Caudal Epidural Injections for the Treatment of Tarlov Cysts: Suggestions for the Better Results

To the Editor

It is with great interest that we read the case report by Freidenstein et al, “Minimally invasive interventional therapy for Tarlov cysts causing symptoms of interstitial cystitis,” published in the 2012 March/April issue of Pain Physician (1).

This is a well organized and prepared case report which showed successful result in treating Tarlov cysts with symptoms similar to interstitial cystitis. To treat these cysts in this article, authors performed caudal epidural injections by inserting the needle only a few millimeters through the sacral hiatus and administering 3 ml of 1% lidocaine and 40 mg of triamcinolone. Authors thought that the reduction of inflammation around the cysts may lessen the cyst distention and subsequent pressure on the nearby nerve root. In addition, they recommended to avoid dural puncture during the procedure and to use low-volume drugs to prevent inadvertent increase in pressures. We applaud the authors’ work, however, we have some different points of view on the injection method and the volume of injectate.

First, we believe that the injection method should be adjusted according to the location and size of Tarlov cysts. Because the cyst itself or the secondary inflammation hinders the delivery of steroid and local anesthetic agent to the target lesion, it would be more effective to inject as near as possible to the cysts. In addition, Tarlov cysts possibly cause symptoms compressing or irritating nearby nerve roots as the herniated intervertebral discs (2). Also, caudal epidural injections need large volume
of drug to spread to upper segments of spine (3), so
about 3 ml of drugs may be not enough to reach from
the sacral hiatus to the target lesion. Therefore, in cases
of Tarlov cysts located far from the sacral hiatus, trans-
foraminal approach or caudal approach with catheter-
ization will be more appropriate.

Second, a large-volume injectate seems more effec-
tive than the small one. As Mitra et al (4) commented
in his case report, inflammatory component of Tarlov
cysts contribute to the pathogenesis. Therefore, steroid
injection with enough volume will be better at washing
out inflammatory mediators and relieving the inflam-
mation-induced epidural fibrosis (5). In our experience,
we successfully and safely treated the Tarlov cysts caus-
ing perineal and peri-anal pain with a series of sacral
transforaminal steroid injections administering 8 ml of
normal saline followed by 8 mL of 0.19% ropivacaine
and 10 mg of triamcinolone (Fig. 1).

In conclusion, we suggest a feasible approach
to the target lesion and an enough volume injection
anticipating epidural adhesiolysis and effective drug
delivery.
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Fig. 1. Sagittal T2-weighted MR image (A) shows a Tarlov
cyst with high signal intensity at S2. An Antero-posterior
fluoroscopic image (B) performing bilateral S1 transforami-
nal steroid injections. the filling defect of contrast dye was
found in the middle of sacral area.
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Response

While we agree that the route and volume of injectate depend on the precise location of the cyst, we take the stance that it is of paramount importance to avoid cyst puncture when performing interventional therapy on Tarlov Cysts. It is for this reason that we highlight advancing the needle only a few millimeters past the sacral hiatus. This is why our primary focus has been on addressing lower level sacral cysts. The risks of cyst puncture include persistent dural leak, which can be extremely difficult to seal as standard blood patches can be ineffective and possibly increase the risk of adhesive arachnoiditis. This risk is compounded by the possibility of injecting particulate steroids intrathecally when working directly around cysts. Having said that, in order to target higher level cysts, this may be a necessary risk. It is encouraging to hear that other practitioners are having success with interventional therapy for Tarlov Cysts. We believe that these have been dismissed as incidental findings for decades and can represent an occult cause of chronic pain.
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In Errata

The coauthor of the letter to the editor titled Does the Diagnosis of Spondylolisthesis Matter published in the March/April issue of Pain Physician journal (2012;15:E157) was inadvertently left off.

The letter was also submitted by Nilay Chatterjee, MD, DM, a Consultant in the Department of Pain Medicine at the Institute of Neurosciences, Kolkata West Bengal, India