We hypothesized that there is a gap between expectations and actual training in practice management for pain medicine fellows. Our impression is that many fellowships rely on residency training to provide exposure to business education. Unfortunately, pain management and anesthesiology business education are very different, as the practice settings are largely office-versus hospital-based, respectively.

Because it is unclear whether pain management fellowships are providing practice management education and, if they do, whether the topics covered match the expectations of their fellows, we surveyed pain medicine program directors and fellows regarding their expectations and training in business management.

A survey.

Academic pain medicine fellowship programs.

After an exemption was obtained from the University of Texas Medical Branch Institutional Review Board (#13-030), an email survey was sent to members of the Association of Pain Program Directors to be forwarded to their fellows. Directors were contacted 3 times to maximize the response rate. The anonymous survey for fellows contained 21 questions (questions are shown in the results).

Fifty-nine of 84 program directors responded and forwarded the survey to their fellows. Sixty fellows responded, with 56 answering the survey questions.

The responder rate is a limitation, although similar rates have been reported in similar studies.

The majority of pain medicine fellows receive some practice management training, mainly on billing documentation and preauthorization processes, while most do not receive business education (e.g., human resources, contracts, accounting/financial reports). More than 70% of fellows reported that they receive more business education from industry than from their fellowships, a result that may raise concerns about the independence of our future physicians from the industry. Our findings support the need for enhanced and structured business education during pain fellowship.

Business education, practice management, fellowship training, curriculum development, knowledge gaps, private practice
When embarking on a one-year Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME) accredited pain medicine fellowship, a trainee must obtain an abundance of knowledge and skills in a relatively short time. Whether the trainee’s background is in anesthesiology, physical medicine and rehabilitation, neurology, psychiatry, or any other specialty, he or she is likely to have large knowledge gaps regarding pain medicine given the variation in exposure to the field during residency. In addition, procedural skills that are fundamental to the practice of pain medicine must be developed and refined over the course of the fellowship. Pain medicine fellows expect to achieve these metrics, and the minimums for procedural exposure are clearly defined by the ACGME. All programs evaluate their trainees’ clinical skills prior to graduation, and because the standards of procedural and clinical competency often vary from program to program, some have suggested that defining a baseline standard of evaluation and assessment would be of great value (1).

In addition to the clinical skills taught during the pain medicine fellowship, the business side of pain medicine, such as practice management, is a key element for the trainee to learn and be a part of the expected curriculum before he or she graduates. It may be that faculty at training programs have had formal training in practice management. The majority of graduates will embark on a career in private practice, and this knowledge is relevant not only in the long term to their future career, but in the short term as they go out to interview and need to assess the business acumen of the practice prior to making a decision regarding where to work. There is also great variation as to what aspects of practice management will be important for the individual trainee, based on many factors (2). Other postgraduate training programs have shown that improvement in business intelligence can be greatly enhanced with adequate mentorship and education (3,4). As the majority of pain medicine fellowship graduates move on to a private practice business model as a career choice (for example, 75% to 100% of the fellows at the University of Florida), the importance of practice management education cannot be overlooked. Ultimately, sound practice management knowledge will maintain the viability of our specialty and will facilitate our patients’ access to care. We hypothesized that there is a significant gap in the expectations versus the actual training of pain medicine fellows in business and practice management during their fellowship.

**Methods**

Prior to data collection, a 21-question survey and planned study methods were sent to the University of Texas Medical Branch Institutional Review Board, which declared that written informed consent was not required. In the survey, which was drafted by a physician MBA, pain medicine physicians in both academics and private practice, and pain medicine fellows, assessed the presence or absence of formal practice management education in ACGME-approved pain medicine fellowship programs across the United States. To our knowledge, it was the first of its kind in pain medicine, and no validation tool was available. Email contacts of fellowship program directors were obtained using the database of the Association of Pain Program Directors. The survey was then forwarded to the fellows of the respective programs at the discretion of the program directors. The email survey was sent 3 times between March and June 2013. The survey itself began with a binary question for the fellowship program directors asking if there is a presence or absence of practice management education within the fellowship program. Despite the inherent limitations of a binary question, we felt it was necessary to establish a baseline presence or absence of practice management education within the program. In addition to the clinical skills taught during the pain medicine fellowship, the business side of pain medicine, such as practice management, is a key element for the trainee to learn and be a part of the expected curriculum before he or she graduates. It may be that faculty at training programs have had formal training in practice management. The majority of graduates will embark on a career in private practice, and this knowledge is relevant not only in the long term to their future career, but in the short term as they go out to interview and need to assess the business acumen of the practice prior to making a decision regarding where to work. There is also great variation as to what aspects of practice management will be important for the individual trainee, based on many factors (2). Other postgraduate training programs have shown that improvement in business intelligence can be greatly enhanced with adequate mentorship and education (3,4). As the majority of pain medicine fellowship graduates move on to a private practice business model as a career choice (for example, 75% to 100% of the fellows at the University of Florida), the importance of practice management education cannot be overlooked. Ultimately, sound practice management knowledge will maintain the viability of our specialty and will facilitate our patients’ access to care. We hypothesized that there is a significant gap in the expectations versus the actual training of pain medicine fellows in business and practice management during their fellowship.

**Methods**

Prior to data collection, a 21-question survey and planned study methods were sent to the University of Texas Medical Branch Institutional Review Board, which declared that written informed consent was not required. In the survey, which was drafted by a physician MBA, pain medicine physicians in both academics and private practice, and pain medicine fellows, assessed the presence or absence of formal practice management education in ACGME-approved pain medicine fellowship programs across the United States. To our knowledge, it was the first of its kind in pain medicine, and no validation tool was available. Email contacts of fellowship program directors were obtained using the database of the Association of Pain Program Directors. The survey was then forwarded to the fellows of the respective programs at the discretion of the program directors. The email survey was sent 3 times between March and June 2013. The survey itself began with a binary question for the fellowship program directors asking if there is a presence or absence of practice management education within the fellowship program. Despite the inherent limitations of a binary question, we felt it was necessary to establish a baseline presence or absence of practice management education within the program. In addition to the clinical skills taught during the pain medicine fellowship, the business side of pain medicine, such as practice management, is a key element for the trainee to learn and be a part of the expected curriculum before he or she graduates. It may be that faculty at training programs have had formal training in practice management. The majority of graduates will embark on a career in private practice, and this knowledge is relevant not only in the long term to their future career, but in the short term as they go out to interview and need to assess the business acumen of the practice prior to making a decision regarding where to work. There is also great variation as to what aspects of practice management will be important for the individual trainee, based on many factors (2). Other postgraduate training programs have shown that improvement in business intelligence can be greatly enhanced with adequate mentorship and education (3,4). As the majority of pain medicine fellowship graduates move on to a private practice business model as a career choice (for example, 75% to 100% of the fellows at the University of Florida), the importance of practice management education cannot be overlooked. Ultimately, sound practice management knowledge will maintain the viability of our specialty and will facilitate our patients’ access to care. We hypothesized that there is a significant gap in the expectations versus the actual training of pain medicine fellows in business and practice management during their fellowship.
Another question posed in the survey concerned the role of practice management training from industry/company-sponsored courses that many fellows attend. The final question of the survey quantified the number of contact hours spent in practice management in increments of 1 to 4, 5 to 9, and 10 or more hours.

**Results**

Of the 84 program directors from ACGME-accredited pain medicine fellowship programs that were forwarded the survey for fellow completion, 59 responded, constituting a 70% response rate. Of these, 44 indicated that their program provided some form of practice management education, whereas 15 stated they did not offer any formal training. The program directors then forwarded the survey to their fellows. In total, 60 fellows responded and 4 fellow surveys were excluded due to incomplete or invalid entry; thus, 93% of the total surveys received were complete and fully analyzed for this study. A complete summary of the data recovered from the 56 usable fellow surveys, including all 21 questions with percent response, can be found in Table 1.

The survey results also indicate that the most common length of time spent in formal education for practice management was 1 to 4 hours (64%), with only 7% of programs offering greater than 10 hours of formal education during the fellowship year (Fig. 1).

Finally, the participants were surveyed regarding who provided more education on practice management: their institution or outside/private companies. Seventy-one percent of those surveyed indicated they received more education about practice management from outside/private companies than from their institution/fellowship program.

**Discussion**

During a residency training program, trainees are generally exposed to business and practice management aspects of their respective fields. Because pain medicine fellows come from several different training backgrounds, their exposure is highly variable. In addition, within a given primary specialty, there are large differences in practice management exposure and education. Core residency programs as well as pain medicine fellowship programs vary greatly in size, patient population, and clinical exposure. The background knowledge in business and practice management of incoming pain medicine fellows is distinctly different based on a number of factors (1).

Another feature highlighting the need for business education by pain medicine fellowships concerns differences between the business of pain management and that of other medical disciplines. The vast majority of pain medicine fellows embark on private practice in an office-based setting after completion of their training. Other disciplines, particularly anesthesiology, which is the primary specialty for the majority of pain medicine fellows, remain mostly hospital-based, and their “business model” is thus different from the model of most pain management practices. Understanding the compensation systems, resource management, and practice models that usually differ from the field in which the trainee completed his or her residency is critical before beginning independent practice.

The ACGME clearly defines minimum standards for procedural and clinical encounters needed to complete a fellowship in pain medicine for accreditation. However, business and practice management education standards are not as clearly defined but are a component of the expected pain management curriculum. Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that there is a high degree of variability in the education areas of business and practice management. The goal of this investigation was to assess how pain medicine fellows are educated in business and practice management and if the hypothesized gap in their expectations and experience in this element of their education does in fact exist. While the one-year model for pain medicine fellowship training after the completion of a core residency is a short time for both clinical and practice management skill development, the latter has clear importance as the trainee transitions into independent practice.

This survey highlights the paucity of practice management and business training among pain medicine fellowship programs, a discipline that sends the extreme majority of trainees into the private sector. Of the responders, 25% of the fellows stated they receive no formal business training during their fellowship program. It is reasonable to conclude that the addition of this training would help the individual transition into a successful practice. However, the initiation of formal business training has been shown to benefit not only trainees, but also the academic departments providing the training by increasing revenue, as was demonstrated in a neurology department by Waugh et al (5) in 2014.

Of those receiving formal business training in their fellowship, the quantity and source of this training was particularly interesting to the authors. Among the
Table 1. Survey responses from 56 polled participants of 21 questions, by percent.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Strongly Agree (%)</th>
<th>Agree (%)</th>
<th>Neutral (%)</th>
<th>Disagree (%)</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Would you like to receive more education on billing documentation/compliance?</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Would you like to receive more education on charges/revenue?</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Would you like to receive more education on physician vs. facility revenue?</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>53.6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Would you like to receive more education on precertification/authorization?</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Would you like to receive more education on monthly financial reports for practice?</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>51.8</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Would you like to receive more education on contracts with payers?</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Would you like to receive more education on employee contracts?</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Would you like to receive more education on human resource topics (as the employer)?</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Would you like to receive more education on job search (CV writing, interviewing)?</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Would you like to receive more education on future healthcare options (e.g., Accountable Care Organizations)?</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you receive education on billing documentation/compliance?</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you receive education on charges/revenue?</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you receive education on physician vs. facility revenue?</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you receive education on precertification/authorization?</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you receive education on monthly financial reports for practice?</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>33.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you receive education on contracts with payers?</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>33.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you receive education on employee contracts?</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you receive education on human resource topics (as the employer)?</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>29.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you receive education on job search (CV writing, interviewing)?</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you receive education on future healthcare options (e.g., Accountable Care Organizations)?</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fellowship Program Companies/ Industry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

responders indicating that they did receive some sort of practice management training, 64% of those stated it was only between one and 4 hours throughout the entire fellowship year. While clearly not as important as developing clinical and procedural competency, the disproportionately low amount of business education
Practice Management Fellowship Education

can and should be increased. Also, an astonishing 71% of these same responders indicated they receive more practice management training from industry-sponsored instructional pain treatment device courses — courses that are not mandated or supported by the ACGME. There are also many opportunities for education in this area on the national societal level. Although the information provided by both industry and professional societies may indeed have value, the lack of supervision or standards allowing for bias is notable. Most trainees receive a very small amount of practice management training during their fellowship and the majority of this education comes from industry-supported courses, not from the ACGME-directed core fellowship program itself.

**Strengths and Limitations**

This study represents a unique, first survey of pain medicine fellowship program directors and fellows addressing practice management education within a training program and our study has limitations, as we discuss in the following paragraph.

Seventy percent of the program directors we contacted responded to our survey. Although this is not representative of every ACGME-approved pain medicine fellowship program and the 56 fellows who responded represent a number that is clearly below that of all fellows in training (an estimated 386 fellows in the year the survey was taken) and it is possible that more than one fellow per program responded, as we did not separate out programs for confidentiality reasons, the results can be extrapolated to offer an overall view of the stage of business education in pain medicine. Actually, our survey has a similar response rate as surveys conducted in other fellowship disciplines. The survey conducted by Lansdown of regional anesthesia program directors in 2013 had a response rate of 66% (1). Ideally, a higher responder rate would provide greater power and scope. However, this is the first survey of its kind, and a starting point to address this topic. It is clear that most programs provide some practice management and business training, but the topics covered vary significantly. This is not unique to practice management training among pain medicine fellowship programs, as other surveys have shown great variation even among clinical training in different post-graduate training programs (6). Also, it is not unique among training programs that resource, practice, and business training is limited compared to other aspects of systems-based clinical practice (7).

**Discussion**

The findings in this survey support a need for enhanced business training during pain fellowship. Given the enormous variation among programs in the quality, quantity, and format of this training, a formal curriculum may assist in creating a more uniform knowledge base in practice management among graduating fellows. We propose the following topics be adopted as standard elements of the core curriculum of pain fellowship training:

- Billing and compliance
- Revenue, physician vs. facility
- Insurance authorization and pre-certification
- Financial reporting and accounting
- Job search
- Contracts with employees
- Contracts with payers
- Human resource
- Future healthcare options

We propose that a formal curriculum for pain medicine fellows be covered twice in the same year, thereby providing more value to the trainee. For example, a lecture concerning billing and compliance may be introduced at the beginning of the year and then revisited later in the year after clinic and procedural experience has created a better understanding and perspective. By covering the aforementioned topics during the pain medicine fellowship, graduates across the country would emerge with an improved and more uniform knowledge base regarding practice management and could more knowledgeably advocate for reputable improvements in reimbursement, pain medicine research, and sociopolitical issues.
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