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Background: Herpes zoster is a disease caused by reactivation of varicella-zoster virus in sensory cranial nerves and dorsal root ganglion. Our presumption was that epidural administration of acyclovir near the viral burden could be more advantageous than intravenous (IV) administration. The cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) concentration of acyclovir after epidural administration was determined to be higher than that after IV administration in rats.

Objective: In this study, we tested the hypothesis that the concentration of acyclovir in CSF after epidural administration is higher than that achieved after IV administration in rats.

Study Design: A randomized controlled animal trial.

Methods: A total of 30 adult male Sprague-Dawley rats were used. The rats were randomly divided into 2 equal groups, epidural (Group Epi) and IV (Group IV) administration groups (n = 15). Group Epi was further subdivided into 3 groups according to acyclovir dosage; each group comprised 5 animals receiving injections at dosages of 0.3 mg, 0.6 mg, and 0.9 mg. Group IV was also subdivided into 3 groups receiving dosages of 3 mg, 6 mg, and 9 mg. We measured CSF and plasma acyclovir concentrations one hour after administration.

Results: In Group Epi, the median plasma concentrations of acyclovir were lower than that in CSF (P < 0.05). In Group IV, the median plasma concentrations of acyclovir were significantly higher than that in CSF (P < 0.05). The CSF concentrations of acyclovir in Group Epi were significantly higher than that in Group IV (P < 0.05). The plasma concentrations of acyclovir in Group Epi were significantly lower than that in Group IV (P < 0.05).

Limitations: There were no references of equivalent dosages of acyclovir between IV and epidural administration. However, it is obvious in this study that epidural administration of a low dose of acyclovir can more effectively increase its concentration in the intrathecal space than IV administration.

Conclusions: Epidural administration of acyclovir provides superior drug concentrations in the intrathecal space compared to IV administration.
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Although herpes zoster is not a fatal disease in most cases, it can cause severe zoster-related pain, including both acute zoster pain and chronic postherpetic neuralgia pain (1,2). More than 95% of adults in developed countries are seropositive for the varicella zoster virus and thus, are at risk of developing acute herpes zoster (3,4). Herpes zoster is a disease caused by the reactivation of latent varicella-zoster virus in sensory cranial nerves and dorsal root ganglion (2,5). The skin
vesicles of herpes zoster are caused by the peripheral delivery of the virus from adjacent sensory ganglia to the skin (6,7). Anatomic study of autopsy tissue in postherpetic neuralgia revealed degeneration of the dorsal horn and damage with accompanying fibrosis in the sensory ganglion (8). Thus, herpes zoster can induce damage to both the peripheral and central nervous system. It is well known that early treatment with antiviral agents can effectively reduce zoster-related pain and the progression to postherpetic neuralgia (9-11). Antiviral drug administration to the viral burden via oral or intravenous (IV) routes are only effective after their absorption. Therefore, high doses of the drug must be used, and the resulting high systemic levels of the drug could induce side effects. If the drug could be administrated near the target area, such as the dorsal root ganglion, the source of reactivation in the spinal cord in the early stage of herpes zoster, then the drug might demonstrate effectiveness at smaller doses with decreased side effects. In this study, we tested the hypothesis that the concentration of acyclovir in cerebrospinal fluid after epidural administration is higher than that achieved after IV administration in rats.

**Methods**

This investigation was performed after approval by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the affiliated institution. Thirty male Sprague-Dawley rats, weighing 250 to 300 g, were purchased from Dual Laboratories, Inc. (Seoul, Korea). All rats were kept in a standardized environment at a constant temperature of 21°C, 12 hour light and dark cycle, and 55% humidity. Rats were allowed free access to water and food. They were acclimatized to the environment of the laboratory for 7 days before random allocation by use of a random number table into 2 groups: epidural (Group Epi) and IV (Group IV) administration groups. Each group was divided into 3 subgroups with 5 rats each according to the administrated dose of acyclovir. Group Epi consisted of Group Epi 0.3, Group Epi 0.6, and Group Epi 0.9, with an administration dose of 0.3 mg, 0.6 mg, and 0.9 mg, respectively. Group IV consisted of Group IV 3, Group IV 6, and Group IV 9, with an administration dose of 3 mg, 6 mg, and 9 mg, respectively.

**Group Epi**

For IV sampling, a 24G IV catheter (Jelco I.V. catheter, Smith Medical, Rossendale, Lancashire, UK) was inserted into the rat’s tail vein using the Seldinger technique. Anaesthesia was performed by intraperitoneal injection of 50 mg/kg phenobarbital, and after loss of consciousness, hair at the lower thoracic spine was removed and sterile dressing was performed. A skin incision at the T11 level was made, and laminectomy was conducted. A polyethylene catheter (PE-10 tubing, Becton Dickinson, USA) was inserted into the epidural space. The tip of catheter was gently advanced about 2 cm in the caudal direction. The catheter was fixed with fascia by suturing, and subcutaneous tissue and skin were sutured as well.

In each group, 100 μL acyclovir at 3 mg/mL (Group Epi 0.3, n = 5), 6 mg/mL (Group Epi 0.6, n = 5), or 9 mg/mL (Group Epi 0.9, n = 5) was injected via epidural catheter.

**Group IV**

For IV injection and sampling, a 24G IV catheter (Jelco I.V. catheter, Smith Medical, Rossendale, Lancashire, UK) was inserted into the rat’s tail vein using the Seldinger technique.

In each group, acyclovir at 3 mg (Group IV 3, n = 5), 6 mg (Group IV 6, n = 5), or 9 mg (Group IV 9, n = 5), mixed with saline (2 mL), was injected via IV catheter.

**Blood Sampling and CSF Tapping**

One hour after acyclovir injection in each group, blood and CSF samples were taken. The blood sample (1 mL) was gently drawn from the IV catheter. CSF (1 mL) was aspirated from the cisterna magna after careful dural puncture. After centrifugation at 3500 rpm and 4°C for 10 minutes, the upper layer was separated from the blood and CSF samples and stored in cryogenic freezer at -70°C.

Lastly, all rats were sacrificed under anaesthesia by transcardial perfusion with 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer. The positions of the catheter tip of all rats were identified with the naked eye, and the correct placement of the epidural catheter was confirmed by epidural injection of Evans blue (10%). After pre-treatment for deproteination, quantitative analysis of the clinical specimen was performed by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. In this analysis, the standard for acyclovir was USP acyclovir (Santec Chemicals Corporation in Fresh Meadows, NY, US) and internal standard was penciclovir (CalBiochem, San Diego, CA, US). The plasma and CSF acyclovir concentrations were measured using a calibration curve with the ratio of peak area of acyclovir to peak area of internal standard.
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Statistical Analysis

The results were analyzed by Sigmastat ver. 11.0 (Systat Software Inc., USA). The comparison between the concentration of Group IV and Group Epi was analyzed by the Mann-Whitney Rank Sum Test. \( P < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

Results

The concentration of acyclovir in the blood and CSF one hour after injection is shown in Table 1.

All epidural catheters were placed correctly in the epidural space, which was confirmed by epidural injection of Evans blue (Fig. 1).

In Group Epi (Group Epi 0.3, Group Epi 0.6, and Group Epi 0.9), the median concentrations of acyclovir in the plasma were lower than that in CSF (\( P < 0.05 \)), as seen in Fig. 2 and Table 1. In Group IV (Group IV 3, Group IV 6, and Group IV 9), the median concentrations of acyclovir in the plasma were significantly higher than that in CSF (\( P < 0.05 \)), as seen in Fig. 3 and Table 1.

The CSF concentrations of acyclovir in Group Epi 0.3, Group Epi 0.6, and Group Epi 0.9 were significantly higher than that in Group IV 3, Group IV 6, and Group IV 9 (\( P < 0.05 \)), as seen in Fig. 4.

The plasma concentrations of acyclovir in Group Epi 0.3, Group Epi 0.6, and Group Epi 0.9 were significantly lower than that in Group IV 3, Group IV 6, and Group IV 9 (\( P < 0.05 \)).

Discussion

The epidural administration of a lower concentration of acyclovir (one-tenth of IV) guarantees a higher concentration in the CSF than IV administration. Even one-thirtieth of the epidural dose resulted in a significantly higher concentration of acyclovir in the intrathecal space, as seen when comparing Group Epi

Table 1. CSF and plasma concentrations of acyclovir one hour after epidural or IV administration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Injection</th>
<th>Sampling</th>
<th>Acyclovir Concentration (ng/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Median 25% 75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td>Dose</td>
<td>Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epi</td>
<td>0.3 mg</td>
<td>Plasma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.6 mg</td>
<td>Plasma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.9 mg</td>
<td>Plasma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>3 mg</td>
<td>Plasma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 mg</td>
<td>Plasma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9 mg</td>
<td>Plasma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CSF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Group Epi: Epidural administration group, Group IV: IV administration group. The data are expressed as medians, with twenty-fifth/seventy-fifth percentile. * \( P < 0.05 \), compared with plasma concentration of acyclovir.

Fig. 1. Correct placement of the epidural catheter was confirmed by injection of Evans blue (10%) via epidural catheter. Evans blue staining of the epidural space is shown.
0.3 and Group IV 9 (Fig. 4). Therefore, epidural administration of acyclovir effectively leads to its higher CSF concentrations.

The purpose of administering antiviral drugs is to transfer the drug to the target pathogen. In patients with herpes zoster, the virus persists in sensory ganglion, such as the dorsal root or cranial nerve ganglia (5,12). The viruses spread from the ganglion to neural tissue and its corresponding dermatome (12). Therefore, epidural administration of acyclovir can be a relatively direct route of administering the antiviral drug to the viral activation source. The virus destroys affected nerves and leads to an immune response that includes inflammation, haemorrhagic necrosis, and neuronal loss within the affected spinal ganglia (13-15). Early administration of the antiviral drug to the affected nerve can minimize these harmful responses. Thus, early treatment is important in reducing zoster-related pain and preventing complications (16,17). Antiviral drugs can effectively decrease the incidence of new lesion formation as well as accelerate healing and improve pain management (9). In addition, they can decrease the duration of viral shedding and may reduce neuronal damage (18). Their use is related to the reduced incidence, severity, and duration of zoster-related pain. If the virus is detected in the peripheral tissues, systemic administration of the antiviral may be more effective than epidural administration. Nevertheless, in varicella zoster infection, the virus spreads from the dorsal root ganglion or cervical sensory ganglion to the peripheral nerves and skin. Therefore, we hypothesized that epidural injection of acyclovir could effectively inhibit the regeneration of the herpes zoster virus and control the symptoms. Epidural administration of acyclovir may also effectively reduce the risk of complications within the spinal ganglia. However, further investigations regarding these hypotheses are necessary.
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Radioimmunoassay was conducted in patients with herpes zoster. In this study, the IV dose of acyclovir in rats was chosen based on the adult dosage of acyclovir (10 mg/kg) for herpes zoster. We found no references for an epidural dose of acyclovir. Therefore, we used the equianalgesic ratio (1/10) of epidural morphine and IV morphine in humans.

In epidural drug administration, intrathecal concentrations are related to injection methods (one-off vs. continuous), the dose of administration, lipophilicity, distribution into fatty tissue, and absorption into systemic circulation (24-27). Diffusion through the multiple meningeal barriers between the epidural and intrathecal space is the main process controlling drug elimination, and the most important factor for drug transportation from the epidural to intrathecal space (25,27,28). Lipophilic drugs remain longer in the epidural space, with negligible access to the intrathecal space (27,29,30). They have rapid vascular uptake in the epidural space (27). However, the hydrophilic character of morphine is consistent with its slow clearance in the intrathecal space (26). The main excretory pathway in the intrathecal space is absorption through blood vessels, and hydrophilic drugs have slow vascular uptake (26,27,31). Therefore, hydrophilicity increases drug potency and bioavailability in the intrathecal space (28,32). In this study, the high concentration of acyclovir in the epidural space is consistent with its slow clearance in the intrathecal space (26). The main excretory pathway in the intrathecal space is absorption through blood vessels, and hydrophilic drugs have slow vascular uptake (26,27,31). Therefore, hydrophilicity increases drug potency and bioavailability in the intrathecal space (28,32).

Side effects of acyclovir include dysarthria, involuntary movements, ataxia, disturbed consciousness, hyper-reflexia, and cerebral dysfunctions (confusion, hallucination, and lethargy) (19-21). The major route of elimination is through renal excretion. High concentrations of acyclovir in the serum can cause delirium and other reversible neurologic symptoms in patients with renal impairment or elderly patients (22). Low plasma concentrations of the drug can be correlated with low systemic toxicity, which is related to their maximal plasma levels. Therefore, administration of a decreased dose can reduce side effects. The results of this study show that epidural administration of a lower dose results in intrathecal levels similar to that achieved after IV administration. In a previous study using rats, there was no evidence of neurological (behavioral change or sensory-motor dysfunction) or histopathological abnormalities following the intrathecal administration of acyclovir (23). Administration of a lower dose of acyclovir into the epidural space can decrease the risks of side effects due to the relatively lower amount of acyclovir administered compared to that by the IV route. Compared to IV administration, epidural administration results in decreased serum levels of acyclovir in renally impaired or elderly patients, resulting in a safer treatment option for herpes zoster. Further studies regarding the safety of epidural administration must be conducted in patients with herpes zoster.

In this study, the IV dose of acyclovir in rats was chosen based on the adult dosage of acyclovir (10 mg/kg) for herpes zoster. We found no references for an epidural dose of acyclovir. Therefore, we used the equianalgesic ratio (1/10) of epidural morphine and IV morphine in humans.

In epidural drug administration, intrathecal concentrations are related to injection methods (one-off vs. continuous), the dose of administration, lipophilicity, distribution into fatty tissue, and absorption into systemic circulation (24-27). Diffusion through the multiple meningeal barriers between the epidural and intrathecal space is the main process controlling drug elimination, and the most important factor for drug transportation from the epidural to intrathecal space (25,27,28). Lipophilic drugs remain longer in the epidural space, with negligible access to the intrathecal space (27,29,30). They have rapid vascular uptake in the epidural space (27). However, the hydrophilic character of morphine is consistent with its slow clearance in the intrathecal space (26). The main excretory pathway in the intrathecal space is absorption through blood vessels, and hydrophilic drugs have slow vascular uptake (26,27,31). Therefore, hydrophilicity increases drug potency and bioavailability in the intrathecal space (28,32). In this study, the high concentration of acyclovir in the epidural space is consistent with its slow clearance in the intrathecal space (26). The main excretory pathway in the intrathecal space is absorption through blood vessels, and hydrophilic drugs have slow vascular uptake (26,27,31). Therefore, hydrophilicity increases drug potency and bioavailability in the intrathecal space (28,32).
acyclovir in the intrathecal space is consistent with its low molecular weight and hydrophilic character. The molecular weight of acyclovir is 225.21 g/mol, lower than morphine (285.34 g/mol). The low molecular weight of acyclovir allows it to easily diffuse through multiple meningeal barriers. Once in intrathecal space, the hydrophobic character of acyclovir allows it to be maintained at high concentrations. Therefore, epidural administration can be an effective option for acyclovir administration in the intrathecal space, spinal cord, and spinal nerve.

This study has some limitations. There were no references of equivalent dosages of acyclovir between IV and epidural administration. However, it is obvious in the present study that epidural administration of a low dose of acyclovir can more effectively increase its concentration in the intrathecal space than IV administration. In this study, we did not measure the pharmacokinetics of IV/epidural administration of acyclovir in rats. To our knowledge, there is no literature regarding epidural administration of acyclovir in animals or humans. Therefore, this is the first study about epidural administration of acyclovir. Further investigation of the pharmacokinetics is needed.

To administer acyclovir epidurally in humans, several investigations are necessary. First, the safety of acyclovir in the epidural space should be determined in humans. Second, the dose of administration in the epidural space needed for treatment should be investigated. Third, the effectiveness of epidural administration for treatment of herpes zoster should be studied. The present study simply shows the potential use of intrathecal administration of acyclovir in the treatment of herpes zoster.

**Conclusion**

Epidural administration of acyclovir at a lower dose than IV provides superior drug concentrations in the intrathecal space.
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