Persistent anterior knee pain, especially after surgery, can be very frustrating for the patient and the clinician. Injury to the infrapatellar branch of the saphenous nerve (IPS) is not uncommon after knee surgeries and trauma, yet the diagnosis and treatment of IPS neuralgia is not usually taught in pain training programs. In this case report, we describe the anatomy of the saphenous nerve and specifically the infrapatellar saphenous nerve branch; we also discuss the types of surgical trauma, the clinical presentation, the diagnostic modalities, the diagnostic injection technique, and the treatment options. As early as 1945, surgeons were cautioned regarding the potential surgical trauma to the IPS. Although many authors dismissed the nerve damage as unavoidable, the IPS is now recognized as a potential cause of persistent anterior and anteriomedial knee pain. Even more concerning, damage to peripheral nerves such as the IPS has been identified as the cause and potential perpetuating factor for conditions such as complex regional pain syndromes (CRPS). Because the clinical presentation may be vague, it has often been misdiagnosed and underdiagnosed. There is a documented vasomotor instability, but, unfortunately, sympathetic blocks will not address the underlying pathology, and therefore patients often will not respond to this modality, although the correct diagnosis can lead to rapid and gratifying resolution of the pathology. An entity unknown to the clinician is never diagnosed, and so it is important to familiarize pain physicians with IPS neuropathy so that they may be able to offer assistance when this painful condition arises.
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Case Presentation

RB is a 79-year-old man who had persistent knee pain 6 months after TKR. He reported generalized knee pain (medial > lateral) at rest and with movement. Despite aggressive physical therapy, he was unable to flex his knee more than 45 degrees, requiring the use of a wheelchair. On examination, he had diffuse tenderness, allodynia, warmth, swelling, and erythema over the knee. Laboratory studies, including CBC, CRP, and ESR, were normal. He had been diagnosed with complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS Type 1) but had failed a series of lumbar sympathetic blocks at another facility. He was noted to be exquisitely tender to palpation over the medial tibial plateau, consistent with injury of the IPS. An injection of the IPS using 1.5 mL of 0.5% bupivicaine with 40 mg methylprednisolone on the initial visit gave rapid and near-complete pain relief, with resolution of the allodynia, erythema, and swelling. He was able to walk without difficulty within a few minutes. When the pain returned several days later, he underwent cryoneuroablation of the IPS. He made subsequent excellent progress with physical therapy, with sustained good pain relief at his one-year follow-up.

Saphenous Nerve Anatomy

The saphenous nerve, composed of fibers from the L3 and L4 nerve roots, branches from the femoral nerve a few centimeters below the inguinal ligament, then descends through the antero-medial thigh with the femoral artery and vein to the adductor (Hunter’s) canal. The femoral vessels travel posteriorly toward the popliteal fossa, while the saphenous nerve and descending genicular branch of the femoral artery penetrate the vastoadductor membrane about 10 cm above the medial femoral epicondyle (Fig. 1). At this point, the saphenous nerve is vulnerable to entrapment, resulting in localized tenderness as well as pain in the medial knee radiating to the foot (28,32) (Fig. 2). Depending on their clinical interests, various authors have undertaken cadaver studies and classified the course of the saphenous nerve and its branches in several ways, generally emphasizing its relationship to more constant bony, muscular, and ligamentous structures.

Distal to the vastoadductor membrane, the anatomic variability of the saphenous nerve, and particularly its infrapatellar branch (labeled the infragenicular branch by some) (12) increases. Arthornthurasook was concerned about IPS injury during open knee surgery, so he studied the relationship of the IPS to the sartorius muscle (1). He found that in most (62%) cadaver limbs,
the IPS becomes superficial at the posterior border of
the sartorius and crosses the surface of that muscle to
the front of the knee. In 22% of his specimens, the
nerve penetrated the muscle, in 14% it ran parallel and
posterior to the muscle, and in 3% it emerged from the
anterior edge of the sartorius. Only half of the knees
were identical on both sides.

Mochida and Kikuchi (19) examined IPS anatomy
with the perspective of an arthroscopist, focusing on
the point at which the IPS crosses the proximal edge of
the tibia. They found 2 predominant patterns in the re-
lationship between the patellar ligament and the tibial
plateau. More commonly (68%), the IPS crosses the joint
line medial to the medial margin of the patellar liga-
ment; in 32% the nerve crosses the patellar ligament
proximal to the joint line. Based on his measurement of
the distance between the IPS and the medial margin of
the patella, they identified a “safe area” for blind punc-
ture to allow passage of an arthroscope. Their findings
were soon confirmed by Ebraheim and Mekhail (33). In
addition, both Mochida and Kikuchi and Tifford et al
(34) observed substantial changes in the position of the
IPS depending upon the position of the knee joint.

Kartus et al (7) had a particular interest in bone-
patellar tendon-bone (BPTB) graft harvest. In a cadaver
study, he and his colleagues described the substantial
variation in the position of the IPS with respect to
the apex of the patella and the tibial tuberosity (35).
They also demonstrated differences in the number of
branches of the IPS that pass through this region, with 2
branches being the most common (62%).

Horner and Dellon (2), orthopedic and plastic sur-
geons with an interest in peripheral nerve surgery, docu-
mented the location of the division of the IPS branch(es)
from the main saphenous nerve trunk (18% proximal
thigh, 59% mid-thigh, 24% distal thigh), as well as their
relationship to the sartorius muscle and to branches
from the femoral and obturator nerves. In most people,
2 or more branches of the saphenous nerve leave the
adductor canal proximal to the joint line, the most ante-
rior of which crosses the knee as the IPS to innervate
the skin below the patella and the anterior inferior knee
capsule. The most posterior branch continues distally as
the saphenous (sartorial) nerve (Fig.3).

Arthornthurasook and Gaew-Im (35) followed up
the study of the IPS with a detailed examination of the
terminal (sartorial) branch of the saphenous nerve. Pag-
nani and colleagues (36) also focused on this anatomy
because of their interest in semitendinosus and gracilis
tendon autograft harvest. Both groups found that the
sartorial nerve reliably crosses the gracilis tendon at the posteromedial joint line, then becomes more superficial between that tendon and the sartorius muscle (Fig. 4). From there, it continues subcutaneously to supply sensation to the medial leg and foot (35,37,38). As it becomes more superficial, the terminal branch of the saphenous nerve is at risk for injury during hamstring tendon graft harvest and other procedures on the postero-medial knee (20,36,38-41).

These and other authors also emphasize the importance of the medial femoral cutaneous nerve (also called the medial cutaneous nerve of the thigh) (42), a terminal cutaneous branch of the femoral nerve which usually travels superficial to the sartorius muscle and

Fig. 4. The saphenous nerve at the knee (dissection courtesy of Bodies: The Exhibition, with permission).
crosses the knee at the level of the patella to innervate its overlying skin (2). The medial retinacular nerve, the terminal branch of the nerve to the vastus medialis, provides additional innervation to the medial knee; it usually travels in the substance of the muscle, and enters the joint capsule to innervate medial articular structures (2), sending a branch to the medial patella as well (43).

In short, the saphenous nerve and all its major branches are at risk of injury when a surgical procedure or injury involves the medial aspect of the knee. Its high degree of anatomic variability makes the IPS particularly vulnerable.

**Surgical Considerations**

In their 1945 review “Surgical Approaches to the Knee Joint,” Abbott and Carpenter clearly warned of the importance of identification and protection of cutaneous nerves, including the IPS (14). Twenty years later, Huckell (44) provided evidence that numbness and tenderness of the anterior knee were still common after arthrotomy, a fact which “points to the need for care in preserving [the IPS]”. This advice was variably followed (19), with some authors considering its destruction inevitable and largely inconsequential (15,17,45). As additional studies and reports identified damage to the IPS as a complication of knee surgery (16), a variety of strategies, such as transillumination (21,22,46) ultrasonography (47), blunt dissection (40), avoidance of tourniquet use (48), graft harvest with the knee in 90° flexion (34), or identification of safe areas with cadaver studies (19,33) have been developed to try to avoid its injury. As we have seen, the course of the IPS is quite variable and injuries will occur despite optimum technique.

The incidence of IPS injury varies with the type of knee surgery, the incision used, and the outcome measure employed. (Table 1).

Clearly, there are many potential causes of pain associated with each kind of knee procedure, so a multidisciplinary team of clinicians must undertake the evaluation of a postoperative patient with anterior knee pain. Involvement of pain management physicians should occur early in the process so as to minimize the duration and extent of disability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Surgical Procedure</th>
<th>Incision(s)</th>
<th>Outcome Measure</th>
<th>Time Postop</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ganzoni</td>
<td>1978</td>
<td>Medial arthroscopy</td>
<td>Medial parapatellar, nerve disregarded (ND) v protected (NP)</td>
<td>Sensory disturbance diagram</td>
<td>2m - 6y</td>
<td>ND: 100% overall (17/17); with 53% (9/17) “notably disturbed”, especially with kneeling. NP: 53% (9/17) partial or complete sensory loss</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>1979</td>
<td>Medial arthroscopy</td>
<td>Medial parapatellar, nerve routinely sectioned</td>
<td>Pt questionnaire</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>89% overall (67/75); with 63% (47/75) reporting nonincapacitating irritation, especially kneeling; and 6.7% (5/75) unable to kneel</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huckell</td>
<td>1965</td>
<td>Open meniscectomy</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>Pt questionnaire and exam</td>
<td>11-21y</td>
<td>40% (28/70) some kind of neurological abnormality; 31% (22/70) difficulty kneeling</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jameson</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Arthroscopic ACL: H</td>
<td>Horizontal parapatellar</td>
<td>Pt questionnaire</td>
<td>≥ 13m</td>
<td>IPS: 5% (4/87) SS: 17% (15/87) altered sensation; patients with IPS injury had more activity restriction than SS injury</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson, RJ</td>
<td>1974</td>
<td>Open medial meniscectomy</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>Pt questionnaire and exam</td>
<td>5-37y</td>
<td>24% (18/76) altered sensation, no problem; 21% (16/76) irritation when kneeling; 9% (7/76) unable to kneel</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IPS, Infrapatellar branch saphenous nerve; SS, Sartorial branch saphenous nerve; ACL, anterior cruciate ligament repair; H, hamstring autograft; BTB, bone-patellar tendon bone autograft; TKA, total knee arthroplasty
The pattern of pain does not usually help the clinician, since the pain is usually not well localized. The patient describes generalized spontaneous anterior knee pain, perhaps more medially, possibly referred to the medial calf. They may walk “stiff legged” to avoid flexion of the knee. The joint may be red, hot, and swollen, as though infected, with alldynia and pain on movement. Laboratory measurement of inflammatory markers, however, will usually be normal. Especially postoperatively, patients may present with sharp burning pain, hyperesthesia, and/or alldynia that may mimic complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS). There

### Table 1 (cont.) Incidence of IPS Injury

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Surgical Procedure</th>
<th>Incision(s)</th>
<th>Outcome Measure</th>
<th>Time Postop</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Done</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Johnson, DF</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>TKA</td>
<td>Medial parapatellar</td>
<td>Outline dermal hypoesthesia</td>
<td>3m-2y</td>
<td>100% (35/35) Size not presence waned over time. No patient reported it to be a significant problem</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leliveld</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Tibial nailing</td>
<td>Vertical</td>
<td>Light touch and pain examination</td>
<td>84 ± 37m</td>
<td>60% (43/72) sensory deficit. 61% (44/72) pain when kneeling. 38% (27/72) chronic anterior knee pain, of which 78% also had IPS sensory deficit.</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liden</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Arthroscopic ACL: H v. BTB</td>
<td>H: 30mm oblique BTB: 25 mm (2) vertical</td>
<td>Touch and pain examination</td>
<td>7y</td>
<td>BTB 35%(12/34) H 18%(7/37);Knee walking difficult/impossible (n.s.)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mistry</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>TKA</td>
<td>Light touch and pain examination</td>
<td>6-20m</td>
<td>100% (20/20) some sensory deficit at 1y; 70% by 18m. 10% reported change in sensation significant to dissatisfaction</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mochida</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>Arthroscopy</td>
<td>8 mm (2) in “safe area”</td>
<td>Light touch and pain examination</td>
<td>12-68m</td>
<td>55% overall (47/86) ≥ 1 year post op; 92% Hypoesthesia; recognized by 43%, affected ADL (kneeling), in 1.6% (1/60)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mochizuki</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Arthroscopic ACL: H</td>
<td>Vertical</td>
<td>Light touch examination</td>
<td>≥ 3 yr</td>
<td>H: 15% (17/114) V: 40% (46/116) had sensory change P &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papastergiou</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Arthroscopic ACL: H</td>
<td>Vertical v. horizontal for graft harvest</td>
<td>Touch examination</td>
<td>1-12 m</td>
<td>H: 15% (17/114) V: 40% (46/116) had sensory change P &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portland</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Arthroscopic ACL: BTB</td>
<td>Vertical v. horizontal for graft harvest</td>
<td>Sensory change pt. questionnaire</td>
<td>≥ 3 yr</td>
<td>H: 43% (18/42) paresthesia V: 59% (20/34) paresthesia at anterolateral knee, taken as evidence of IPS damage</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanders</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Arthroscopic ACL: H</td>
<td>Mini-incisions</td>
<td>Sensory change pt. questionnaire</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>74% (46/62) sensory change in IPS and/or SS. 6.5% (3/62) affected ADL; none of these had isolated SS damage</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sgaglione</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>Open ACL: H</td>
<td>Medial parapatellar</td>
<td>Personal interview</td>
<td>24-81m</td>
<td>38% (27/72) IPS numbness; 1.4% (1/72) “significantly bothersome”</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IPS, Infrapatellar branch saphenous nerve; SS, Sartorial branch saphenous nerve; ACL, anterior cruciate ligament repair; H, hamstring autograft; BTB, bone-patellar tendon bone autograft; TKA, total knee arthroplasty

**Assessment and Diagnosis of IPS Neuropathy**

The pattern of pain does not usually help the clinician, since the pain is usually not well localized. The patient describes generalized spontaneous anterior knee pain, perhaps more medially, possibly referred to the medial calf. They may walk “stiff legged” to avoid flexion of the knee. The joint may be red, hot, and swollen, as though infected, with alldynia and pain on movement. Laboratory measurement of inflammatory markers, however, will usually be normal. Especially postoperatively, patients may present with sharp burning pain, hyperesthesia, and/or alldynia that may mimic complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS). There
may be visible swelling in the medial tibial fossa, and palpation of this area usually replicates the pain.

Dellon et al (49) concluded that most patients referred with a diagnosis of CRPS I [no specific nerve injury] actually have continuing pain input from injured joints or chronic cutaneous nerve compression, which is indistinguishable from CRPS II [identifiable single nerve injury], and amenable to successful treatment by means of an appropriate peripheral nerve therapy. Therefore, IPS lesions may be the nidus of a nociceptive barrage that stimulates the neuropathic pain and the subsequent central sensitization, and therefore early diagnosis and specific treatment is key to a positive outcome.

Identification Techniques

Palpation
With the patient seated and the knee flexed, palpation is done with the thumb of the examining hand, placed first on the patellar tuberosity and then moved medially and slightly inferiorly into the medial tibial fossa in an attempt to replicate the pain (Fig. 5). Perception over the nerve may elicit a paresthesia (Tinel’s sign), an additional diagnostic finding.

Von Frey filament Testing
Von Frey filaments can be used to quantify mechanical allodynia in the cutaneous distribution of the IPS, comparing sensation thresholds of the patient’s involved side to their normal side (50). Pressure applied by the filament should be just sufficient to bend the filament on the surface of the skin (Fig. 6).

Nerve Conduction Studies
Sensory nerve conduction studies of the IPS have been described (51), although we have not found this test to be any more useful than a diagnostic IPS injection. Stimulation is performed 2 cm below the patella. The response is recorded with a needle electrode located close to the femoral nerve 2 cm lateral to the femoral artery in the inguinal region. When the IPS was blocked with local anesthetic, the sensory nerve action potential (SNAP) of the IPS but not the main saphenous nerve disappeared, confirming the specificity of the testing site.

Differential Diagnosis
Pathology involving a variety of structures of the medial knee can present with similar signs and symptoms; this includes degenerative X-ray changes, which do not reflect the degree of pain perceived by patients. Distal sartorious tendonitis, pes anserinus bursitis, medial collateral ligament pathology, and medial joint line pathology will all cause medial knee pain. However, patients with IPS neuralgia often are unable to localize pain specifically to the medial knee, the pain is characteristically neuropathic (burning, tingling, allodynia), and the patients are exquisitely tender over the medial tibial fossa.

Diagnostic Injection
With the knee flexed, the non-injecting hand is used to identify and isolate the point of maximum tenderness, with the index and middle fingers straddling the nerve from below (Fig. 7). The needle enters the skin from below, directed toward the tibial tubercle and advanced to bone. Fluoroscopy is not helpful in identifying the nerve, but a peripheral nerve stimulator and/or ultrasound may be useful (47,52). One to 1.5mL of local anesthetic (usually 0.5% bupivacaine) mixed
with a deposteroid (usually methylprednisolone 20 - 40mg) is then injected slowly.

The diagnostic injection technique used to confirm the diagnosis of IPS neuropathy/neuralgia requires that a low volume of injectate be used. Infiltrating large volumes of local anesthetic that spread throughout the anterior proximal tibial tissues will confound the diagnosis. Careful post injection assessment of the degree of symptomatic relief should demonstrate significant improvement in order to confirm the diagnosis.

**Subsequent Treatment**

The diagnostic injections described above may be therapeutic as well. However, if the injection gives only temporary comfort, there are several options available for longer-term relief. Surgical excision for IPS lesions has been previously described with good success (4,26,53,54). Pulsed radiofrequency has also been described (55). However, we propose that freezing the nerve via cryoneuroablation may be a better option for these patients, because of its simplicity and the lack of subsequent neuroma formation (56). The probe is placed percutaneously, and directed to the nerve using the built-in nerve stimulator. The cryoneuroablation...
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lesion does not destroy the myelin sheath of a nerve, thereby providing a channel for nerve regeneration and recovery. The prolonged relief from one or 2 cryoneuroablation procedures often halts the nociceptive stimulus long enough for the patient to recover from the accompanying central sensitization (57).

Potential Complications

The most likely complication of the diagnostic/therapeutic injection is skin atrophy due to the steroid injected. This is avoided by depositing the injectate as deep in the tissues as possible.

Conclusion

Despite advances in orthopedic surgical technique, injury to the IPS continues to be a cause of post-operative anterior knee pain, but may occur after any anterior knee trauma or even spontaneously. Pain physicians should familiarize themselves with the pathophysiology, anatomy, diagnosis, and treatment of IPS pain syndromes. By doing so, they can save patients from unnecessary pain and suffering and provide a valuable service to an orthopedic colleague who has had a patient with this unfortunate outcome. Early recognition and aggressive treatment should greatly decrease the suffering and disability that occurs when the proper diagnosis has not been made. The diagnostic injections are often therapeutic, providing long-term relief. Cryoneuroablation may be the most desirable treatment for persistent pain; the results can be quite gratifying and repeated treatment is usually not required.
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