Osteoporosis and tumors can lead to sacral insufficiency fractures (SIFs) (1-5). Recently, the number of geriatric patients with osteoporosis has increased. Osteoporosis is the main cause of SIFs (5,6). SIFs cause severe low back pain, buttock pain, groin pain, and immobilization (4,5,7,8). Some patients become bedridden due to severe pain caused by SIFs (7). The symptoms of SIFs are similar to those of degenerative lumbar diseases such as spinal stenosis. Moreover, sagittal and axial...
images taken with lumbar magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) may not reveal the presence of SIFs (7). Clinicians may overlook SIFs, which leads to delayed diagnoses or misdiagnoses. Current treatment recommendations vary from analgesia to surgery (4,9). The first-line treatment for SIFs is conservative management including bed rest and analgesic medication. However, long-term immobilization due to SIFs increases the risk of deep vein thrombosis, pulmonary embolism, and pneumonia (5,7).

Pelvic insufficiency fractures, including sacral fractures, result in morbidity and mortality that is related to immobilization (overall one-year mortality rate: ~14%) (8). Optimal diagnosis and treatment of SIFs in geriatric patients is necessary to prevent morbidity and mortality. Percutaneous sacroplasty using polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) is a minimally invasive treatment for SIFs that can improve pain and functional status; it includes vertebroplasty and kyphoplasty (1,4,10).

Osteoporosis is an important problem in those of advanced age. As average life expectancy increases, the number of patients with osteoporotic SIFs also increases. Previous studies have not investigated the clinical outcomes of percutaneous sacroplasty specifically for osteoporotic SIFs. The purpose of our study is to describe our experience and to assess the safety and effectiveness of minimally invasive percutaneous sacroplasty in patients with osteoporotic SIFs.

**Methods**

Only patients with osteoporotic SIF were enrolled in this study. Those with metastatic lesions or primary bone tumors in the sacrum were excluded. Osteoporotic SIF was diagnosed by MRI examination. If SIF was suspected from routine sagittal and axial MR images, the coronal section of short tau inversion recovery (STIR) or T2-weighted images with fat suppression were evaluated (Fig. 1). If MRI findings appeared to show primary bone tumors or metastasis in the sacrum, and if patients had a history of cancer treatment or pelvic irradiation, gadolinium-enhanced MRI and positron emission tomography (PET) scans were performed for the evaluation of primary or metastatic tumors.

All patients were initially treated with conservative management including analgesic medications and bed rest. If patients presented with severe refractory pain or non-ambulatory status (functional mobility scale > 3, Table 1) even though conservative management was used for at least 3 weeks, we performed percutaneous sacroplasty under local or epidural anesthesia. We used low pressure–high viscosity sacroplasty with PMMA via the short axis approach under fluoroscopic guidance (Fig. 2) (1). Our target of augmentation was the sacral ala (6). If the SIF was not found in the S1 segment, we did not perform percutaneous sacroplasty.

Clinical parameters (symptoms, signs, initial diagno-
sis, visual analog scale (VAS) score, functional mobility scale (FDC) score (7), past history of illness, amount of bone cement (PMMA) infused, and complications related to sacroplasty) were investigated. Also, radiological parameters (T-score, cement leakage seen on postoperative x-ray, and concomitant fractures) were analyzed.

We performed this investigation in accordance with our institutional guidelines, which comply with international laws and policies (Institutional Review Board of the Leon Wiltse Memorial Hospital, #2016-W03).

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was assessed with the Mann Whitney U test and the Wilcoxon rank sum test. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. R (version 3.2) was used for the statistical analysis.

**Results**

We have performed percutaneous sacroplasty on 79 patients with osteoporotic SIFs since March 2009. A total of 68 patients were enrolled in our study (4 men and 64 women). All enrolled patients were followed up for more than 12 months after sacroplasty (mean follow up period: 15.86 ± 5.69 months). The mean age of the patients was 76.8 ± 6.2 years. All patients had severe osteoporosis (mean T score: -3.9 ± 0.5). The etiology of the fractures was osteoporotic insufficiency (36 cases) and osteoporosis with minor trauma (32 cases). Four patients had secondary osteoporosis due to corticosteroid medications and 2 patients had a history of pelvic irradiation to treat gynecologic tumors without sacral metastasis (Table 2).

---

Table 1. Functional mobility scale.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Functional mobility scale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Full activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Walking with assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Walking with assistance for short periods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Walking with assistance for activities of daily living/appointments only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Confined to a wheelchair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Bedridden</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Fig. 2. The fluoroscopically guided short axis approach used to perform percutaneous sacroplasty (A). A postoperative radiograph (B and C).
The symptoms of SIFs were back pain, buttock pain, radiating leg pain, groin pain, coccyx area pain, and complete bedridden status (Table 3). A positive finding on Patrick’s test was detected in 5 patients, and limitation of straight leg raising was seen in 7 patients. Initial misdiagnoses by clinicians in our hospital or other hospitals included spinal stenosis, herniated lumbar discs, hip joint problems, and thoracolumbar compression fractures (Table 4). Nineteen patients had concomitant fractures, 4 pelvic bone (Fig. 4) and 15 thoracolumbar compression fractures (Table 2).

FDS and VAS scores were significantly improved after sacroplasty, and the improvements lasted through the follow-up period. FDS scores significantly improved from 3.82 ± 0.68 preoperatively to 1.09 ± 0.74 at the last follow-up visit. VAS scores significantly improved from 8.65 ± 0.97 to 2.41 ± 1.07.

The mean amount of PMMA injected into one side was 1.61 ± 0.43 mL. No major complications or procedure-related morbidity occurred. Cement leakage into the sacroiliac joint occurred in 2 patients (Fig. 3). Fortunately, there were no symptoms related to cement leakage. There were no cases of cement leakage into the neural foramen.

**Discussion**

Osteoporosis influences morbidity and mortality in the elderly. Fractures related to osteoporosis include immobilization and disability. Moreover, prolonged immobilization can cause complications such as deep vein thrombosis, pneumonia, pressure sores, and muscle weakness (5,7). SIFs can occur in osteoporosis patients with or without minor trauma. The sacrum is a weight-bearing structure that is important for ambulation. Therefore, SIFs induce severe pain and disability, such as being bedridden.

Some patients presented with groin pain and pain provocation on flexion and abduction of the hip joint (Patrick’s sign); these cases were often misdiagnosed as hip joint problems. Other patients presented with severe buttock pain and pain that radiated into the legs. These cases were subject to misdiagnosis as lumbar degenerative diseases such as lumbar stenosis (6). Lumbar decompression surgeries were recommended in some cases at other hospitals. Signs and symptoms similar to those associated with problems in the sacroiliac joint, hip joint, or lumbar disease were one of the reasons for delayed diagnoses. Optimal diagnosis and recognition of SIFs may prevent unnecessary operations such as lumbar surgeries.

In patients diagnosed with SIFs, clinicians should look for fractures in other sites such as the pelvic bone or vertebrae. Concomitant fractures may influence postoperative clinical outcomes after percutaneous sacroplasty. Some patients with concomitant pelvic bone fractures did not experience improvements following sacroplasty (Fig. 3). Whole sagittal spine MRI and bone scintigraphy are useful for evaluation of not only SIFs but also concomitant vertebral and pelvic bone fractures (6,7).
There are 2 trajectories of sacroplasty, the short axis approach and the long axis approach. The ala portion of the sacrum is important for biomechanical stabilization. We used the short axis approach and targeted the sacral ala. We injected a small volume of PMMA (about 1 – 2 mL) into each ala, and witnessed improvements in pain and disability. The short axis approach can be performed using fluoroscopic guidance without computed tomography (CT).

PMMA cement leakage is one complication of sacroplasty (4,6,7). PMMA leakage into the neural foramen is a serious complication. In such cases, surgical removal of the cement mass may be required. In our cohort, PMMA leakage to the sacroiliac joint occurred in just 2 cases (Fig. 4). Fortunately, those 2 patients did not experience any side effects related to PMMA leakage. High viscosity and low pressure injection of PMMA may help to prevent cement leakage. We used a large diameter cannula and filler which is also used for vertebroplasty or osteoplasty to perform high viscosity, low pressure injections. Some researchers used a balloon or radiofrequency probe for high viscosity PMMA injections during sacroplasty (8,9). Needle or cannula location may be important for foraminal leakage of PMMA. The tip of the cannula being close to the foramen may increase the risk of foraminal PMMA leakage. In some cases, we used a venogram with contrast media, as done in vertebroplasty, to evaluate foraminal leakage (Fig. 5). If contrast media leaked into the S1 foramen before PMMA injection, we repositioned the tip of the cannula.

Previously reported clinical outcomes of sacroplasty are favorable (4-8). Percutaneous sacroplasty can improve pain and functional status and prevent the need for sacro-pelvic fusion surgery in patients with osteoporotic or tumorous SIFs (4). In our cohort, the clinical outcomes of sacroplasty for the treatment of osteoporotic SIFs were good, as in other studies. However, there have been no randomized case controlled studies. A double-blinded randomized case controlled study should be performed to optimize the evaluation of the
clinical results of sacroplasty. One limitation of our study is that it was not double-blinded and randomized.

CONCLUSION

Percutaneous sacroplasty is an effective minimally invasive treatment for osteoporotic sacral insufficiency fractures refractory to conservative management. Percutaneous sacroplasty produced significant gains in pain relief and functional status.
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