Low-back pain (LBP) is a frequent and disabling condition, with an estimated lifetime prevalence of 84%, and causes an enormous socioeconomic burden through direct and indirect costs (1). The etiology of LBP can be categorized into mechanical (80 – 90%), neurological (5 – 15%), specific spinal (1 – 2%), and non-spinal (1 – 3%) causes (1). Among mechanical causes, 30-35% can be attributed to specific causes.
like e.g. degenerative disc and joint disease, vertebral fracture, instability or congenital deformity. However, in the vast majority of cases (65 – 70%), no cause can be identified and these cases are classified as “unspecific LBP,” and usually attributed to muscle strain or ligamentous injury (1). Considering the heavy individual burden and cost to society, it would be desirable to unravel the possible additional causes of LBP.

One cause—although presumed rare—for LBP is neuropathy of the medial branch of the superior cluneal nerves (mSCN). The superior cluneal nerves (SCN) consist variably of the lateral rami of dorsal roots T11 to L4, with T12 and L1 contributing to the majority of cases (2). These nerves also supply the skin of the lower back, the lateral inguinal area, the upper buttock, and, sometimes, the proximal lateral thigh as well (2,3) (Fig. 1).

A probable idiopathic entrapment syndrome was postulated by Maigne et al (2) in 1989, who described the findings of 37 dissections, which showed the skin of the lower back to be innervated by the SCN in various combinations, with the most medial nerve invariably crossing the iliac crest within a rigid tunnel formed by the inferior edge of the thoracolumbar fascia and the superior margin of the iliac crest. In 2 cases, they found marked compression of the nerve within the orifice. They concluded that their findings would explain why lesions of the thoracolumbar joint can be felt as LBP and why compression at the level of the tunnel can produce pain radiating down the gluteal region and even to the upper thigh. Subsequent anatomical studies confirmed Maigne’s findings (3-5).

To date, the diagnosis of mSCN entrapment has been performed only clinically. Kuniya (6) suggested diagnostic criteria that included determination of the maximal point of tenderness at the height of the presumed osteofibrous tunnel and palpation of the tender point that reproduced the chief complaint of LBP and/or thigh symptoms. With regard to treatment, some reports exist in which single or repetitive injections of local anesthetics, in combination with corticosteroids, reportedly provided good results (7-9). In a prospective case series including 19 patients who underwent surgery for suspected mSCN entrapment, 13 had an excellent and 6 an unsatisfactory outcome (the latter group included 4 patients in whom no compression could be demonstrated during surgery) (10).

Iatrogenic lesioning of the SCN is a well-known problem in bone harvesting procedures at the posterior iliac crest (11,12), and cases of iatrogenic nerve injury due to intragluteal injection have been reported (13,14). Spontaneous traumatic injury of the mSCN has, to our knowledge, not been reported in the literature thus far; however, the possibility exists, as in other superficial nerves.

To date, the accuracy of the clinical diagnosis of mSCN entrapment is unknown. Applying diagnostic blockade by relying on anatomic landmarks could lead, instead, to unspecific analgesic effects rather than to selective blocking of the mSCN.

For both problems, direct imaging of the nerve could be helpful. One emerging and increasingly used modality is high-resolution ultrasound (HRUS), working with probes of at least 18 MHz, and enabling a spatial resolution between 250 and 500 µm. This allows the assessment of even small sensory nerves like the mSCN. As the mean diameter of the mSCN ranges from 2.1 to 0.8 mm (mean, 1.1 mm) (15), which is about the size we described for small nerves in a previous paper (16), we hypothesized that visualization of the mSCN and assessment along its course should be possible.

The aim of this study was to clarify whether visualization and assessment of the mSCN is possible with HRUS. Further, we screened for patients with LBP that was considered to be caused by mSCN neuropathy.
METHODS

The presented study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Medical University of Vienna (EC Nr. 1478/2014).

Ultrasound Technique

HRUS examinations were performed using a GE Logiq E9 ultrasound platform with high-frequency probes (GE ML 6-15-D, L 8-18i-D). An examiner experienced in peripheral nerve ultrasound for over 20 years (G.B.) carried out all the examinations. In all anatomical specimens and patients, the mSCN was assessed. Initial detection was performed at the point where the mSCN crosses over the posterior iliac crest. It was then followed cranially to its origin from the lower thoracic/upper lumbar roots. The vertebral level was identified by using the last rib as a reference point for T12. Ultrasound images obtained at the starting point and onwards cranially are shown in Fig. 2A-C.

Ultrasound in Anatomical Specimens

After receiving randomly selected anatomic specimens in the legal custody of the Department of Systematic Anatomy, Medical University of Vienna, HRUS was performed as described above. After presumed visualization of the mSCN, a small amount of blue dye (0.1 mL) was injected adjacent to the nerve under HRUS-guidance, just before it crosses the iliac crest, as this point would be proximal to the presumed site of entrapment in idiopathic cases. Anatomical dissection was performed to confirm the location of the dye injection.

Ultrasound in Patients

Patient charts between January 1, 2012, and December 1, 2014, were screened for referrals because of LBP of unknown origin, radiating to the upper part of the buttck. HRUS was performed according to the method described above. To confirm the origin of pain within the SCN territory, an HRUS-guided fine-needle selective block with 1 mL of lidocaine 2% was performed (transverse view, free-hand and in-plane technique). The block was rated successful if it led to hypesthesia within the presumed territory of the mSCN, as assessed by sensitivity to light touch.

RESULTS

Ultrasound in Anatomical Specimens

The mSCN was identified correctly in 12 of 14 sites in anatomical specimens. An example of a finding is depicted in Fig. 3. The mSCN usually appeared as a hyperechoic structure that had no fascicular pattern.

As a standardized procedure for identification, we recommend starting in the transverse view above the gluteus maximus muscle, and then moving the probe proximally, until the insertion of the gluteus medius muscle and the posterior edge of the iliac crest appeared—which was the point around which most medial branch would cross (Fig. 1). In addition to pure identification, it seems important to report about the cranial course of this nerve, which was quite characteristic to us. After entering the erector spinae, the nerve showed a long wavy shape, which we presumed to be necessary because of the range of motion of the spine (Fig. 2b). Within the course of the muscle, the nerve travelled in a fascial-type layer.

Ultrasound in Patients

A total of 9 patients were identified with mSCN syndrome. Details of patient characteristics are given in Table 1. Among these patients, 4 had suffered a local trauma in the upper lumbar region (Patients 1 and 4 – 6) and consecutively developed pain of unknown origin in the low back/upper buttock; however, in their understanding, this pain was far away from the initial trauma. Two patients (Patient 3 and Patient 8) experienced an idiopathic onset of pain that was initially diagnosed as sacroiliitis and was refractive to conservative treatment and sacroiliac injection and/or facet joint block of the lower back. One patient developed severe and medically refractory gluteal pain 12 months after a posterior lumbar interbody fusion (PLIF) surgery (Patient 9) and 2 patients underwent lumbar surgery that was directly followed by pain.

Ultrasound findings were unremarkable in all but one patient (Patient 9). No ultrasound-morphologic correlate, such as a distinct swelling of the mSCN in idiopathic cases or traumatic neuroma in those with local trauma, could be observed. Patient 9 showed massive swelling of the medial superior cluneal nerve (Fig. 4).

All patients received a diagnostic block of the mSCN at the height of the posterior iliac crest, at the point before the mSCN entered the thoracolumbar fascia (Fig. 5). Consequently, all experienced numbness within the presumed mSCN territory and blockade; thus, these procedures were rated as successful. Together with numbness, patients were pain-free for one to 2.5 hours, which confirmed the diagnosis of mSCN neuropathy.
Fig. 2. A. Ultrasound image obtained at described starting point at the level of the iliac crest, showing the mSCN (yellow arrow) crossing the posterior iliac crest (PIC) and continuing caudally on the gluteus medius muscle (GM). (Further abbreviation used: ES erector spinae). B. Probe positioning in longitudinal view and ultrasound image obtained from following the mSCN (yellow arrows) cranially, showing its wavy shape within the erector spinae (ES). (Further abbreviation used: PIC posterior inferior crest)C. Probe positioning in cross-sectional view and ultrasound image obtained of mSCN (yellow arrow) in its fascial plane within the ES.
This study confirms that the mSCN can be visualized and assessed with HRUS, although HRUS can be difficult or even impossible in obese patients or patients with extensive muscular atrophy, as the nerve cannot then be distinguished from the hyperechoic surrounding.

We believe that the most targeted method is to start with sagittal probe placement above the medial aspect of the insertion point of the gluteus maximus muscle, then move proximally to the insertion of the gluteus medius muscle where the mSCN is expected to cross over the iliac crest nearby. From there on, cranial assessment is possible.

After localization, diagnostic evaluation was considered the next step. However, except in one case, we did not encounter distinct swelling of the nerve on the symptomatic side compared to the asymptomatic—which would surely be the most definitive sign that the nerve was affected, and is routinely used for entrapment syndromes and traumatic neuromas, primarily in

Table 1. Clinical characteristics in 9 patients with neuropathy of the superior cluneal nerve(s).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Affected side</th>
<th>Clinical history</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Fracture of L1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Fusion surgery lumbar region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Idiopathic onset of lower lumbar and upper buttock pain, initial diagnosis of sacroilitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Was hit by a puck at height of L1 playing ice hockey. After some days he developed pain far away from the initial point of trauma – in the upper buttock, radiating down to the trochanteric area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Slipped and fell on a stone in the iliosacral area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Suffered a hematoma with accompanying severe pain in the upper buttock area after falling down stairs. After surgical removal of the hematoma, pain continued.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Repeated surgery of the lumbar region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Suffered from chronic lumbar pain, diagnosed as sacroileitis or facette syndrome. Resistant to conservative therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Underwent lumbar fusion surgery and developed intractable pain in upper buttock area one year afterwards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
larger nerves. Thus, as far as we can tell, this does not seem mandatory for these small nerves, at least with currently commercially available ultrasound probes.

In any case, diagnostic blockade is necessary to confirm the origin of pain within the territory of the mSCN, which was true for all the patients in our cohort. Within our case series, we had only 3 patients with an idiopathic onset of pain that would correspond to the syndrome described as classical entrapment syndrome.

The other patients assessed were all referred for ultrasound assessment because of a presumed musculoskeletal origin of pain. Clinical assessment before ultrasound examination, however, led to the suspicion that the mSCN might be involved and indicated the need for further evaluation after excluding other causes.

Therefore, we think that 1) SCN neuropathy should be considered in patients with surgery or trauma in the upper lumbar region who develop pain in the lower back, or buttock, far away from the initial site of trauma; and 2) future systematic studies should be performed in patients with non-specific low-back pain or buttock pain, to evaluate probable SCN entrapment.

References