Pancreatic cancer is an aggressive disease with high mortality that causes severe abdominal and/or back pain. The disease is often resistant to analgesics, opioids, and adjuvant medications (1-3). The quality of life is seriously affected in these patients, and pain management in the context of palliative care should be an early part of the overall therapeutic plan (4-6).

The blockade or ablation of thoracic splanchnic nerves and celiac ganglia plays a major role in the
pain management of most upper abdominal disorders, particularly chronic pancreatitis and pancreatic malignancies (4,7-13). Thoracic splanchnic nerves carry the majority of the nociceptive stimuli from the upper abdominal viscera, and they are composed of preganglionic fibers, arising from the anterolateral horn of the spinal cord bilaterally, together with the T5-T12 ventral spinal roots (9-13).

Radiofrequency thermocoagulation is a minimally invasive technique that is performed under local anesthesia and fluoroscopic guidance. It is a target-selective technique, mostly indicated for the management of nociceptive chronic pain that is resistant to conservative therapy (14,15), but it can also be used for the management of certain neuropathic pain conditions characterized by limited distribution (15). However, it is not indicated in centralized pain conditions in cases of co-existing diseases, including severe psychopathology (14-16). Radiofrequency thermocoagulation is reported to be a more predictable and safe technique compared to chemical neurolysis of splanchnic nerves or celiac plexus blockade for the management of abdominal pain (17-22), but the evidence is still limited and more studies are needed to prove its efficacy in treating various pain states. In particular, only a few studies examined the actual efficacy of radiofrequency thermocoagulation alone or against other methods of interventional pain management in pancreatic cancer cases.

Objectives

The aim of this study was to assess the efficacy of bilateral thoracic splanchnic nerve radiofrequency thermocoagulation in pain management and its impact on the quality of life of patients with intractable abdominal pain due to end-stage pancreatic cancer.

Methods

This observational retrospective study includes patients who were evaluated in a private pain clinic from 2009 to 2012. The institutional review board approved the retrospective publication of these data. The patients enrolled in the study suffered from intractable abdominal pain due to end-stage pancreatic cancer and had received systemic therapy with opioids (transdermal, nasal, or sublingual fentanyl) in addition to adjuvant drugs for at least one month until they were referred for interventional pain management. The patients were evaluated extensively prior to the intervention to determine their complete medical history, in addition to their medications received and previously received pain therapies. All patients treated had no contraindications for regional blockade (coagulation disorders, local infection at the puncture site, sepsis, or severe displacement of intra-abdominal structures).

Pain assessment was performed using the Numeric Rating Scale (NRS, 0 – 10), in addition to the self-reported quality of life (rated via a 0 – 10 visual analogue scale, where 0 represented the worst quality and 10 the best quality of life) according to Hauser’s and Walsh’s (2008) review on quality of life assessment in patients with cancer (23). The pain scores were recorded before (initial assessment visit) and one hour after the intervention, as well as after one week, 2 weeks, and then every month. Quality of life scores, in addition to consumption of opioids (calculated as the mean 24-hour quantity of fentanyl received by the patient during a 3-day period), was assessed preoperatively and then every month postoperatively until the end of patients’ lives.

The procedure was performed in all patients after obtaining written informed consent. The technique was undertaken using fluoroscopic guidance and under local anesthesia. The patients were placed in the prone position, with a pillow placed under the abdomen. The C-arm was positioned on the side of the patient, perpendicular to the trunk, ipsilateral to the puncture site, and then it was moved to the opposite side in exactly the same way. All patients had an intravenous line in place, and monitoring consisted of blood pressure measurement, SpO2, and continuous ECG.

A strictly aseptic technique was used, with sterile preparation and drape of the patient's back. Beginning with the T11 vertebra, the inferior end plates were visualized as a single line in the posterior-anterior view, and then the right costovertebral angle was recognized around the middle of the body of the vertebra, leaving enough space below. If not, then the C-arm image intensifier was moved slightly caudal, until the costovertebral angle was projected more cephalad, near the middle height of the vertebra’s body. Then, the C-arm was rotated 15° obliquely to the right. A metal forceps guide was placed on the patient's body in order for its tip to project right on the lateral edge of the vertebral body, just below the costovertebral angle (Fig. 1). This was the skin entry point, which was not more than 4 cm from the spinous processes, to reduce the risk of pneumothorax.
After local anesthesia with 3 mL of 1% lidocaine into the superficial tissues at the puncture site to a depth of 3 - 4 cm, the introducer angiocath needle (16 G) was inserted superficially and advanced under tunnel view fluoroscopic guidance, aiming to reach the lateral border of the vertebra. The introducer was then projected using the oblique view (Fig. 2). After stylet removal, the radiofrequency blunt curve needle (OWL-sterile single use cannula, 20 G, 150 mm, 15 mm active tip; Diros, Canada) was introduced, with the needle tip facing the body of the vertebra. The needle was advanced slowly and carefully for another 1 – 2 cm, staying approximately in contact with the vertebral bone. The depth of the needle was checked using a true lateral view and advanced further, still or approximately in contact with the periosteum, until the active tip reached the area at the border between the anterior and middle third of the vertebral body (Fig. 3). The correct final needle placement was confirmed by viewing a posterior-anterior image, where the needle tip was in touch with the lateral border of the vertebra, below the costovertebral angle (Fig. 4). The same procedure was repeated at the level of T12 vertebra. The stylets of the radiofrequency needles were removed, and the electrodes (TCH-15S, 20 G reusable probe; Diros, Canada) were introduced in the needles and connected to the radiofrequency generator (OWL-URF-3AP; Diros, Canada) (Figs. 5 and 6).
To identify the nerves, a sensory and motor test followed. The parameters for sensory stimulation were as follows: frequency 50 Hz, pulse width one ms, and voltage up to 1.0 V. The patient was expected to report pain, pressure, or general discomfort at the abdominal area, or sometimes at the lumbar region. If this did not occur, the needle was advanced a few millimeters further to the front, or withdrawn a few millimeters back, until proper sensory response was obtained. Motor stimulation settings were as follows: frequency 2 Hz, pulse width 1 ms, and voltage up to 2.5 V. No contractions of the intercostal muscles should occur during the test, and if this happened (meaning that the active tip of the electrode was too close to the intercostal nerve), the electrode was advanced a few millimeters anteriorly. The lesion type included 2 monopolar radiofrequency lesions simultaneously at 85°C, with a ramp time to a maximum temperature of 15 sec and the total duration of the lesion, including ramp time, of 90 sec. Immediately after the generation of every lesion, 2.5 mL of a 10 mL solution, containing 2 mL of dexamethasone (4 mg/mL) and 8 mL of ropivacaine (7.5 mg/mL), were injected to reduce the postoperative tissue edema and discomfort.

All patients were closely observed postoperatively for pain, sensory and motor deficits, as well as for vital signs. The patients were discharged from the clinic after obtaining a normal chest radiograph, normal vital signs assessed by medical personnel, and after a total period of 6 hours. All patients were advised to stay overnight close to a medical facility and not to travel by air during the next few days if not examined by their doctor, to minimize the risk of pneumothorax being misdiagnosed.

All patient data regarding pain, quality of life score, and opioids consumption were analyzed with the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS 13.0 for Windows), using non-parametric Wilcoxon matched pair analysis and with a statistical significance set as $P < 0.05$. 
Results

Thirty-five patients were studied, all suffering from pancreatic cancer pain, with a mean age of 67.8 years and a mean duration of pain of 3 months. Demographic characteristics of patients are presented in Table 1. No complications occurred intraoperatively, and all patients tolerated the procedure well, without experiencing any serious pain or discomfort that would require modification of the analgesic plan. The postoperative period was also uneventful. No significant side effects occurred that could be attributed to the interventional technique.

The follow-up period was up to 6 months postoperatively, as most patients died within 4 months postintervention (only 9 patients were alive at 4 months, 4 at 5 months, and none at 6 months). The mean NRS score (0 – 10) prior to therapy was 8.9 (range 7 – 10). Pain was significantly reduced compared to baseline values in all patients immediately postoperatively, as well as throughout the entire follow-up period (Fig. 7) ($P < 0.05$), except from 5 months, at which point the reduction in pain scores was not statistically significant. The overall quality of life during the last months of life was significantly improved by the procedure (Fig. 8). The baseline quality of life score (0 - 10) was as low as 1.05 (range 0 – 3) but increased significantly ($P < 0.05$) for the next 4 months after therapy. However, during the last month of life, the quality of life was reported to be slightly worse than in previous months. Although the difference from baseline preoperative values was no longer statistically significant, the quality of life was still improved (Fig. 8).

Regarding opioids consumption, baseline daily consumption of fentanyl was 1611.43 (range 600 – 3000) mcg/24 hours and was significantly reduced during the first 4 postoperative months (Fig. 9) ($P < 0.05$). At 5 months postoperation, a slight increase in opioid consumption was noted, but there was no statistical significance compared with baseline values. The adjuvant analgesics administered included corticosteroids, pregabalin, and amitriptyline in individualized doses.

The complications included temporary diarrhea in 11 patients and temporary lower abdominal pain due to intestinal colic in 5 patients. Both incidents lasted for < 7 days postoperatively. No other complications that could be attributed to the technique were observed.

| Table 1. Demographic characteristics, duration of procedure, overnight stay, and complications rate in patients treated with percutaneous radiofrequency thermocoagulation of splanchnic nerves. |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| Age (years)     | 67.8 (range 56–82) |
| Gender (male/female) | 19/16            |
| Duration of procedure (min) | 65 (range 60–90) |
| Overnight stay (n) | 0                |
| Complications (n) |                  |
| Temporary diarrhea | 11               |
| Temporary lower abdominal pain due to intestinal colic | 5 |

Fig. 7. Mean preoperative and postoperative values of Numeric Rating Scale (NRS 0–10) during the whole follow-up period.
Pancreatic cancer pain has a poor prognosis, regardless of advances in surgery, radiation, and chemotherapy. Therefore, palliative care and especially pain management remains an important issue for these patients (5,24). Thoracic splanchnic nerves carry the majority of the nociceptive stimuli from the upper abdominal viscera. Thus, they are a perfect target for interrupting these pain signals because they are contained in a narrow compartment, which is defined medially by the vertebral bodies, laterally by the pleura, ventrally by the posterior mediastinum, and dorsally by the pleura attachment to the vertebra. This compartment is limited caudally by the crura of the diaphragm (4,7,12,13). The thoracic splanchnic nerves are composed of preganglionic fibers, together with T5-T12 ventral spinal roots, which synapse on their way paravertebrally with the white communicating rami, before reaching the sympathetic chain, and pass through the sympathetic.
ganglia to finally synapse with the celiac ganglia. The
great splanchnic nerve arises from the T5-T10 nerve
roots. The lesser splanchnic nerve arises from the T10-
T11 nerve roots, and the least splanchnic nerve arises
from the T11-T12 nerve roots bilaterally (9-13).

It has generally been reported that pain occurs in
about 80 – 85% of patients with non-operable pancre-
atic malignancies, and most of the time conventional
analgesics fail to offer sufficient pain relief (4,24). This
was the case for our patients, who suffered from refrac-
tory, abdominal pain (with initial NRS values as high as
8.9/10), but they succeeded in achieving a significant
reduction of pain scores immediately after bilateral
splanchnic nerve radiofrequency thermocoagulation,
as well as during the entire follow-up period. At the
2-month and 3-month follow-up visits, almost all pa-
tients had an NRS score < 3, which is considered an
excellent result. The slight increase in the NRS values
observed after 5 months was due to the progression of
the disease, but the pain scores were still < 4/10 and
lower than the preoperative values.

Quality of life was also significantly improved dur-
ing the follow-up period as reported by patients, and
the consumption of opioids was reduced, except for at
5 months (where only 4 patients were still alive). This
deterioration of quality of life during the last months of
life has also been described by other authors perform-
ing palliative procedures for pain management, such as
the study of Ozyalcin et al (25). The authors explained
this deterioration as a result of tumor progression and
paraneoplastic side effects.

Interventional pain management represents an at-
ttractive treatment option for patients with refractory
abdominal pain due to pancreatic cancer and includes
celiac plexus neurolysis (percutaneous, ultrasound-
guided, endoscopic neurolysis), splanchnic nerve neu-
rolysis, and splanchnic nerve radiofrequency thermo-
coagulation. Celiac plexus blockade is the most widely
studied interventional technique for abdominal pain
relief and has been evaluated in many studies (25-34).
Efficacy is reported to be high for short- and long-term
pain relief (25,32,33) using the percutaneous technique
but with some severe complications. However, chemical
neurolysis of the celiac plexus does not always lead to
adequate pain control, possibly due to degeneration and
fibrosis of nerves, ganglia, and nerve-adjacent tissues
from the injected chemical substances (32,34).
The main complications that accompany celiac plexus
blockade/neurolysis are reported to be paralysis, pare-
sis, paresthesia, pneumothorax, pleural empyema, diar-
rhea, hypotension, retroperitoneal fibrosis and abscess
formation, gastric perforation, aortic pseudoaneurysm
formation, and abdominal aortic dissection (32,33). In
a recent study by Yang et al (2012) (35), who performed
a consecutive neurolysis of celiac plexus for the man-
agement of refractory abdominal pain in 12 patients,
diarrhea and hypotension were reported quite often,
but pain relief was significant for up to 6 months after
the procedure. In contrast, Włochowska-Kozłowska et
al (2012) (32) used an endoscopic ultrasound-guided
 technique for celiac plexus blockade and succeeded
in pain relief in 69% of patients treated, but 31% of
the patients presented no improvement. The failure of
response, according to the authors, suggests that
perhaps the transmission of pain signals continues, as
destruction of nerve fibers is only partial, and, in addi-
tion, there is regeneration and fibrosis over time.

Ozyalcin et al (25) conducted a randomized con-
trolled trial testing the efficacy of celiac plexus versus
splanchnic nerves neurolysis in patients with pancreatic
cancer pain (19 and 20 patients in each group respec-
tively) and revealed that splanchnic nerve neurolysis
led to significantly better pain relief, quality of life, and
analgesic consumption until the end of the patients’
lives. The authors support the superiority of splanchnic
nerve blockade in pancreatic cancer pain, mostly due
to a superior analgesic effect, fewer complications (5
patients from the celiac group reported severe diarrhea
and 2 required inotropic support for 24 hours after
the intervention due to hemodynamic disturbances),
and reduced analgesic consumption until the end
study comparing the 2 neurolytic methods, concluded
that although they were both efficient in pain relief,
splanchnic nerve blockade was superior in most cases.
Similar findings regarding the efficacy of neurolysis of
splanchnic nerves were observed in a study compar-
ring chemical neurolysis with placebo in patients with
histologically proven non-operable pancreatic cancer
(35). Therefore, according to the current literature,
the neurolysis of the splanchnic nerves appears to have a
favorable profile in regards to pain relief and the com-
lications compared to celiac plexus blockade. However,
neurolysis itself is followed by serious side effects due
to the unpredictable spread of alcohol or phenol dur-
ing the procedure (19,31-33). This is the reason why it
was followed up with the development of newer, more
sophisticated interventional techniques, such as tho-
racoscopic splanchnicectomy (36) and fluoroscopically guided radiofrequency ablation, which is the technique used in our study (37-43).

Radiofrequency thermocoagulation of the splanchnic nerves has not been widely tested for treatment of pancreatic cancer pain. It was initially developed by Raj et al in 1999 (41) and is based on the anatomic location of thoracic splanchnic nerves, which makes them the perfect target for selective radiofrequency ablation. One of the earliest studies performed, by Raj et al (42) in 107 patients with abdominal pain of malignant and non-malignant origin, revealed good to excellent results in 55 – 70% of patients for pain scores, but no data were mentioned regarding quality of life. The results from another study by Garcea et al (43), who treated 10 patients with non-malignant chronic pancreatic pain with radiofrequency ablation of splanchnic nerves, revealed that the technique led to a decrease in pain scores, consumption of opioids, and acute admissions for pain, in addition to improvement of other parameters (such as mood, general perception of health, everyday activity levels, and long-term perception of debilitating chronic pain) for a median follow-up period of 24 months. These findings are in accordance with our results, with patient outcomes presenting significant improvement in terms of pain intensity and quality of life. The side effects of therapy, such as diarrhea and intestinal colic, did occur, but they were only temporary (lasting < 7 days) and did not affect the overall acceptance and efficacy of the technique. No cases of pneumothorax occurred in our patients, perhaps because the technique was performed using blunt, curved radiofrequency needles (41-44) (which prevent penetration of the lung) and because the skin entry point was not more than 4 cm from the spinous processes. However, clinical experience with the technique, as well as adequate information delivered to the patients regarding overnight stays close to a medical facility and examination by a clinician before air travel, are essential in avoiding such complications.

The retrospective basis of the study and the absence of a control group of patients with a different intervention are the main limitations of our results. However, due to the limited literature on the subject (especially on malignant pain management), reviewing the cases of 35 patients who underwent the procedure is very informative for evaluating the actual outcome of the technique in regard to malignant pancreatic pain management.

The evaluation of patients with pancreatic cancer should also include an assessment of the patients’ quality of life (24). Evaluating every patient according to the principles of the biopsychosocial model is very important for achieving actual control of symptoms, support of the patient and family, and successful pain management (45). The best interventional technique to achieve this has yet to be identified, as there are an insufficient number of randomized, controlled trials examining pain management in this clinical setting.

**CONCLUSION**

Our results suggest that bilateral thoracic splanchnic nerve radiofrequency thermocoagulation significantly reduced pancreatic abdominal cancer pain, reduced consumption of systemic opioids, and improved patients’ quality of life during the end-stage phase of their disease. Bilateral thoracic splanchnic nerves radiofrequency thermocoagulation may offer a minimally invasive, safe, and effective technique for the management of pancreatic abdominal cancer pain. Expertise with the technique and adequate information delivery to patients are essential for minimizing the risk of perioperative pneumothorax.
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