after subcutaneous heparin may coincide with the peak effect and is not advised (5). Risk may be increased in debilitated patients on prolonged doses of subcutaneous heparin. Patients on subcutaneous heparin for greater than 4 days should be checked for a platelet count (5). Otherwise, there are no contraindications to neuraxial anesthesia in the presence of subcutaneous heparin.

**Systemic Heparinization**

Systemic heparinization, following neuraxial anesthesia, has (199) and has not (237, 263) resulted in adverse bleeding sequelae. Ruff et al (264) reported the complications in 2 groups of 342 patients that underwent lumbar puncture. One group received systemic heparinization and the other group did not. There were 7 spinal hematomas, of which 5 patients developed paraparesis, in the anticoagulated group. Risk factors included administration of heparin within 1 hour after lumbar puncture, traumatic needle placement, and concomitant use of aspirin (264).

In a retrospective review, 912 patients underwent vascular surgery with epidural anesthesia. Patients received an intra-operative bolus of 75U/kg of heparin, followed by a 1000U/hour infusion. Intra-operative aPTT was documented at one point to be >100 seconds. At the end of the case, epidurals were removed without checking an aPTT. Surprisingly, no patient developed adverse neurological problems (265).

In a recent prospective study of 305 patients undergoing valvular surgery, thoracic epidurals (TEA) were placed at T1-3 and strict guidelines were followed. Preoperative selection criteria for TEA included an activated partial thromboplastin time of <45 seconds, prothrombin levels >50%, platelets >80,000, and no antiplatelet agents for 7 days, prior to the procedure. Systemic heparinization was held for at least 60 minutes after thoracic epidural placement and an atraumatic needle insertion was mandated. Catheters were removed within 48 hours or at any time, if patients were started on coumadin or aspirin, respectively. There were no epidural hematomas (266).

Similar guidelines were followed in a series of 508 patients undergoing coronary bypass surgery and no epidural hematomas developed (267). There have been no reported spinal hematomas in over 5000 patients undergoing cardiac surgery, with many series following guidelines as strict as those above (266, 268). Further recommendations include use of a midline technique, instillation of saline to distend the epidural space prior to catheter insertion, and catheter placement 24 hours prior to surgery (5, 267, 268). Significant breach of these recommendations may increase the risk of an epidural hematoma, during cardiac surgery (268).

Ho et al (268) estimates the risk of a spinal hematoma in conventional cardiac surgery, using a 95% confidence level ranges between 1:150,000 to 1:1500 for epidurals and 1:220,000 to 1:3600 for spinal. If a more stringent, 99%, level of confidence, is used then the upper range will be 1:1000 and 1:2400 for epidurals and spinals, respectively. The rationale for estimating the risk was to weigh the benefits of epidural anesthesia in reducing the mortality of cardiac surgery and myocardial infarction, against the risks of an epidural hematoma (268). Nonetheless, ASRA suggests that there is insufficient data about neuraxial anesthesia in the setting of cardiac surgery (5).

The safety of neuraxial anesthesia in the setting of systemic heparinization is controversial. Some authorities do not consider it safe (145) and others do (133, 263). In order to maximize the safety, Rao et al (263) advises canceling the case if traumatic needle insertion occurs, selecting patients carefully, and monitoring anticoagulation carefully (208, 263).

Stafford-Smith (201) calculated a significantly increased relative risk of epidural hematoma with traumatic needle insertion in the presence of intravenous heparinization. The extensive and published clinical experiences of numerous centers attest that regional techniques are safe, in the presence of therapeutic, intraoperative heparinization (133).

In the setting of cardiac surgery, several guidelines for neuraxial anesthesia have been published: 1. Neuraxial blocks should be avoided in patients with known coagulopathy from any cause. 2. Surgery should be delayed for 24 hours if there is
a traumatic tap. 3. >60 minutes should elapse between the neuraxial block and initiation of systemic heparinization. 4. Heparin effect and reversal should be tightly controlled. 5. Epidural catheters should be removed when normal coagulation is restored. 6. patients should be monitored closely for neurological deficits (269). Many case series have followed similar guidelines with safe outcomes (5, 133).

In the setting of vascular surgery, neuraxial anesthesia appears to be safe with certain guidelines: 1. Avoid the technique in patients with other coagulopathies; 2. Heparin administration should be delayed for 1 hour after needle placement; 3. Indwelling neuraxial catheters should be removed 2-4 hours after the last heparin dose, after coagulation status is assessed; 4. Re-heparinization should be delayed for 1 hour after catheter removal; 5. Post-operative neurological monitoring is mandated and the minimal concentration of local anesthetics that affords pain relief, but does not mask any neurological deficit, should be used; 6. Traumatic or difficult needle insertion may increase the risk of a hematoma, but case cancellation may not be mandatory (5). The issue of case cancellation when a traumatic puncture occurs is disputed (206, 207, 261).

Therapeutic anticoagulation for arterial thrombotic disease usually requires an elevation of aPTT to 1.5-2 times normal. In this situation, the risk of spinal hematoma may outweigh the benefits and neuraxial techniques should be avoided in this population (133). If therapeutic anticoagulation, however, is started in the presence of an indwelling catheter, then the catheter should be removed 2-4 hours after discontinuing heparin and after checking an aPTT (133).

Peripheral nerve blocks have emerged as an alternative to neuraxial blockade, when aggressive anticoagulation is anticipated (1). The enhanced safety of non-neuraxial over neuraxial procedures, during systemic heparinization, is surmised since the tissue is more compliant and less likely to cause neural compression (1). The hazard of this assumption is that diagnosis can be delayed (1). In fact, non-neuraxial procedures have resulted in significant bleeding following systemic heparinization. An intercostal catheter was placed 2 hours following a subcutaneous heparin injection. Coro-

nary bypass surgery was subsequently performed with intra-operative heparinization. Despite the complete reversal of anticoagulation at 6 hours post-operatively, a flank hematoma developed within the following 24 hours (270). Therapeutic intravenous heparinization has been implicated in another flank hematoma, following intercostal nerve blocks (271). A delayed retroperitoneal hemorrhage can occur, following the placement of a lumbar plexus block or catheter, wherein systemic anti-coagulation is performed (1). A hemothorax developed following a sub-
pracavicular brachial plexus block, even though systemic heparinization was commenced greater than 4 hours post-procedure (272). The paucity of data on non-neuraxial procedures make it difficult to provide recommendations (1), but systemic heparinization is considered a contra-indication (271).

Low Molecular Weight Heparin

In a two separate reviews of published series, more than 23,000 patients in Europe received LMWH and neuraxial anesthesia without any hematomas (141, 142, 273). In Europe, the regimen was once-daily dosing and an initial dose was given at least 12 hours before surgery. The initially approved regimen for thromboprophylaxis in the USA was twice daily dosing and an initial dose given immediately after surgery. Twice daily versus single daily dosing resulted in a significantly greater anticoagulant effect (274). Over a 5-year period, 1993-1998, sixty spinal hematomas were reported (5). Thirty of these were reported to the Food and Drug Administration MedWatch Program and an advisory warning was sent out (200). Thirteen hematomas were reported in Europe between 1989 and 1998 (5, 203). Adoption of practice guidelines in Europe may have reduced the frequency of spinal hematoma (5, 141, 142).

Several dosing regimen changes have been implemented in the USA, since 1993. LMWH should be started 12-24 hours after surgery and once daily dosing of enoxaparin, 40 mg, may be sufficient for DVT prophylaxis. Nonetheless, 30mg SC BID is still commonly used with the first dose given post-operatively. Recently, the LMWH, dalteparin has been approved for use with a regimen that more closely mimics the European guidelines: half the standard dose, 2500 Units, is administered 6-8 hours after surgery and a standard dose, 5000 Units is given 24 hours later (5). Once-daily dosing may be safer for continuous indwelling catheters (5).

The majority of cases of spinal hematoma, associated with low molecular weight heparin, occurred in the elderly and in women (202, 203). For instance, a thoracic epidural hematoma developed, wherein an epidural catheter was removed just 2 hours after LMWH administration (275). Clinical signs of neurological dysfunction, due to a spinal hematoma, typically present 3 days after LMWH therapy. The elapsed time between symptom onset and laminectomy was 24 hours (5). Close neurological and hemodynamic monitoring, serial hematocrit measurements, and inspection for other bleeding sites are advised for non-neuraxial and neuraxial procedures (26). In 1998, the estimated risk of spinal hematoma in the setting of LMWH, following neuraxial anesthesia was 1:3,000 for continuous epidural anesthetics and 1:40,000 with spinal anesthetics (276).

Despite confounding variables, such as vascular disease, diabetes, advanced age and concomitant aspirin usage, low molecular weight heparins are considered to increase the risk of bleeding complications following a regional technique (145). Notably, this contrasts with the surgical literature. In a recent meta-analysis, low molecular weight heparins do not increase the risk of bleeding compared to placebo, in orthopedic surgery (277).

Retroperitoneal hematomas have developed when lumbar plexus blocks were performed in concert with perioperative administration of LMWH (1, 145). Two patients (1, 145) developed a pseudo-hematoma following a lumbar plexus block and perioperative use of low molecular weight heparin. In one patient, low molecular weight heparin was administered 40 hours after the block, but the catheter was removed only 2 hours after this dose (1). The patient developed severe flank pain, but no neurological deficit. CT-scanning confirmed the diagnosis and the patient received a blood transfusion. In another patient, the lumbar plexus block was performed 20 hours after the last dose of LMWH, but with technical difficulties. On the first post-operative day, the patient complained of hip pain and hip flexor weakness. A CT-scan demonstrated a large retroperitoneal hematoma. This patient was also managed conservatively and made a good neurological recovery (145). Spontaneous retroperito-
nal hematomas may also develop following enoxaparin use, particularly in the elderly (138, 278).

The diagnosis of a retroperitoneal hematoma depends on the presence of flank, groin, and medial thigh pain and hip flexor weakness (1, 233). Confirmation of the diagnosis is made with emergent radiological studies (1, 145, 233). The retroperitoneal space is not confined, so morbidity is typically due to the degree of blood loss, rather than actual neurological dysfunction (5). In severe cases, patients may succumb (233). However, conservative management is often successful: serial neurological, hematological, and hemodynamic monitoring, reversal of anticoagulation, and possibly, blood transfusions (1, 145).

In 1998, the American Society of Regional Anesthesia published guidelines for neuraxial anesthesia in the setting of LMWH (210). Since these guidelines were published, epidural hematomas associated with LMWH have occurred 6 times, spontaneously and 13 times following neuraxial procedures. Ten of these 13 were either from outside the USA or were receiving ketorolac (5). One patient received ibuprofen and one received intra-venous unfractioned heparin during a vascular procedure (5).

The recommendations for the perioperative use of low molecular weight heparin and neuraxial anesthesia were recently updated by the American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine (5): 1. Anti-Xa levels do not have to be monitored, since they do not predict bleeding risk; 2. concomitant use of antiplatelet agents or oral anticoagulants should be avoided; 3. traumatic needle or catheter insertions, i.e., blood is aspirated or spontaneously appears, may significantly increase the risk of spinal hematoma; 4. traumatic neuraxial procedures are not mandatory grounds for case cancellation, but LMWH administration should be delayed for 24 hours.

Recommendations for the pre-operative administration of LMWH include: 1. If prophylactic doses are given, then needle placement be delayed for at least 10-12 since the last dose; 2. If therapeutic doses are given, then needle placement must be delayed at least 24 hours since the last dose. Therapeutic doses of low molecular weight heparin include enoxaparin 1mg/kg q12 hours or 1.5 mg/kg qD, dalteparin 120U/kg q12 hours or 200U/kg qD, and tinzaparin 175 U/kg qD. Under no circumstances should a neuraxial technique be performed if the last dose of LMWH was given only 2 hours earlier.

Single shot neuraxial or continuous epidural techniques can be performed with the post-operative administration of LMWH, however, the recommendations for this situation are more complex. If post-operative LMWH is to be given twice-daily, then LMWH should be held for at least 24 hours after surgery and indwelling catheters should be removed prior or to LMWH initiation; this implies that indwelling catheters may be left overnight, but should be removed at least two hours before the first dose of LMWH.

Post-operative LMWH may also be given once-daily, which mimics European prescribing practices. In this situation, the first dose should be administered 6-8 hours after surgery and the second dose must be given at least 24 hours after the first dose. An epidural catheter can be safely maintained under these circumstances. If the catheter is to be removed, there should be a minimum time interval of 10 to 12 hours since the last dose of LMWH. LMWH can then be started a minimum of two hours after catheter removal (5).

Similar guidelines have been advocated by other authors for neuraxial and non-neuraxial procedures (1, 145, 208). Vandermeulen et al (208) advocated a 10-12 hour low molecular weight heparin free interval before the removal of needles or catheters, due to the pharmacokinetics of these agents (208). Gerancher et al (1) recommends that lumbar plexus blocks or catheters be placed at least 12 hours since the last dose of prophylactic LMWH. Remove or do not place catheters if therapeutic anticoagulation is planned. LMWH can be re-started 2 hours after the catheter is removed. One caveat is that hospital staffs must administer LMWH at a set time and follow protocols (279). Even then, nursing compliance can be poor and thus, physicians must verify the timing of administration (279). Compliance issues will play a greater role as outpatient LMWH therapy gains wider acceptance. Finally, whether these guidelines are applicable to interventional pain management is unknown.

MULTIPLE AGENTS
The use of multiple anticoagulants increases the risk of significant bleeding, especially if their actions are synergistic.

Intra-operative bleeding, from a continuous spinal, has caused severe hypotension. The patient had diabetes and peripheral vascular disease and was receiving aspirin therapy. There was difficulty with needle insertion during the spinal, but the procedure was finally successful. An 18 gauge needle was used to pass a 20 gauge bullet tipped catheter into the subarachnoid space. Intra-operatively, the patient received heparin, but a hypotensive episode preceded this. Almost a liter of blood was lost due to a subcutaneous bleeder located around the catheter site. The authors concluded a multifactorial etiology to bleeding: traumatic injury to subcutaneous vessel, aspirin, intra-operative heparin, difficulty with needle insertion; fortunately no neurological compromise developed (26).

Aspirin, NSAIDs, and COX-2 inhibitors alone may not increase hematoma risk, but when used together or in concert with other anticoagulants, the risk of hematoma and minor bleeding may increase (138, 210, 234, 264). COX-2 inhibitors may augment the effects of coumadin (5). An epidural hematoma occurred in a patient that received aspirin and dipyridamole, followed by systemic heparinization; the heparin was held for 3 hours before and 2 hours after catheter removal (280). High dose ibuprofen consumption and perioperative administration of low molecular weight heparin have been implicated in the development of an epidural hematoma following epidural catheterization (281).

Surgical bleeding can become worse in the presence of mini-dose heparin and dextran and the combination should be avoided (67, 282). This scenario may apply to percutaneous interventions, as well.

One patient had normal coagulation studies during performance of a lumbar plexus block. Systemic heparinization and oral coumadin therapy were initiated eight hours later. On the third post-operative day, the patient complained of flank and groin pain. The PT, PTT, and hematocrit were abnormal. A CT-scan confirmed the presence of a large psoas hematoma (1). Other studies of peripheral nerve blockade with multiple anticoagulants have not confirmed this. Singelyn et al (283) in a prospective series of patients receiving extended femoral sheath blocks for total hip arthroplasty did not identify any neurologi-
cal or vascular complications; all patients received low-molecular weight heparin and an antiplatelet agent daily, starting the night of surgery and ketorolac every 8 hours as needed was given (283).

**Herbal Medications**
A spontaneous epidural hematoma has occurred following garlic consumption (284). The American Society of Anesthesiology recommends discontinuing herbal medicines for 2-3 weeks prior to elective surgery (285). Herbal medications, such as garlic, ginseng, ginger, and ginkgo may be a risk factor for spinal bleeding associated with neuraxial anesthesia, especially if patients are consuming other anticoagulants (152).

**New Anticoagulants**
Several new anticoagulants have been developed. The safety of these agents, with respect to interventional pain practice, is unknown. Fondaparinux is not thought to increase the risk of epidural hematomas following a neuraxial procedure (286).

Study patients, however, do not represent typical clinic and hospital settings. In a study evaluating the efficacy of fondaparinux for venous thromboembolism prophylaxis for hip surgery, inclusion criteria were strict. All neuraxial procedures had to be done atraumatically and with one attempt. Indwelling catheters were removed 2 hours before the first dose of fondaparinux administration. These guidelines are not feasible in clinical practice (5, 163). Since the risk of an epidural hematoma with fondaparinux is unknown, a few tentative recommendations have been provided: continuous, indwelling regional methods should be avoided and the block should be atraumatic and successful with the first attempt (5).

**Procedure-Associated Bleeding Complications**
Technical difficulties have been implicated as a factor in major (5, 20, 208) and minor (222-224) bleeding events, following neuraxial procedures. Technical factors may similarly be implicated in bleeding complications following interventional pain management procedures. The literature, with the exception of neuraxial procedures, is limited. Procedures can be roughly divided based on whether they are neuraxial or non-neuraxial. Additionally, the procedures can be organized topographically, based on body regions (Table 6). We have organized the available literature accordingly.

**Non-Neuraxial Techniques**
Perineural hemorrhage may be a particularly important factor when regional blocks require intentional vessel

---

Table 6. Topographic classification of commonly practiced interventional techniques for the treatment of acute and chronic pain.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Head and Neck</th>
<th>Chest, Upper Limbs, and Thorax</th>
<th>Lumbar and Abdomen</th>
<th>Pelvis</th>
<th>Lower Extremities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trigeminal ganglion</td>
<td>Brachial Plexus</td>
<td>Lumbar spinal nerve and dorsal root ganglion</td>
<td>Sacral nerve root</td>
<td>Sciatic nerve (infragluteal and popliteal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxillary nerve</td>
<td>Radial Nerve</td>
<td>Splanchnic nerve</td>
<td>Superior hypogastric plexus</td>
<td>Piformis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular nerve</td>
<td>Median nerve</td>
<td>Celiac plexus</td>
<td>Sacroiliac joint</td>
<td>Femoral nerve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glossopharyngeal nerve</td>
<td>Ulnar nerve</td>
<td>Lumbar sympathetic ganglion</td>
<td>Ganglion impar</td>
<td>Obturator nerve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical spinal nerve and dorsal root ganglion (C2-C7)</td>
<td>Musculocutaneous nerve</td>
<td>Lumbar facet and medial branch block</td>
<td>Sacroplasty</td>
<td>Saphenous nerve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphenopalatine ganglion</td>
<td>Intercostal nerve</td>
<td>Lumbar provocative discography</td>
<td>Superior cluneal nerves</td>
<td>Sural nerve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stellate ganglion</td>
<td>Thoracic spinal nerve and dorsal root ganglion</td>
<td>Intradiscal procedures (intradiscal electrothermal therapy, radiofrequency annuloplasty, nucleoplasty, laser disc decompression, percutaneous decompression)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Common peroneal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical facet and medial branch</td>
<td>Suprascapular nerve block</td>
<td>Vertebroplasty, Osteoplasty</td>
<td></td>
<td>Posterior tibial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical epidural space including adhesiolysis</td>
<td>Thoracic sympathetic ganglion (upper and lower)</td>
<td>Psoas, quadratus lumborum muscles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical discogram</td>
<td>Thoracic facet and medial branch</td>
<td>Lumbar epidural space including adhesiolysis an endoscopy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thoracic discogram</td>
<td>Lumbar plexus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thoracic epidural procedures including adhesiolysis</td>
<td>Iliinguinal, iliohypogastric, and genitofemoral</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

puncture or are performed in the presence of anticoagulation. In contrast, vascular complications after interventional cardiology procedures, which use a number of anticoagulants, are about 0.39%. The size of the catheter and the degree of anticoagulation influenced the frequency of complications (287), but no neurological complications occurred. Furthermore, many patients demonstrate a delay of several hours, in the development of a hemorrhage or neurological dysfunction following a peripheral block and this has been used as an argument against blaming the technique (233, 288, 289).

Controversy surrounds the mechanisms of neuropraxia following regional blocks. They may occur secondary to direct needle trauma, perineural hemorrhage, or local anesthetic toxicity (288-292). Paresthesia elicitation, which implies direct neural contact, may result in a higher incidence of neurological sequelae (292).

Nonetheless, the following case reports demonstrate that there is still a risk of hemorrhage and secondary neuropraxia with non-neuraxial procedures. The actual rate may be higher than published data, even if safety measures are incorporated into the technique (293).

Head and Neck

Retrobulbar blocks may cause hemorrhage, typically venous, with an incidence ranging from 0.5-3% (294-296). Hemorrhages are more common in the elderly and in those with vascular disease (297). Independent of retrobulbar blockade, spontaneous hemorrhage of the orbit can still occur and has been associated with Valsalva maneuvers (labor, physical exertion, coughing during exertion), systemic autoimmune diseases, hypertension, and renal and vascular disease (232, 298). If these risk factors are present, alternative periocular injections should be considered. Hemorrhages are rarer with anterior orbital injections, when compared to retrobulbar blocks (295). Orbital hemorrhages are rarely of major consequence (295, 296). They can be managed with cold packs and observation. Aggressive intervention is needed if there is progressive blindness: a rising intraocular pressure may cause central retinal artery occlusion or optic nerve ischemia (295). These patients present with proptosis, a tightened orbit, ophthalmoplegia, and peri-orbital blood staining (296). An ophthalmology consultation is imperative for ophthalmoscopy, intravenous mannitol, intravenous carbonic anhydrate inhibitors, lateral canthotomy, and perhaps, decompression and clot evacuation (294-296).

Hematomas or vascular injury following stellate ganglion blocks and cervical discograms are rare, but have been reported (299-301) in the absence of risk factors. In a survey of 39 departments of anesthesiology in West Germany, no bleeding complications were reported among over 45,000 stellate ganglion blocks (302). Intra-arterial puncture during a stellate ganglion block with a 20-gauge needle, complicated by seizures was reported in 2 cases (303). Post-operative sequelae were not reported (303). Others have confirmed the risk of intra-arterial puncture following stellate ganglion blocks (304, 305). In a series of 1357 patients undergoing cervical discograms, no hematomas were reported (306), but in another series of 269 cervical disc injections one patient developed a neck hematoma (300). Another cervical discography series with an overall higher rate of complications compared to those by Zeidman et al (306) and Guyer et al (300) also reported no hematomas related to discography (307). Hematomas following trigeminal and sphenopalatine ganglion procedures are rare. In a series of 496 patients undergoing 531 percutaneous trigeminal ganglion balloon decompressions, only 5 patients developed a facial hematoma (308). In an extensive review by Tew and Taha (309), in which over 8600 patients received percutaneous trigeminal ganglion procedures, there were no intracranial hematomas. Intracranial hemorrhage, however, has rarely been reported following these percutaneous procedures (310). In a series of sixty-six patients, sphenopalatine ganglion radiofrequency thermocoagulation has been associated with cheek hematomas and epistaxis in 8 and 11 patients, respectively (311). We could not identify any other reports of bleeding complications with non-neuraxial blocks in the head and neck region.

Chest, Upper Limbs, and Thorax

Brachial plexus blocks are rarely associated with major neurological or hematological complications. Axillary blocks are associated with 1-19% incidence of neural injury, but only 2-5% of patients have symptoms that persist beyond the immediate post-operative period (290, 292, 312). In a prospective study of 1000 patients, undergoing a standard transarterial axillary block with a 24 gauge needle, vascular and neurological complications were 1.4% and 0.7%, respectively (312). In a perivascular, ‘first indication of axillary sheath entry’ approach and use of a 22 gauge short beveled needle, complications were common, but transient: 12% of patients had axillary bruising and tenderness and 12.5% of patients developed dysesthesias (313).

Urban et al (290) reported a neuropraxia rate of 9% in interscalene blocks and 19% in axillary blocks, within the first 24 hours. These rates dropped to 3% and 5%, respectively, at 2 weeks. No hematomas were reported in these series but there was a 10% incidence of blood aspiration during the performance of an interscalene block (290). Eight percent of patients complained of neck bruising or inflammation on the first post-operative day after an interscalene block (290). There was no association, however, between aspiration and bruising (290). Overall, axillary nerve blocks had a higher rate of complications compared to interscalene block on the first post-operative day: 23% compared to 8% of patients complained of pain, tenderness, or bruising in the axilla or neck, respectively (290).

Transient symptoms such as paresthesias, dysesthesias, and pain not related to surgery may occur in 14% of patients, following an interscalene block or catheter placement (314). Infracavicular blockade is associated with a 2% incidence of blood aspiration and a 0.6% incidence of hematoma formation (315). Seventeen percent of patients complain of pain following infracavicular brachial plexus blockade (315). These types of symptoms are often considered minor by most anesthesiologists (314, 315).

In a series by Horlocker et al (288) six-hundred and seven patients underwent 1614 axillary blocks. Sixty-two neurological injuries were identified, but only 7 of these could be attributed to the anesthetic technique. Notably, several factors that are thought to increase the risk of anesthesia-related complications did not: age, gender, pre-existing neurological conditions, tourniquet time, surgical procedure on a nerve, and the performance of repeated blocks (288, 289). Similarly, no regional anesthetic technique factors-paresthesia elicitation, electrical stimulation, epinephrine, long-beveled needles—were
identified as a cause of neurological injury (288). Two patients out of 607 developed an axillary hematoma, but suffered no adverse neurological sequelae. Repeat axillary blocks do not increase the risk of neurological complications compared to a single block (288).

An isolated case of a clinically significant hematoma following a brachial plexus block has been reported. An expanding, axillary sheath hematoma developed after an axillary nerve block and caused a radial nerve injury (316, 317).

Indwelling brachial plexus catheters may have rates of complications that are higher than blocks. Minor neurological symptoms occur at a rate of 2.4% following interscalene catheter placement, but drop to 0% at 6 months (318). However, complications related to technique occurred more often. In a series of 700 patients, 6 developed paresthesias and 12 had blood return during needle insertion. During catheter insertion, 25 patients developed transient shoulder pain, 13 developed dysesthesias, 42 patients presented anatomic resistance to catheter advancement, and 5 patients had blood return (318). In an earlier series, Borget et al (318) reported a 7.9% incidence of complications that dropped to 0.9% at 6 months, following a standard interscalene block (314). The authors credit their variation in interscalene block technique for a lower incidence of complications, compared to standard technique (318). Differences in brachial plexus block techniques may reduce the risk of technique-specific complications.

Neuropraxic symptoms, such as dysesthesias or paresthesias, may be due to perineural edema, inflammation, and microhematomas (292, 314, 315). Paresthesias or electrical stimulation-induced elicitation may help localize neural structures for blocks, but do not protect against neural damage or perineural hemorrhage (292, 314, 315, 319). Despite the safety of peripheral nerve blocks, several have advocated avoiding these blocks in patients who need fine motor control, such as musicians (319).

Thoracic and lumbar paravertebral blocks are associated with a 6.8% incidence of inadvertent vascular puncture and 2.4% incidence of hematoma (320). Intercostal neural blockade, in the absence of hematicstic abnormalities, has rarely been reported as a cause of major bleeding: hemothorax (321). Hemorrhage due to intercostal bleeding has been reported following attempted thoracic epidural cannulation by standard technique and thoracic paravertebral blocks (322-324). Thoracic discography has not been associated with vascular complications or hemorrhage in one large, recent study (325).

We could not identify any other reports of bleeding complications with non-neuraxial blocks in the thoracic and upper limb regions.

**Lumbar, Abdomen, Pelvis**

Paracervical injections have been associated with maternal demise, due to local anesthetic toxicity (326). Nonetheless, post-mortem studies have demonstrated the presence of blood near the broad ligament (326, 327). Blood can spread posterior to the broad ligament and even reach the sacrum (327). The absence of blood during aspiration might not exclude the possibility of intravascular penetration (326-328). Blind paracervical techniques have a large variation in the degree of needle depth penetration (327), so more superficial paracervical injections are advised to prevent vascular trauma (326, 327). A pudendal nerve block can injure the internal pudendal artery and lead to a retroperitoneal hematoma (328). Hematomas following pudendal and paracervical blocks can be clinically significant and cause neural injury, such as sacral neuritis (327, 328).

Vaisman (329) reported a pelvic hematoma following an ilioinguinal nerve block, with a 22-gauge sharp needle. Aspiration during the procedure was negative (329). Fluoroscopy or ultrasonography may help in safely and correctly placing an indwelling inguinal catheter (330). These methods may reduce the need for multiple punctures and the risk of vascular penetration (329, 330). A subfascial hematoma and compression syndrome has developed following a femoral nerve block in the absence of hematicstic abnormalities (331).

Hematoma formation following a lumbar plexus or lumbar sympathetic block is exceedingly rare. A percutaneous renal biopsy typically causes microscopic hematuria and only rarely, a major hematoma (332, 333). Renal subcapsular hematomas, following a lumbar plexus (334) and lumbar sympathetic (335, 336) blocks, have been reported. Aida et al (334) used a blind approach at L3 rather than L4, during their performance of a lumbar plexus block and did not comment on aspiration nor distance from midline (334). Despite use of conservative lateral (336) (5 cm from midline) or a far lateral (335) (12.5 cm from midline), renal subcapsular hematomas have been reported following lumbar sympathetic blocks. If the hematoma is larger, a Page kidney may occur and result in severe hypertension (336). Conservative management is possible with small hematomas, but a partial or complete nephrectomy may be required for large hematomas (336). Improvements in technique may reduce the risk of a renal capsular hematoma: 1. Lumbar plexus blocks should be performed at L4 or L5; 2. The transverse process must be encountered; and 3. Fluoroscopy may be helpful (334). Retroperitoneal hemorrhages have been reported following a psaos compartment (1, 145) and lumbar sympathetic blocks (233), but in all these patients, anticoagulation was implicated.

We could not identify any other hemorrhagic complications related to non-neuraxial blocks. Refinements in technique and imaging, for instance, have reduced the risk of vascular injury or hemorrhage to almost nil in lumbar discography (337). Similar reasons may apply to other blocks in the lumbar, abdominal, and pelvic regions.

**Lower Limbs**

Several studies and reviews of lower extremity neural blockade (lumbar plexus blocks via fascia iliac and femoral nerve sheath approaches, sciatic nerve blocks via posterior popliteal, lateral popliteal, gluteal, and subgluteal approaches) have demonstrated an absence of vascular or neurological complications (283, 338-341). In a prospective series of 100 patients, undergoing a continuous 3 in 1 block, 2 patients developed a hematoma and 2 patients developed paresthesias extending to the quadriceps (342). There were no reported vascular complications in a series of patients that underwent peripheral nerve stimulation for complex lower extremity neuropathic pain (343).

**Neuraxial and Spinal Techniques**

Neuraxial and spinal techniques include epidural, subdural, subarachnoid, and selective spinal injections. There is a large body of literature investigating bleeding risk and complications in neur-
axial anesthesia. One unique aspect about neuraxial anesthetics is that they have several effects on the coagulation cascade. Initially, the needle stick trauma may induce a stress response and activate the coagulation cascade. After the regional block (epidural or cervical plexus) is in place, the stress response to surgery may be blunted. Thus the activation of the coagulation cascade may be reduced (344). This may be partly responsible for the reduced risk of venous thromboembolism in patients receiving neuraxial anesthesia for lower extremity orthopedic procedures (345, 346). Fibroinolytic activity may be relatively preserved and clotting tendency may be reduced in epidural versus general anesthesia (347, 348). Others contend that neuraxial anesthesia has no effect on platelet function or fibrinolytic activity (345). Rather the reduced risk of venous thromboembolism is due to increased blood flow to the lower extremities secondary to the sympatholytic effects of neuraxial anesthesia (345, 346). It is not known if neuraxial anesthetics can themselves increase the risk of a spinal hematoma by affecting hemostasis.

Spinal Hematoma: Diagnosis and Management

Bleeding is classified as major if it is intracranial, intraspinal, intraocular, mediastinal, retroperitoneal, or if the bleeding results in death, hospitalization, or transfusion (5). Spinal canal hemorrhages most commonly occur in the epidural space, due to a prominent epidural venous plexus (5, 203, 249, 251). Spinal subarachnoid or subdural bleeding may be due to trauma of radicular vessels (203, 349). Fortunately, major spinal bleeding is rare. However, minor bleeding secondary to epidural venous puncture may occur in 2-11 percent of patients receiving neuraxial anesthetics (350).

Spinal epidural hematomas occur more often than expected and many remain asymptomatic (185, 186). Thirteen to fifteen percent of patients may not have identifiable risk factors (20, 208). Spontaneous spinal hematomas can occur, in the absence of coagulopathy (250). Others contend that spontaneous Spontaneous spinal hematomas typically occur in the presence of coagulopathy: anticoagulants, vascular malformations, chronic compression of epidural veins, and inherited or acquired bleeding disorders, such as hemophilia and alcoholism (168, 252, 351-353). In the absence of frank bleeding tendency, anticoagulation, or traumatic needle insertion, epidural hematomas have been reported following routine epidural catheterization (186).

One series estimated that coagulopathy is only involved in 26% of spontaneous neural hematomas, but when present, the hematomas tend to be more extensive (248, 249). Abram et al (354) performed a literature review of complications associated with epidural steroid injections. Among 65 published series, with a total of 6,947 patients receiving one or more epidural steroid injections, there was only one case of a spinal hematoma (218, 354).

Symptoms depend on the location and the temporal qualities of the hematoma. Back pain and neurological dysfunction are the typical pre-monitory symptoms in lumbar and thoracic spinal hematomas (189, 208, 226). Progressive sensory-motor loss occurs in 68% of patients (208) and bowel/bladder dysfunction in 8% of patients, but back and radicular pain are less common (208). In the cervical spine, patients have presented with Brown-Sequard Syndrome (356), cervical myelopathy, or flaccid tetraparesis (116, 357). Increased radicular/neck pain may be present (116, 356). The presentation can be immediate (116, 356), or delayed (358, 359, 360). This delay can be as long as several days (186, 189).

The concentration of local anesthetic used for epidural infusion must be minimized in order to prevent masking of sensory-motor dysfunction. If there is no regression of neural blockade after stopping the infusion, one must have a high index of suspicion for an extradural hematoma (361).

Neuroimaging is imperative when these clinical signs are present. Magnetic resonance imaging is the most sensitive imaging modality for diagnosing an epidural hematoma, defining the extent of its spread, and distinguishing it from other space occupying lesions (351, 360). Epidural hematomas tend to be dorsally located (116, 362). The true incidence of epidural hematomas is unknown (360), but the number of reported cases has increased with the advent of magnetic resonance imaging (363).

Restoration of normal hemostasis, high dose corticosteroid therapy, and emergency decompressive surgery are advised for hematomas that cause neurological dysfunction (356, 359, 360, 364). If an epidural catheter is present, the infusion should be stopped and the catheter removed, in order to avoid increasing the compression (186). Aspiration is rarely successful due to the formation of a solid clot (351). These strategies have been adopted in numerous published cases with varying, but generally good outcomes.

Ng et al (360) replaced platelets in a patient with alcoholic cirrhosis and a platelet count of 67,000. Factor VIII can be used to treat spontaneous cervical epidural hematomas in patients with hemophilia (166, 359). Pullarkat et al (116) reversed anticoagulation with warfarin, using fresh frozen plasma and vitamin K, in a patient that presented with a spontaneous onset of hematomyelia.

Groen et al (250) reported favorable outcomes in patients that underwent surgery for spinal epidural hematomas, depending on the time interval and degree of neurological dysfunction: 36 hours for complete sensorimotor loss and 48 hours for incomplete sensorimotor deficits (250). Patients with complete neurological loss and chronic compression may also benefit (364). Others contend that such delays are too long and that the critical interval is closer to 12 hours (351, 365). Foo et al (366) reports that the return of neural function following surgery for a spinal hematoma will depend on the severity of pre-operative neural deficits. Return of motor function was noted in 95.3%, 87%, and 45.3% of the patients with incomplete sensorimotor, incomplete sensory but complete motor, and complete sensorimotor lesions, respectively. Complete sensorimotor recovery occurred in 41.9%, 26.1%, and 11.3% of these 3 groups of patients, respectively (366).

There are cases of spontaneous hematoma resolution (186, 367). A patient with hemophilia A developed a spontaneous cervicocranial epidural hematoma following sit-ups. The hematoma spontaneously resolved following administration of Factor VIIa (168). Due to these reports, some advocate expectant management. Conservative management with serial neurological monitoring and intravenous steroids is not routine (186, 367), but may be considered in those patients demonstrating improvement in neurological function (211). Wagner et al (211) reported a spontaneous epidural hematoma in a patient consuming aspirin; remarkably the hematoma resolved spon-
taneously. Futawatari (368) administered corticosteroids and hyperosmolar therapy in a patient who spontaneously developed a cervical epidural hematoma secondary to idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura with a platelet count of 10,000; the patient made a good recovery with conservative management.

**Cervical Spine**

Cervical spine hematomas have occurred spontaneously, with (116, 166, 211, 357, 359, 360, 362, 368) or without impaired hemostasis (116, 360, 369). They have occurred after a cervical epidural procedure (218, 356, 370, 371), but these are uncommon. In a retrospective series of 347 fluoroscopically guided cervical interlaminar epidural steroid injections, there were no hematomas (372). In 790 consecutive cervical epidural steroid injections, there were no epidural hematomas (373). Another prospective series investigated complications following 204 blind cervical epidural steroid injections. There were no hematomas or episodes of minor bleeding (374). Safe performance of prior cervical epidural procedures in the same patient does not guarantee prevention of a cervical epidural hematoma, following repeat procedures (218). Cervical subdural hematomas have been reported in association with cervical epidural steroid and upper cervical punctures for myelography (370, 375).

As far as minor bleeding is concerned, Furman et al (376) reported an overall vascular penetration rate of 19.4% (376) in 504 cervical transforaminal epidural injections (376) that were performed with a small 25 gauge needle. Aspiration or blood at the hub had low sensitivity in detecting occult vascular puncture. There were no major adverse neurological sequelae. This figure may even underestimate the rate of vascular penetration due to the rapidity with which contrast injections wash out.

**Thoracic, Lumbar, Sacral Spine**

Neuraxial procedures are safe to perform (4, 5, 20) and spinal hematomas are rare: the calculated incidence is 1:150,000 to 1:190,000 for epidurals and 1:220,000 for spinals (5, 20, 206, 207). There are several large studies of over 10,000 patients without a single spinal hematoma (66, 208, 378-383). Some series of over 100,000 patients reported either one spinal hematoma or none at all (206, 384-386).

During neuraxial needle or catheter placement for orthopedic surgery, several factors influenced the development of minor bleeding (224). Patient-specific factors include female gender, advanced age (>65), prior history of excessive bleeding/bruising, and hip surgery. Technique-specific variables included type of neuraxial anesthetic (continuous spinal > continuous epidural > single-dose spinal), size of needle gauge (larger than 22> 22> smaller than 22), multiple passes of the needle (more than 3> 2-3> 1), and difficulty with needle placement (224). Age and technique (epidural) may be predictive of minor hemorrhagic complications (223).

Minor bleeding following epidural catheterization has been reported in many series (222, 224) and confirmed in cadaveric studies (387). Ten post-mortem spines (387) of patients that received epidural catheters were examined. These patients died from causes unrelated to the epidural and had no previous spinal surgery. Six had slight epidural hemorphages and one had a macroscopically visible hematoma. The latter patient had thrombocytopenia. The hematoma extended over 2-3 segments and was only a few days old (387). Hemorrhages following epidural procedures have been confirmed at laminectomy (388) and during epiduroscopy (389). Usubiaga (388) found significant epidural hemorphages in 6% of patients undergoing laminectomy under epidural anesthesia. Olsson and Blomberg (389) reported hemorrhaging following epidural catheter placement under epiduroscopic visualization (389). Minor spinal bleeding may be more frequent than that reported. Rates of vascular puncture as high as 9-11% have been reported, following epidural catheter placement (390-391).

Aspiration or the spontaneous appearance of blood at the needle hub may underreport vascular puncture (392-394). Furman et al (394) reported an overall vascular penetration rate of 11.2% (394) in 761 lumbosacral transforaminal epidural injections: 21.3% at S1 and 8.1% at the lumbar levels. Renfrew et al (393) reported a 9.2% incidence of vascular uptake, despite negative aspiration for blood, during caudal epidural procedures. Sullivan et al (392) reports similar rates of vascular uptake in their series of fluoroscopically guided lumbar transforaminals: 10.9%. Caudal epidural rates of vascular uptake are 10.9%. The rates of vascular uptake for sacro-iliac, intra-articular facet, and midline interlaminar epidural steroid injections are 6.1, 5.3, and 1.9%, respectively (392). Patients over the age of 50 demonstrated twice the rate of vascular uptake as those under 50 (392). Overall, several series report that percutaneous, fluoroscopically-guided, contrast-enhanced, lumbosacral spine injections do not result in clinically significant hematomas, despite significant rates of vascular uptake (392, 394-396).

The relevance of minor hemorrhagic complications in predicting the development of a spinal hematoma remains unresolved (199, 223). In one series, however, 62% of hematomas were associated with a difficult or bloody lumbar puncture: minor bleeding (199). The ability of vascular uptake to predict major bleeding is unknown. Nonetheless, vascular trauma signifies vessel injury and even relatively small volumes of blood can cause significant neural compression (251). If the rate of bleeding outstrips the rate at which the hematoma can evacuate itself, the rate at which clotting can stop ongoing hemorrhage, or the rate at which fibrinolysis occurs, significant neural compression can occur.

In a study of patients undergoing magnetic resonance imaging after an epidural blood patch procedure for postdural puncture headache, Vakharia et al (397) demonstrated that a 20-ml blood patch would produce a hematoma in the posterior epidural space. The mean spread of a 20-ml blood patch was 4.6 intervertebral spaces. None of these patients had radicular symptoms during or after the blood patch procedure. In addition, the report did not note the development of spinal stenosis as a result of the blood patch. Another study evaluating the magnetic resonance imaging patterns of epidural blood patches demonstrated that a focal hematoma mass forms at the injection site. This mass initially compresses the thecal sac and nerve roots, but resolves by 7 hours. Blood patches spread primarily cephalad and only for 3-5 segments (398). Despite the purported safety of epidural blood patches in volumes as high as 30cc, cases of delayed spinal cord/cauda equina have occurred following an epidural blood patch (399). In both cases the hematoma was found to be subdural. However, one could postulate that in patients with reduced epidural space volumes, secondary to neurolysis, prior spinal surgery, degenerative spine conditions, or other spine
abnormalities, the volumes used in epidural blood patches might not be tolerated (111). In fact, volumes as low as 5 cc of local anesthetic have caused epidural compression at T12-L1, cephalad to a pre-existing extradural compression at L3-4 disc herniation in a patient receiving an epidural steroid injection (400).

Subarachnoid anesthesia is associated with minor degrees of vascular trauma, particularly if paresthesias or technical difficulties are encountered during needle placement (229). Erythrocyte counts were elevated in 38% of cerebrospinal fluid samples during subarachnoid puncture with a 25 gauge needle (229). Radicular vessels may become damaged, especially if a paresthesia is elicited (182, 229). Some authors contend that a ‘bloody tap’ can be due to arterial puncture (266).

Bleeding occurs not only from catheter insertion but from indwelling catheter movement (350, 387). In fact, continuous spinal techniques have been associated with elevated erythrocyte counts in the cerebrospinal fluid (349). Several patients had blood tinged cerebrospinal fluid, but none developed a spinal hematoma (349). Horlocker et al (224) demonstrated that intrathecal catheters had a higher incidence of minor bleeding. This suggests that indwelling neuraxial catheters result in trauma to spinal vasculature and the provision of anticoagulation theoretically increases this risk (203). Spinal cord stimulation has been associated with a delayed hematoma in one report, but this was a surgically implanted subarachnoid plate electrode in the cervical spine (401). If an indwelling intrathecal or epidural catheter increases the risk of minor spinal bleeding, then intrathecal pumps, spinal cord stimulators, and epidural adhesiolysis procedures may have similar problems.

Despite the incidence of vascular trauma with epidural procedures, spinal hematomas are exceedingly rare. One hypothesis that explains why some epidural hematomas spontaneously resolve may account for why most episodes of minor spinal bleeding do not become clinically significant: a hematoma can spread upwards, downwards, or laterally, and thereby, decompress itself (211, 360, 367). Acute fluid loculations due to an epidural bleed or injectate can lead to neurological compromise, but as the fluid collects, neurological symptoms can improve (400). In the case of minor spinal bleeding, a microscopic bleed may decompress itself and microscopic clot formation may prevent further bleeding.

Subdural hematomas may also develop following neuraxial procedures (355, 399, 402–404). The subdural space is a potential space and a subdural space occupying lesion can cause significant neurological compromise (400, 405). Bleeding into the subdural space, unlike the epidural space, cannot decompress itself away from neurological structures.

Significant spinal hematomas can occur during needle/catheter placement or catheter removal (208). Vandermeulen et al (208) identified 61 published cases of spinal hematoma, from 1906 to 1994, that were associated with neuraxial techniques. Forty six were associated with an epidural technique and 15 with a spinal technique. Thirty (50%) needle and catheter placements were either technically difficult or demonstrated blood at the needle hub. Forty-two of these 61 patients had evidence of impaired hemostasis. Overall, 87% of patients that developed spinal hematomas had clotting abnormalities or procedural difficulties. Simplistically, spinal hematomas can occur due to factors that are patient-specific, technique-specific, or unknown.

Wulf (207) identified 51 cases of spinal hematoma due to epidural anesthesia alone. Sixteen of these cases were missed by Vandermeulen (208) or published in the period 1994–1995. The level of epidural anesthesia was known in 47 patients. At the cervical, thoracic, and lumbar levels, there were 2, 9, and 36 hematomas, respectively. Thirteen patients developed cord compression after removal of an epidural catheter. The procedure was difficult, traumatic, or associated with epidural vein trauma in 22 patients. The main risk factors were coagulopathies and anticoagulant therapy, with the exception of aspirin, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and subcutaneous, low-dose, unfractionated heparin.

Spinal abnormalities, such as spina bifida occulta, spinal tumors, and ankylosing spondylitis, increase the risk of significant bleeding during neuraxial procedures (203, 207, 208). Ankylosing spondylitis may increase the risk of bleeding for several reasons: 1. Higher incidence of traumatic attempts due to anatomical abnormalities; 2. Consumption of NSAIDs; 3. Narrower volume of epidural space with smaller foramina (207). Female gender and advanced age are risk factors for the development of an epidural hematoma (208). Cutaneous angiomas may be a risk factor the development of epidural hematomas following neuraxial procedures (406). Cutaneous angiomas may indicate the presence of a hazardous lesion in the neuraxis: twenty percent of cutaneous angiomas have associated spinal arteriovenous abnormalities in the same metamere (406). Overall, the applicability of these spinal abnormalities to non-neuraxial procedures is unknown.

**Technique Specific Bleeding Risk Factors**

We have defined the risk of significant bleeding from an interventional pain procedure based on several factors: 1. The target’s proximity to an important vascular structure; 2. The target’s proximity to a major neurological structure; 3. The target’s location within a confined versus non-confined space; 4. The use of a sharp rather than blunt needle; 5. The anticipated or actual need for multiple passages; 6. The use of fluoroscopy; 7. The use of radioopaque contrast; 8. The use of a large rather than small diameter needle; 9. The procedure is continuous and indwelling rather than a single shot; 10. The presence of blood, spontaneously at needle hub or following aspiration.

These factors represent those that influence the absolute risk of bleeding (sharp needle, multiple passes, large needle gauge), the severity of bleeding (proximity to vascular structures), the consequences of bleeding (proximity to neurological structures, target in a confined space), and whether bleeding is recognized (contrast not used, aspiration not performed, fluoroscopy not used, blood at needle hub). Together these factors help guide the clinician in the predicting, detecting, and differentiating bleeding episodes that are less significant, e.g., hematoma after a superficial myoneural injection, versus those that are more significant, e.g. perforating the aorta with a large bore, sharp needle, during a lumbar sympathetic block.

Is the target structure close to a major vascular or neurological structure?

If the intended target during a percutaneous procedure for pain therapy and regional anesthesia is or is near a major neurological or vascular structure, the risk and consequences of bleeding may be
increased. This concept applies to most procedures in interventional pain management. Published reports are limited, but most support this concept.

As described earlier, peribulbar blocks may have fewer complications than retrobulbar blocks, because they are easier to perform and because there are fewer vital structures close the needle (296, 297). Interscalene blocks or infusions may induce a Horner’s syndrome (407, 408), but in rare cases this complication may be prolonged, due to traumatic injury or hematoma (407, 408). In one case, an expansile hematoma, contained between the anterior scalene and longus colli muscles, compressed and damaged the pre-ganglionic sympathetic. The resulting Horner’s syndrome recovered after one year (407, 408). Overall, hematomas following interscalene blocks are rare (314, 407, 408), but seizures and other signs of local anesthetic toxicity, both indirect markers of vascular injury, may occur in 0.2 and 0.6% of cases, respectively (314).

Stellate ganglion blocks are high risk procedures. Entry into the vertebral artery can occur in the presence of negative aspiration (301, 305). Acute hematomas, causing severe airway obstruction and a locked-in syndrome, have been reported as a consequence of a stellate ganglion block (301, 305). This procedure puts vital neural structures at risk as well (409-412).

Is the target in a confined space?

Bleeding is classified as major if it is intracranial, intraspinal, intraocular, mediastinal, retroperitoneal, or results in death, hospitalization, or transfusion (5). Spinal hematomas are emergent problems because of their location in a confined space. This increases the significance of bleeding, since neural structures can be adversely affected (5, 20, 207, 208). Significant bleeding following lumbar plexus or lumbar sympathetic blocks has primarily resulted in worsening pain, anemia, and hypotension, but neurological compromise is less common (1, 145, 233).

Intracranial hematomas, like spinal hematomas are considered significant. Intracranial or subdural hemorrhages following lumbar puncture, myelography, spinal anesthesia, or iatrogenic dural puncture are rare but do occur (413-418). True causal factors are unknown. Cerebrospinal fluid loss through a dural opening leads to a reduction in intracranial pressure that is followed by caudal displacement of the brain. Traction and tearing of bridging meningo-cortical vascular structures occur and lead to a hematoma (413). Recognized contributing factors include age-associated cerebral atrophy, previous head trauma, intra-operative hiccups or coughing, dehydration, post-operative hypotension, larger bore needles, valsalva maneuvers, and multiple punctures (413, 419). Forseeably, anticoagulation could worsen a hematoma, but most reports do not mention this influence. However, coagulation disturbances, even the use of anti-inflammatories and mini-dose heparin, are known to influence the development and the outcome of spontaneous intracerebral and subdural hematomas (419, 420).

Is the procedure going to be performed with a sharp or blunt needle?

Orbital perforation, during the performance of a retrobulbar block, typically ranges from 1.1 in 1,000 to 1 in 16,000 with sharp needles (231). Blunt needles may reduce this risk relative to sharp needles (296, 421). A recent prospective study demonstrated the safety, in terms of reducing the risk of sight-threatening complications, of a blunt approach for ocular blocks (231). A sub-tenon, blunt needle is advocated over a sharp needle during a retrobulbar block to minimize the risk of globe injury (195, 231).

Blunt needles may increase the incidence of hematomas during the intentional puncture of a blood vessel (301), but this contention has been refuted by experimental studies (422). Blunt needles, in comparison to sharp needles, are less likely to enter a vital structure and produce hemorrhage (422). Blunt needles may be preferable to sharp ones in performing interventional pain procedures (422, 423).

Blunt needles are thought to reduce the risk of vascular injury in peripheral blocks. Furthermore, blunt needles permit tactile sensation and a better ‘feel’ of fascial tissue planes (424). Chelly indirectly suggests that a blunt, larger bore needle may be safer than a sharp, smaller needle (424).

Several factors are implicated in neural injuries secondary to injection needles: needle trauma, extra- and intra-neural hematomas, microvascular injury and violation of diffusion barriers, and the toxic effects of the injected agent (292, 319). Both immediate and delayed-onset neuropathic symptoms may be explained by hematomas (292). Subsequent edema, compression, and a degenerative cascade are the main reasons for the development of neural ischemia or neuropathy (319).

Needle design, particularly the length and orientation of the bevel, are relevant (319). There is an ongoing debate about the safety of short versus long beveled needles (291, 292, 319, 425). The length of the bevel approximately correlates with the bluntness of a needle. A long beveled needle is sharper and more likely to impale a nerve. Conversely, a short bevel needle is blunter, less likely to impale a nerve, and permits easier tactile appreciation of tissue planes (319). Selander et al (291) found that the frequency of lesions was greater when a long, as opposed to short beveled needle was used: nerve fascicles have a tendency to roll or slide away from the advancing needle point (291). A short, 45 degree needle was recommended for use in regional anesthesia (291). Rice et al (425) demonstrated that when actual impalement occurred, a short beveled needle produces more lesions that are severe and less rapidly repaired. In summary, a short beveled needle will cause more damage if a nerve is impaled, but it is less likely to impale a nerve, when compared to a long beveled needle (319). Overall, blunt needles may enhance the safety of performing percutaneous interventions and minimize the risk of vascular and neural trauma.

How many needle or catheter passes are going to be performed: Single or Multiple?

Rough and repeated probing for paresthesias, especially with a thick and sharp needle can cause nerve damage (292). Multiple needle passes, during subarachnoid blockade, influenced the red blood cell count in samples of withdrawn cerebrospinal fluid (229). The use of multiple injections, during thoracic paravertebral blockade, is thought to increase the risk of complications (322, 323). Bilateral lumbar or thoracic paravertebral blockade is associated with a 9% incidence of vascular puncture versus 5% for unilateral blocks (320). An increased number of attempts at epidural catheter placement may increase the risk of a hematoma, whether it is the technique style (midline vs. paramedian) or the experience of the interventionalist (426).
Minimizing the number of needle passes, during a paracervical block, may reduce the risk of a pelvic hematoma (327). Multiple needle passes, during interscalene blocks, posed a greater risk for developing bruising or inflammation, when compared to a single pass; bruising occurred in 32% and 10% of subjects, if more or less than 4 needle passes were used, respectively (290). A repeat lumbar sympathetic has been implicated in worsening a retroperitoneal hematoma that was initiated by the first block (233).

Horlocker et al (288) however attests to the safety of repeat transarterial axillary blocks, even when performed a few days later. Traumatic needle insertion during lumbar puncture has been implicated in subarachnoid hematomas (189). A lumbar puncture should be abandoned if the attempts are numerous or difficult (189). Overall, making multiple passes, during an interventional pain procedure may increase the risk of bleeding.

Are fluoroscopy and contrast-enhancement going to be used?

The need for fluoroscopy and contrast-enhancement during various interventional and regional anesthetic procedures is actively debated. Fluoroscopy and contrast-enhancement reduce the risk and consequences of procedure related bleeding by several means: 1. Minimizing the procedure difficulty and the need for multiple passes; 2. Enabling the precise placement of needle or catheter away from major vascular or neurological structures; 3. Enabling the early recognition of occult vascular trauma.

By providing real-time imaging, fluoroscopy may potentially reduce the need for multiple needle insertions and thus, the probability of vascular injury. For instance, despite literature demonstrating the success of blind epidurals in difficult patients, such as those with previous back surgery (427), fluoroscopy is thought to enhance the safety of these procedures (428). Other technologies may improve upon this concept in terms of facilitating interventional pain procedures: ultrasound, magnetic resonance imaging, and CT Scanning. However, in comparison to fluoroscopy, these methods are not yet in common use or are not as accessible to interventional pain physicians.

The absence of blood aspiration during the performance of an interventional pain procedure does not exclude the possibility of vascular puncture (326, 376, 392, 394). Fluoroscopic guidance, contrast-enhancement and even digital subtraction angiography may be better tools in assessing vascular puncture (393, 430). Contrast enhancement has identified instances of vascular puncture, when aspiration could not (376, 392-394). Digital subtraction angiography may have a greater sensitivity in detecting vascular uptake during a cervical spine transforaminal injection (429). None of these series resulted in a hematoma. There are no data to suggest a correlation between contrast-enhanced vascular uptake and a clinically significant hematoma. In fact, several series recommending the use of contrast-enhanced fluoroscopy for spinal injection procedures were concerned about the loss of clinical effect and of the consequences of the vascular uptake of i jectate (376, 392, 394), as opposed to the development of a significant hematoma. Nonetheless, contrast enhancement of a vessel, during a fluoroscopically-guided interventional pain procedure, implicitly means that vascular injury and bleeding have occurred. Fluoroscopy and contrast-enhancement permit recognition of traumatic vessel injury and consequently, may be helpful in the early detection of bleeding complications.

Is the procedure going to be performed with a large or small diameter needle?

Large needles have been used safely in regional anesthesia. 14-gauge needle and 16-gauge catheter were safely used in patients undergoing continuous femoral blockade (230), without the development of hematomas. Nonetheless, smaller caliber instead of larger caliber needles may be safer for most interventional procedures. One may argue that a smaller needle size increases the risk of needle deviation and hence, increases the likelihood of repeated passes, which in turn increases the probability of vascular trauma. Although this contention may be partially true (430), bevel shape and the needle design will play a more important role in steerability (430-432). Additionally, proper needle orientation may overcome the lesser stiffness of smaller gauge needles (431).

Large bore cannulas and vessel dilators are implicated in large hematomas following internal jugular vein cannulation (301). Intentional puncture of dog kidneys resulted in less bleeding with smaller versus larger gauge needles (422). Large gauge needles of similar size, 16 or 18, may lacerate epidural veins in 5-18% of patients (350). A 22-gauge Tuohy needle is preferable to a larger 16 gauge needle in thoracic paravertebral blocks (433). Smaller needles are thought to enhance the safety of deep eye blocks (195). In fact, a 25-gauge needle during a lumbar sympathetic block is thought to be of little clinical significance, in terms of bleeding risk. Needle size may affect the frequency and severity of nerve injury (425) and smaller caliber needles are advised (291).

Is the procedure continuous and indwelling or a single shot?

The risk of bleeding may be greater for an indwelling catheter compared to a single-shot procedure. Indwelling catheters may cause ongoing injury to tissues and vascular structures (5, 387). Catheter removal can cause vascular trauma or clot dislodgement (5, 434, 435). Additionally, as mentioned earlier, indwelling subarachnoid catheters have been associated with hemorrhage, although clinical deficits did not develop (349). Most anesthesiologists in United Kingdom consider single shot epidurals to be safer than indwelling epidurals (259). This issue has implications for long term epidural catheters, spinal cord and peripheral nerve stimulators, and intrathecal pumps.

Is aspiration going to be performed to exclude vascular penetration?

Aspiration signifies that a blood vessel has been punctured. Reliance on the aspiration of blood may underreport the risk of potential bleeding problems in areas with high vascularity (436). Aspiration, nonetheless, is routinely used to ascertain vascular puncture during regional anesthesia and interventional pain procedures (294, 314, 376, 392, 394). If blood is aspirated when performing a regional block, some advocate canceling certain types of surgery (267, 322, 323). Blood aspiration during a thoracic paravertebral block was a harbinger of a delayed pulmonary hemorrhage (322, 323).

**Technique-Related Bleeding Risk Score and Stratification**

There is no way to discern the relative importance of each technique-specific factor, so each has been assigned one point (Table 7). We have defined the sum
of all these points as the Technique-Related Bleeding Risk, $T_{BR}$ (Table 8). We have stratified this risk as minimal (1-4), moderate (5-6), and severe (7-10). All procedures involving needle insertion are theoretically associated with a risk of bleeding, so the minimal $T_{BR}$ score should be 1. If the procedure is abandoned, then the $T_{BR}$ would be 0.

Due to the small number of published bleeding complications and the absence of such complications in large series, one cannot authoritatively state that a particular technique poses bleeding risks and consequences that are increased when compared to another technique. However, the $T_{BR}$ alludes to the difficulty and hazards of particular interventional pain techniques. For example, a trigeminal ganglion block, intuitively, poses an increased bleeding risk compared to a superficial trigger point injection, but there is no evidence to support this contention.

Table 7. Technique-related bleeding risk factors and corresponding score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factors associated with technique</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proximity to significant vascular structures</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximity to significant neurological structures</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target in a confined space</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of a sharp, rather than blunt needle to reach target</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple passages</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contrast not used, if applicable</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoroscopy not used, if applicable</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspiration not performed or presence of blood at needle hub</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needle size: larger than 20 gauge</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous, not single shot procedure</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8. Technique-related bleeding risk score ($T_{BR}$) and risk stratification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall score</th>
<th>0-4</th>
<th>5-6</th>
<th>7-10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall risk stratification</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9. Patient-related bleeding risk factors and corresponding scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hemostasis</th>
<th>Modifying factors</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>History of self-limited, transient bleeding disorder</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal coagulation studies despite the intake of medications that theoretically may affect hemostasis</td>
<td>6 (nutraceuticals, serotonin reuptake inhibitors)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal coagulation studies after discontinuation of known anticoagulants (the score may be modified, depending on when the drug was stopped relative to the period of drug effect)</td>
<td>6-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Active consumption of anticoagulants that cannot be held (the score may be modified based on the specific anticoagulant and abnormal coagulation studies)</td>
<td>10 (low dose aspirin, NSAIDS) 12 (subcutaneous heparin, low dose coumadin (INR &lt; 1.4), medium-high dose aspirin, ticlopidine, clopidogrel) 14 (low molecular weight heparin, coumadin (INR 1.5-2, Gp IIb/Gp IIIa inhibitors) 16 (intravenous heparin bolus, coumadin (INR 2-3)) 16-18 (thrombin inhibitors) 18 (high dose intravenous heparinization and warfarin, INR &gt; 3). 20 (thrombolytics)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Known history of medical bleeding disorder (the score may be modified if there is a history of easy bruising, deep versus superficial bleeding episodes, or spontaneous versus traumatically-induced bleeding episodes)</td>
<td>10 (thrombocytopenia &gt; 80,000) 12 (thrombocytopenia &lt; 80,000, idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura, renal failure-uremia) 12-14 (von Willebrand disease, depending severity) 14 (vitamin K deficiency) 14-18 (Hemophilia A and B depending on severity of factor deficiency) 14-18 (liver disease, depending on severity)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Known history of significant bleeding with procedures but cause not identified</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>Major hemorrhage due to incompetent coagulation system</td>
<td>20 (disseminated intravascular coagulation)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PATIENT-RELATED BLEEDING RISK FACTORS

In a fashion similar to the $T_{BR}$, a patient-related bleeding risk score, $P_{BR}$, may be generated. This risk of bleeding, simplistically, depends on factors that influence hemostasis: is hemostasis normal or abnormal? (Table 9)

Normal coagulation can be classified as normal, normal with a history of a self-limited, short-lived bleeding disorder, normal coagulation studies (all studies) despite drug intake (herbal medicines), and finally, normal coagulation after discontinuing anticoagulants that normally affect laboratory coagulation studies.

Abnormal coagulation can be broadly classified as patients taking a therapeutic anticoagulant that have not or cannot be discontinued, patients with a history of a medical bleeding disorder, a history of significant bleeding problems following a procedure wherein the cause was not identified, and a major bleeding diathesis.

We have arbitrarily multiplied these patient-specific bleeding risk factors by 2. Our rationale for weighing patient-specific factors over technique-specific factors was gleaned from the neuraxial anesthesia literature and practice patterns at our institution. Due to the safety record of neuraxial blocks, anticoagulants are thought to be the underlying causal factor in epidural hematomas. This causal link, however, cannot be proven due to the rarity of these events: 1:220,000 in spinal anesthetics and 1:150,000 in epidural anesthetics.

The paucity of bleeding complications associated with interventional pain procedures further support this rationale. One caveat is that there may be a selection bias: patients on anticoagulants may be offered non-interventional pain management strategies. Nonetheless, patient specific factors may be more relevant than technique-specific factors. The total value is the $P_{BR}$ (Table 10).

The risk of $P_{BR}$ may be defined as mild, moderate, severe, or very severe if the corresponding range of values is 2 to 8, 10 to 12, 14 to 16, or 18 to 20, respectively. A maximal value of 20 represents the significant risk of spontaneous bleeding in patients receiving thrombolytic therapy or suffering from acute disseminated intravascular coagulation. A minimal value of 2 signifies that even in the presence of normal coagulation patients may bleed unpredictably or have a focal lesion that predisposes to bleeding such as an arterio-venous malformation.

General risk factors for major bleeding during anticoagulation, specifically oral anticoagulation, include the intensity of the anticoagulant effect, increased age, female gender, history of gastrointestinal bleeding, length of therapy, and concomitant aspirin usage (138). Aspirin use and the intensity of anticoagulation have been incorporated in our patient-specific score. Increased age, female gender, and length of anticoagulation were not included, but may be considered after the total bleeding score is tallied. Elderly age and female gender increase the risk of an epidural hematoma in the presence of certain anticoagulants (5).

Tools to guide clinicians in assessing bleeding risk in patients have been developed. The Outpatient Bleeding Risk Index (437) can distinguish between low, moderate, and high-risk patients and help guide the optimal duration of oral anticoagulant therapy. This index assigns 1 point for age>65, history of gastrointestinal bleeding, history of stroke, or the presence of a co-morbid condition (myocardial infarction, anemia, renal impairment, diabetes mellitus). A score of 0 is low risk and a score of 1 or 2 is moderate risk. A score that is 3 is high risk (437). In a prospective study, major bleeding episodes occurred in 3%, 12%, and 53% of patients in the low, moderate, and high-risk categories (437). Physican assessment of bleeding risk was no better than chance in this study (437). This bleeding risk tool may have prevented major bleeding in patients at high risk (437). Another prospective study demonstrated the Outpatient Bleeding Risk Index could distinguish between low and moderate risk patients, in terms of major bleeding (438). The generalizability (438) to other anticoagulants, to surgical procedures, and to interventional pain is unknown, but this index sets a precedent.

Overall, our classification attempts to provide a practical and straightforward way of organizing the most common, patient-specific factors that influence hemostasis.

RISK STRATIFICATION

One can then stratify overall risk of significant bleeding ($O_{BR}$), i.e., bleeding that may result in significant morbidity, by adding patient-related bleeding risk score, $P_{BR}$ and technique-related bleeding risk score ($T_{BR}$).

In summary, $O_{BR} = T_{BR} + P_{BR}$ (Table 11).

The range of values for $O_{BR}$ is 2-30 (Table 8). Low risk of bleeding would be ≤7, medium risk would be 8-14, high would be 15-20, and very high would be ≥21. Recall that we have weighted patient over technique specific factors, by a factor of two.

If one optimizes the performance of the most invasive techniques, the best possible $T_{BR}$ may fall between 3 and 4. If these techniques are not optimized, then the score could deteriorate to 10. At our institution, this type of procedure, even if optimally performed would be postponed if the patient consumed aspirin or an NSAID (a score of 10). Many clinicians may disagree with this decision and proceed with this procedure. Due to this controversy, we have classified this $O_{BR}$ score of 13 to 14 (10+3 or 10+4) as medium risk. If the procedure is performed haphazardly, then the $O_{BR}$ could increase to 20: high risk.

If the patient consumed ticlopidine or clopidogrel (a score of 12), the decision to cancel the case would be less controversial. In this case the $O_{BR}$ score would be 15 to 16 (12+3 or 12+4) and we would classify this as high risk. If the patient was...

---

**Table 10. Patient-related bleeding risk score ($P_{BR}$)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall score</th>
<th>2-8</th>
<th>10-12</th>
<th>14-16</th>
<th>18-20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall severity</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>Very Severe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 11. Overall significant bleeding risk score in interventional pain practice ($O_{BR}$) and risk stratification**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall score</th>
<th>2-7</th>
<th>8-14</th>
<th>15-20</th>
<th>21-30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall risk</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Very High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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anticoagulated with coumadin and the INR was in the 2-2.5 range (a score of 16), the $O_{BR}$ score would be 19 to 20 (16+3 or 16+4): high to very high risk. The procedure should not be performed.

**Examples**

1. What would the $O_{BR}$ and risk stratification be in a patient that undergoes a blind trigeminal ganglion block with a sharp 22-gauge needle? Unwittingly, this patient has been receiving low molecular weight heparin twice-daily and the last dose was given two hours earlier.

   The $T_{BR}$ would be 7 and this risk stratification would be high:
   - +1 (proximity to vascular structures-intracranial vessels)
   - +1 (proximity to neurological structures-brain)
   - +1 (confined compartment-middle cranial fossa)
   - +1 (use of a sharp needle)
   - +3 (blind technique implies no use of contrast or fluoroscopy and the need for multiple passes)
   - +0 (standard practice for this block is that aspiration is required)
   - +0 (use of a needle with a gauge <20)
   - +0 (single shot procedure)

   The $P_{BR}$ score would be 14 and this risk stratification would be severe.

   Hence the $O_{BR}$ would be 21 (14+7): very high risk for bleeding related complications.

   The peak effect of subcutaneous low molecular weight heparin occurs at about two hours. There have been cases of epidural hematoma when the catheter was pulled at this time after dosing of low molecular weight heparin. Additionally low molecular weight heparin that is dosed at BID has been associated with a high risk of hematoma. Since monitoring PT doesn’t correlate with bleeding, one must closely monitor the patient for a facial hematoma, neurological changes, specifically those in the middle cranial fossa (cranial nerves 4, 6, and 3), seizures, and an elevated ICP. A small amount of blood can rapidly cause clinical demise. Emergency imaging, such as a CAT scan or MRI should be performed to exclude a hematoma and neurosurgical consultation should be sought. Like wise standard Advanced Cardial Life Support. protocols should be initiated in the event of rapid neurological decline. Neuroprotective and other pharmacological agents may be necessary to treat seizures or the delayed sequelae of an intracranial hematoma. We would advise delaying the case for 24 hours and perhaps, 48 hours in order to minimize residual effects of the low molecular weight heparin. Protamine would not be effective in reversing the effects of low molecular weight heparin. Even if contrast and fluoroscopy were used the $O_{BR}$ would be 19: high. Hence, even if technique-related strategies were used to reduce the $O_{BR}$, the technique should be postponed.

2. What would the $O_{BR}$ and risk stratification be if a patient stopped aspirin 7 days earlier and will be undergoing a trial of thoracic spinal cord stimulation?

   The technique specific risk score would be 8 and this risk stratification would be high:
   - +1 (proximity to vascular structures-epidural veins)
   - +1 (proximity to neurological structures-spinal cord)
   - +1 (confined compartment-spinal canal)
   - +1 (Tuohy needle has a rounded edge, but this edge is sharp and has the ability to pierce skin)
   - +2 (Fluoroscopy is used, but many practitioners do not use contrast; multiple passes are needed to steer the electrode into position, by definition)
   - +0 (standard practice for this procedure is that aspiration is required)
   - +1 (use of a needle with a gauge >20-the standard needle for spinal cord stimulators is 14 or 15 gauge).
   - +1 (continuous and indwelling electrode)

   The patient specific risk score would be 6 and this risk stratification would be mild. Hence, the $O_{BR}$ score would be 14 (8+6): medium risk.

   The irreversible effect of platelet inhibition associated with aspirin will normalize in 7-10 days. The patient should be asked to stop the aspirin and return in 10 days, 3-5 days may be appropriate for NSAIDs. As for Cox-II inhibitors, platelet assays suggest they have no anti-platelet effects. Some practitioners may obtain a bleeding time or platelet function assay, but these studies may not guarantee the prevention of bleeding. Several studies demonstrate that bleeding time measurements following anti-platelet therapy are not a reliable indicator of platelet function, degree of antiplatelet effect, nor surgical blood loss (25, 29, 90, 439). Platelet function analysis as measured by the platelet response to adenosine diphosphate or epinephrine can take up to 3 or 7 days to normalize, with non-steroidal or aspirin respectively, even if the bleeding time is normal (5, 81). Platelet function analyses may be useful under such circumstances. Finally, the technique-related bleeding risk score may be reduced by using contrast.

   If the procedure is planned and aspirin or non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents have been administered, postponing the procedure should be considered. Although the ASRA guidelines do not consider aspirin and NSAIDs to be a contraindication to neuraxial anesthesia, spinal cord stimulation is different from a standard epidural catheter in several respects:
   - 1. Spinal cord stimulators are implanted for 5-7 days in the case of a trial and indefinitely for a permanent implant;
   - 2. Spinal cord stimulation includes the use of magnetic resonance imaging, which is considered the gold standard for diagnosing a spinal epidural hematoma; 3. A significant proportion of patients that are selected for spinal cord stimulation have had prior spinal surgery, which causes epidural scarring and fibrosis (428);
   - 4. Spinal cord stimulator leads are stiffer than most epidural catheters; and 5. Needles used for spinal cord stimulation lead insertion are larger in caliber compared to those used for most epidural catheters used in regional anesthesia. Desmopressin and platelet concentrates have been used to reverse the effects of anti-platelet therapy that cannot be held, but this seems excessive for an elective procedure like spinal cord stimulation.

   3. What would the $O_{BR}$ and risk stratification be for the performance of a lumbar sympathetic block, using contrast-enhanced fluoroscopy and a 20-gauge blunt needle in a patient with a history of peripheral vascular disease that suffers an acute thrombotic event with impending ischemia? The patient is receiving thrombolytic therapy and systemic heparinization.

   The technique specific risk score would be 3 and this risk stratification would be low:
   - +1 (proximity to vascular structures-aorta or inferior vena cava)
   - +1 (proximity to neurological structures-lumbar plexus)
The patient specific risk score would be 20 and this risk stratification would be very severe. Hence the $O_{sa}$ score would be 25: very high risk. One should cancel the case and wait at least 10-14 days. In reality, no one knows how long interventional pain procedures should be held following thrombolysis. If the block must be performed, the practitioner must closely coordinate the block with the primary team. Thrombolysis should be held, fibrinogen levels should be monitored, and fresh frozen plasma may have to be administered. We cannot advise when thrombolysis can be re-started. Heparin may be restarted 1-2 hours after the block and if possible, no bolus should be administered. Close serial neurological monitoring should be performed at an interval ≤2%. Since the block is going to be used for improving limb perfusion, the use of long acting and potent local anesthetics may be unavoidable. For this reason, one may wish to consider the use of a radiofrequency neurolysis instead.

The $O_{sa}$ score in interventional pain practice incorporates the risks of bleeding secondary to both patient and technique-specific factors. This score can help the clinician in terms of deciding whether to perform or to abandon an interventional pain procedure. No firm rules can be made as to whether one should abandon a very high-risk procedure or to continue with a low-risk procedure. Herein lay the importance of individual judgment and assessment of the risk-benefit ratio. Nonetheless, strategies to reduce the

The $P_{BR}$ score should be universally adopted. Holding anticoagulants, substituting alternative anticoagulants, or reversing anticoagulation, in order to reduce the $P_{BR}$ score, should be carried out in consultation with the physician managing the patient’s anticoagulation. If a strategy to reduce the $P_{BR}$ score is employed, the patient must be fully informed of the potential risks. Intervventional pain practitioners must understand that predicting a significant bleeding event in the end is impossible and a complication may occur by chance. Herein are the limitations of both the $O_{sa}$ score and individual physician judgment.

Management strategies for bleeding complications have been alluded to in this monograph, but a discussion about managing specific bleeding problems following interventional pain procedures are beyond the scope of this paper. The mention of a specific case, however, would be useful.

Conolly et al (440) published a case report, in 1995, on the management of a 40-year old patient with Hemophilia A that was pending an epidural steroid injection. Factor VIII levels ranged from 4-8%. The patient was diagnosed with hemophilia at the age of 23 after developing an intra-abdominal hemorrhage that required surgery. Hemarthroses in the left hip led to a progressive arthropathy that ultimately required hip replacement surgery. Factor VIII concentrate was used perioperatively with success.

The consultant hematologist advised measuring factor VIII levels prior to the epidural steroid injection. The hematologist also suggested tests to assess if factor VIII inhibitors were present. Thereafter, factor VIII concentrate should be administered in order to raise Factor VIII levels to as close to 100%, as possible. Thereafter, a repeat factor VIII level should be performed. After the epidural injection, factor VIII concentrates should be continued as an in-patient for 24 hours and a repeat MRI should be performed prior to discharge. The procedure was performed with a 19-gauge Tuohy needle and with the use of contrast-enhanced fluoroscopy. There was no mention of technical difficulties or the aspiration of blood. The procedure was repeated a few weeks later using the same protocol. The cost of one epidural steroid injection with hemostatic management in 1995 dollars was over $34,000 (440). The technique specific risk score was 5 and this risk stratification would be medium:

+ 1 (proximity to vascular structures-epidural veins)
+ 1 (proximity to neurological structures-cauda equina)
+ 1 (compartment is confined)
+ 1 (use of a Tuohy needle)
+ 0 (contrast and fluoroscopy are used and only a single pass is necessary)

The patient specific risk score would be 16: factor VIII levels were mildly (8%) to moderately (4%) reduced. This risk stratification would be severe. If the procedure were performed in this setting, the $O_{sa}$ would be 21 (16+5), i.e., very high. However, with factor VIII replacement to nearly 100% and the absence of inhibitors, one could argue that the patient’s hemostatic capabilities were restored to ‘normal’. We would assign a $P_{BR}$ of 6. Thus, the $O_{sa}$ would be 11 (6+5), i.e., medium risk.

**Conclusion**

Significant bleeding following an interventional pain procedure is an extremely rare event. Tools to help predict these events would be helpful to practitioners. Unfortunately, available information is scarce and the number of patients needed in a clinical trial, to answer questions about which factors pose a risk for bleeding, would be staggering. Nonetheless, this problem can be approached by making some assumptions, i.e., the risk of significant bleeding is dependent on the underlying hemostatic problems and the particular technique used. Independent risk factors for perioperative bleeding, such as advanced age or sex, have not been included in stratifying the risk of bleeding with interventional pain procedures. However, they should not be ignored.

An overall bleeding risk score can be generated based on a stratification of technique-specific and patient-specific factors. Strategies can be implemented to reduce the technique- and patient-specific risk factors, in order to reduce the overall bleeding risk score. Such strategies may help in decisions to avoid, abort or proceed with a procedure.

Although not validated, the overall bleeding risk score is based on an exhaustive review of the literature: this literature pertains to hemostasis and interventional pain procedure-related bleeding. Use of this overall bleeding risk assessment tool may help practitioners in reducing the risk of significant bleeding with interventional pain and regional anesthetic procedures, in improving patient safety, and in research-oriented data collection.
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