Background: Image guidance for spine pain control procedures, including epidural steroid injection, nerve root block, and facet block, can be performed with either computed tomography (CT) or conventional fluoroscopy. CT has the advantage of improved anatomic localization and use of air for contrast; however, there are concerns that CT leads to higher radiation dose and longer procedure time.

Objective: To evaluate procedure time and radiation dose for multiple types of spine pain control procedures performed under CT guidance.

Study Design: Retrospective evaluation.

Setting: Department of radiology in single academic medical center.

Methods: Institutional review board approval was obtained. We reviewed CT-guided spine procedures performed over a 12-month period from January 2012 to December 2012. Procedure type, procedure time, and dose-length product were recorded. Patient age and gender were recorded for each case; additionally, demographic and medical history data were obtained for a sub-group of patients.

Results: Nine hundred ninety-four studies (performed in 699 patients) were reviewed, including 585 epidural steroid injections, 228 nerve root blocks, and 90 facet blocks. For all studies, procedure time averaged 7:34 ± 5:05, and dose-length product averaged 75 mGy·cm ± 61. Additional medical history (available for 483 patients) revealed high rate of obesity (body mass index [BMI] = 30 ± 6.8, with 76% of patients overweight [BMI > 25] and 42% obese [BMI > 30]), and frequent medical comorbidities (including hypertension [n = 179], diabetes [n = 101], renal failure [n = 30], and heart failure [n = 17]).

Limitations: This study was performed retrospectively, and limited to a single institution.

Conclusion: These findings add to the growing evidence that CT guidance is a safe and effective technique for epidural steroid injection. These results further demonstrate that other spine intervention procedures, including nerve root block and facet block, can also be performed under CT guidance with short procedure time and reasonable levels of radiation exposure. This approach can be effectively used in a patient population with a high rate of obesity and medical comorbidities.
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improved efficacy and reduced risk of complications related to incorrect needle localization (5,6). More recently, computed tomography (CT) guidance has been used for guiding spine injections, and offers several advantages (7,8). These include increased visualization of soft tissues, ability to use air contrast instead of iodinated contrasts (reducing both cost and risk of allergy [9]), and improved anatomic precision of needle placement (8).

Concerns regarding CT guidance versus fluoroscopy include potential for increased radiation dose and prolonged procedure time. Image guidance for ESI has been widely evaluated, and CT guidance, either with intermittent CT or with CT fluoroscopy, can be used with acceptable radiation dose and procedure time (9-11). CT guidance for nerve root blocks, either in cervical (12,13) or lumbosacral spine (12,14), has also been described.

Radiating radicular pain, in particular, has been linked to obesity (15), which is common in our patient population. Image guidance is particularly useful in obese patients due to the limited ability to palpate landmarks. However, large body habitus degrades image quality, and may require larger tube current for adequate visualization (10); therefore, a high proportion of obese patients in the sample may contribute to unacceptably high observed radiation doses.

In this retrospective review, we demonstrate that CT guidance can be employed for a wide range of procedures, with short procedure time and reasonable levels of radiation exposure. Furthermore, this can be done in a patient population with numerous medical comorbidities and a high rate of obesity.

**METHODS**

Institutional review board approval was obtained for this retrospective review of all CT-guided pain management procedures performed over a 12-month period from January 2012 to December 2012. These were identified using the computerized radiology information system. Dose-length product (DLP) and procedure time were assessed for each case by reviewing data in the picture archiving and communication system. Procedure time was determined from time of first scout image to final axial image demonstrating the needle in the proper position; in practice, additional time would be required for patient positioning, drawing up and injecting medications, and post procedure patient care.

Where available, additional patient medical history and demographic data were obtained from the electronic medical record.

**Technique**

Procedures were performed under intermittent CT guidance, with baseline settings 120 kVp, 50 mA; these were adjusted in some cases depending on body habitus. Technique for ESI has been described previously (9,10). Cases were monitored for complications in accordance with Society of Interventional Radiology guidelines (16).

**Results**

In total, 994 studies were reviewed, performed on 699 patients, consisting primarily of interlaminar ESI (n = 585), nerve root block (n = 228), and facet block (n = 90; Fig. 1). The majority of patients were women, 64%, and the average age was 62 years ± 16. Average procedure time for all studies was 7:34 ± 5:05. Fifty percent of all cases were done...
with a procedure time of less than 6:11, and 90% less than 12:35. Average DLP for all cases was 75 mGy·cm ± 61. Fifty percent of all cases were done with DLP less than 62.5 mGy·cm, and 90% less than 125.2 mGy·cm. Using a conversion factor of k = 15 µSv/mGy cm (based on previously described methods [10]), average effective dose for all procedures was 1.13 ± 0.92 mSv.

Procedure time and DLP for individual study types are displayed in Table 1. Both procedure time and DLP demonstrated a positive skew (Fig. 2). Table 2 lists outliers exceeding mean + 3SD for procedure time or DLP, and gives likely explanations for the elevated procedure time or DLP. Procedure time was elevated in some cases involving trainees (all cases were performed with attending supervision). In the most substantial procedure time outlier cases, use of conscious sedation or altered consciousness of the patient were likely contributing factors. Some cases combined multiple procedures or involved treatment at multiple levels, leading to increased procedure time. DLP was elevated in some cases due to technical error (for example, improperly using diagnostic rather than biopsy settings). High patient BMI, involvement of trainee in the procedure, or combination of multiple procedures also contributed to elevated DLP in some outlier cases.

Table 1. Results by individual study type. Sample size is indicated for categories in which analyzed samples are less than total for that study type.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure Type (n)</th>
<th>Age (yrs)</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Procedure Time (Minutes:Seconds)</th>
<th>DLP (mGy·cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All (994)</td>
<td>62 ± 16</td>
<td>641 f, 353 m</td>
<td>7:34 ± 5:05</td>
<td>75 ± 61 (n=944)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epidural spinal injection (585)</td>
<td>61 ± 16</td>
<td>357 f, 228 m</td>
<td>7:46 ± 4:57</td>
<td>75 ± 57 (n=557)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nerve root block (228)</td>
<td>63 ± 16</td>
<td>163 f, 65 m</td>
<td>5:51 ± 3:36</td>
<td>67 ± 75 (n=217)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facet block (90)</td>
<td>66 ± 13</td>
<td>58 f, 32 m</td>
<td>7:12 ± 3:17</td>
<td>72 ± 37 (n=86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumbar puncture (44)</td>
<td>48 ± 22</td>
<td>30 f, 14 m</td>
<td>11:26 ± 8:37</td>
<td>95 ± 36 (n=41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facet ablation (15)</td>
<td>71 ± 11</td>
<td>10 f, 5 m</td>
<td>11:23 ± 5:34</td>
<td>82 ± 47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ganglion block (15)</td>
<td>47 ± 15</td>
<td>12 f, 3 m</td>
<td>11:16 ± 7:24</td>
<td>158 ± 99 (n=13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (17)</td>
<td>60 ± 15</td>
<td>10 f, 7 m</td>
<td>8:41 ± 8:42</td>
<td>82 ± 97 (n=15)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Distribution of procedure time (A) and DLP (B) for all procedures. There is a noticeable positive skew for both procedure time and DLP.
Medical history was available for 483 patients. Medical comorbidities were common in this study population, with hypertension (n = 179) and diabetes (n = 101) most common (Fig. 3 A). Average body mass index (BMI) was borderline obese, 30 ± 6.8, with 76% of patients above the cutoff for overweight (> 25), and 42% of patients in the obese range (> 30; Fig. 3 B).

There were no immediate or delayed complications related to these procedures.

**Discussion**

It is well established that use of image guidance is preferred to improve safety and efficacy in spine pain management injections. Use of CT guidance offers...
several potential advantages over fluoroscopy. The need for iodinated contrast media is limited, as air contrast can be used instead, and is clearly visible under CT (9).

For ESI, use of CT guidance has been demonstrated to have acceptable procedure time and radiation dose (10). Our findings for procedure time and radiation dose are very similar to those described previously. We have further demonstrated that CT guidance can be used for nerve root blocks or facet blocks with similar, or somewhat lower, procedure times and radiation dose. Our smaller sample sizes for lumbar puncture, facet ablation, and ganglion block, demonstrate higher, but still acceptable, procedure times and radiation dose. Our overall effective dose of 1.13 mSv for all procedures, as well as for ESIs (which was also 1.13 mSv), are similar to prior reports for ESI using CT (9,10). Radiation dose using CT guidance is comparable to other techniques—in a study by Hoang et al (11), lumbar ESI using conventional fluoroscopy had an effective dose of 0.85 mSv, whereas CT fluoroscopy had an effective dose of 0.45 mSv without a planning CT and 3.35 mSv with a planning scan. A separate study found an effective dose of 0.93 mSv for conventional fluoroscopically guided lumbar ESI (17). Of note, using the CT dose index to estimate radiation exposure is likely to overestimate the dose in interventional procedures, by as much as 2-fold (10,18,19); actual radiation dose for this series may therefore be considerably lower than calculated.

Procedure time for a CT-guided technique was comparable to prior studies using CT fluoroscopy. One series for nerve root blocks found procedure time of 7 minutes (including injection time; [20]), compared to 5 minutes 51 seconds (not including injection time) in our series. Ultrasound guidance has been demonstrated for spinal procedures, including ESIs (21) and facet blocks (22), and has the benefit of not using ionizing radiation. Procedure time for ultrasound-guided ESI is comparable to conventional fluoroscopy, and to our experience with CT guidance. Evansa et al (21) found procedure times (including injection) of 6 minutes 10 seconds for conventional fluoroscopy and 5 minutes 23 seconds for ultrasound guidance. Ultrasound-guided technique has the advantage over conventional fluoroscopy of identifying most vessels; ultrasound use is limited by the lack of precise needle localization (when obscured by bony structures) and operator dependence.

Outlier cases were identified and evaluated. Combination of multiple procedures, treatment at multiple sites, or involvement of a neuroradiology fellow likely contributed to increased procedure time or radiation dose in some cases. Improper CT scanner settings may have led to increased radiation dose in some cases. Some of these factors may be modifiable in future cases to limit long procedure time or high exposure cases.

High BMI was seen in some outlier cases for both procedure time and DLP. However, the majority of the patient population was at least overweight, with greater than 40% in the obese category. Therefore, short procedure time and low radiation dose is achievable in a patient population with a high rate of obesity.

CT-guided ESI has been demonstrated to be safe, with a very low risk of complications. Rarely, patients may develop infection or hematoma associated with the injection site, but these are usually mild (23,24). There is also risk of additional complications, including intravascular or intrathecal injection, nerve injury, or air embolus.
(23). These risks are very small when procedures are performed with image guidance.

Other procedure types included in this series, in particular nerve root blocks and facet blocks, are less widely studied, but are also considered to have a low risk of complications. However, neurologic damage following image-guided cervical (25) or lumbosacral (26) nerve root block has been reported. While minor side effects may be seen with facet blocks (related to intravascular penetration or local bleeding), significant complications are extremely rare (27). No clinically significant complications were observed in our current series. The prior 2 series by Chang et al (1000 cases and 345 cases) also demonstrated no complications (9,10).

One disadvantage of CT guidance may be added cost. CT guidance is approximately 0.9 relative value units higher than fluoroscopy (3.32 for CT scan for therapy guide, versus 2.41 for fluoroscopic guidance for spine injection). In European cost-analysis studies, CT was demonstrated to be cheaper than magnetic resonance (MR) guidance for lumbosacral nerve root injection (28), and in between the cost of ultrasound and MR guidance for cervical nerve root injection (29). As cost and resources vary by health care facility and geographic location, the scale and potential impact of these differences should be considered in the individualized setting.

The primary limitation in this study is that the results are from a single institution. Our findings add to the growing evidence that CT guidance is a safe and effective technique for ESI. These data further demonstrate that other spine intervention procedures can be performed with acceptable procedure time and radiation dose under CT guidance.
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