Background: Morphine sulfate and naltrexone hydrochloride extended-release capsules (EMBEDA, King Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Bristol, TN), indicated for management of chronic, moderate-to-severe pain, contain pellets of extended-release morphine sulfate with a sequestered naltrexone core (MS-sNT). Taken as directed, morphine provides analgesia while naltrexone remains sequestered; if tampered with by crushing, naltrexone is released to mitigate morphine-induced euphoric effects. While it is necessary to establish that formulations intended to reduce attractiveness for abuse are successful in doing so, it is also necessary to demonstrate that product therapeutic integrity is maintained for patients.

Objectives: Data were reviewed from 3 studies to determine: 1) the quantity of naltrexone released when MS-sNT pellets are crushed (MS-sNTC) for at least 2 minutes with mortar and pestle); 2) the extent to which the naltrexone released upon crushing mitigated morphine-induced subjective effects; and 3) whether sequestered naltrexone precipitates opioid withdrawal when MS-sNT is taken as directed.

Methods: The naltrexone bioavailability study compared naltrexone release from MS-sNTC with that from whole intact MS-sNT capsules (MS-sNTW) and an equal naltrexone solution (NS) dose. Equivalent bioavailability was established if 90% confidence intervals (CIs) for geometric mean ratios (maximum plasma naltrexone concentration [Cmax] and area under the concentration-time curve extrapolated to infinity [AUC∞]) fell between 80% and 125%. The oral pharmacodynamic study assessed drug liking and euphoria and pharmacokinetic properties of MS-sNTC and MS-sNTW compared with morphine sulfate solution (MSS) and placebo. The 12-month, open-label (OL) safety study evaluated safety of MS-sNT administered orally as directed in patients with chronic, moderate-to-severe pain. Safety assessments included withdrawal symptoms based on the Clinical Opiate Withdrawal Scale (COWS).

Results: Naltrexone from MS-sNTC met criteria for equivalent bioavailability to NS. Although morphine relative bioavailability was similar for MS-sNTC and MSS, mean peak (Emax) visual analog scale (VAS) scores for drug liking and Cole/Addiction Research Center Inventory Stimulation-Euphoria were significantly reduced for MS-sNTC vs MSS (P < 0.001). In these 2 studies, a total of 6 participants had one measurement of plasma naltrexone after MS-sNTW that was above the lower limit of quantification. In the OL safety study, 72/93 participants (77%) had no quantifiable naltrexone concentrations. There was neither evidence of naltrexone accumulation for any participant nor any significant correlation with MS-sNT dose, age, or sex. Of 4 participants with the highest naltrexone concentrations, none had COWS scores consistent with moderate opioid withdrawal symptoms. Only 5 participants had COWS scores consistent with moderate opioid withdrawal; all 5 had not taken MS-sNT as directed.

Limitations: Study populations may not be fully representative of patients receiving opioid therapy for the management of chronic, moderate-to-severe pain and of opioid abusers.

Conclusions: When MS-sNT capsules are crushed, all of the sequestered naltrexone (relative to oral NS) is released and immediately available to mitigate morphine-induced effects. When MS-sNT was crushed, the naltrexone released abated drug liking and euphoria relative to that from an equal dose of immediate-release morphine from MSS administration in a majority of participants. Naltrexone concentrations were low over a period of 12 months without evidence of accumulation, and there were no observable opioid withdrawal symptoms when MS-sNT was taken as directed.
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Opioids play a role in the relief of chronic pain in carefully selected and monitored patients (1,2). Extended-release opioid formulations provide effective analgesia with once- or twice-daily dosing and may be more suitable than immediate-release formulations for patients with chronic, moderate-to-severe pain who require around-the-clock opioid therapy (3-7). The potential benefits anticipated from oral extended-release formulations include sustained, 12- to 24-hour pain relief; better nighttime pain control; reduced pill burden; increased compliance; and greater convenience compared with immediate-release formulations requiring administration every 3 to 4 hours (4,5,7,8).

Increased use of opioids has been accompanied by increased misuse, abuse, and diversion of these medications and has become a public health concern (9-14). While extended-release opioid formulations have the potential to improve pain management for many patients, their higher opioid content per dose may make them particularly appealing to abusers for tampering with to access the opioid and achieve a high (8,12).

One of several pharmaceutical strategies suggested to address this problem is the inclusion of antagonists that are released upon product tampering to reduce the opioid-induced, euphoric effects sought by abusers (8,15-19). Naltrexone is a potent, orally bioavailable mu-opioid receptor antagonist that has been used clinically to treat opioid dependence (20-23). Naltrexone 100 mg administered 24 hours before, at the time of, and 24 hours after oral administration of morphine has also been used to block pharmacologic effects of morphine and allow bioequivalence studies to be safely conducted at doses of morphine as high as 200 mg without the occurrence of serious side effects, such as respiratory depression (24).

Naltrexone administered orally is nearly completely absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract (22); however, it undergoes rapid and extensive first-pass metabolism to 6-β-naltrexol, limiting the amount of unchanged naltrexone reaching the systemic circulation and resulting in naltrexone oral bioavailability of 5% to 60% (25). Plasma concentrations of the metabolite are generally an order of magnitude greater than plasma naltrexone concentrations (20,21,26,27); thus, pharmacokinetic studies measure both naltrexone and 6-β-naltrexol plasma concentrations. However, 6-β-naltrexol has opioid antagonist properties of 1/12th to 1/50th the potency of naltrexone (20,26,27).

Morphine sulfate and naltrexone hydrochloride extended-release capsules (EMBEDA, King Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Bristol, TN), approved by the US Food and Drug Administration in August 2009 for the management of chronic, moderate-to-severe pain, contain pellets of extended-release morphine sulfate with a sequestered naltrexone core (MS-sNT) (28,29). When MS-sNT is taken as directed, morphine is slowly released, reaching peak concentrations at approximately 8 hours post-dose, to provide analgesia for up to 24 hours; the naltrexone core of each pellet remains sequestered and is largely unabsorbed (28,30,31). Conversely, immediate-release morphine sulfate reaches peak concentrations at approximately one hour post-dose and has a rapid terminal half-life of 4 hours (32,33). Maximum plasma morphine concentrations after morphine sulfate solution (MSS) intake are 5-fold greater than those after MS-sNT taken whole as directed (32). However, the rapid elimination of immediate-release morphine renders its 4-hour effectiveness substantially shorter than that of extended-release formulations (32,33).

While it is necessary to establish that new formulations (i.e. MS-sNT) that are intended to have reduced attractiveness for abuse are indeed successful in mitigating abuse, it is also necessary to demonstrate that the therapeutic integrity of the product is maintained for patients when it is taken as directed (17). Naltrexone should be released only upon tampering with MS-sNT, and should be released in a sufficient amount to mitigate subjective morphine-induced effects. When MS-sNT is taken as directed, naltrexone should remain sequestered, with minimal effects on efficacy and safety. The purpose of this article is to review studies demonstrating that naltrexone in MS-sNT performs as intended.

Objective

This article reviews data from 3 studies, 2 in which pellets of MS-sNT were administered orally after crushing (29,32) and one in which MS-sNT was taken as directed (34). These studies determined: 1) the quantity of naltrexone released when MS-sNT was crushed, relative to an oral solution of the same dose (29); 2) whether the dose of naltrexone released from the crushed MS-sNT was sufficient to mitigate morphine-induced subjective effects (32); and 3) whether sequestered naltrexone affected safety and the occurrence of withdrawal syndrome when MS-sNT was taken as directed (34).
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**Methods**

The details of the study designs, participants, treatments, and outcome measures have been published previously, are summarized in Table 1, and are briefly described here (29,32,34). Each of these 3 studies was approved by a corresponding Institutional Review Board. Study flow for the 3 studies is detailed in Table 2. In each study, the protocol and any amendments, consent forms, participant-related information, and recruitment were reviewed and approved by an institutional review board and all participants were provided written informed consent before study initiation (29,32,34).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Naltrexone relative bioavailability (29,52)</th>
<th>Oral drug liking/euphoria (32,42)</th>
<th>12-Month safety (34,40)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N (enrolled/completed)</td>
<td>24/23</td>
<td>32/32</td>
<td>467/160 (n = 93, PK subset)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design</td>
<td>Randomized, 3-way, crossover, single-dose, open-label</td>
<td>Randomized, 4-way crossover, double-blind, triple-dummy, placebo-controlled</td>
<td>Open-label</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>Healthy volunteers aged 18 to 55 years</td>
<td>Nondependent, recreational opioid users aged 18 to 55 years</td>
<td>Patients with chronic pain lasting for 3 months or more; aged 18 to 70 years; patients could have liver function test results up to, but not including, 3x ULN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatments (oral)</td>
<td>• Crushed pellets from 1 MS-sNT 60-mg capsule (MS-sNTC) • One intact MS-sNT 60-mg capsule (MS-sNTW) • NS at dose (2.4 mg) equivalent to that in 1 MS-sNT capsule</td>
<td>• Crushed pellets from 2 MS-sNT 60-mg capsules (MS-sNTC) • Two intact MS-sNT 60-mg capsules (MS-sNTW) • MSS, 120 mg • Placebo</td>
<td>• MS-sNT capsules titrated to effective dose (median average daily dose 58.6 mg), with adjustments as clinically indicated throughout study; treatment continued for up to 12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key outcome measures</td>
<td>• Plasma naltrexone and 6-β-naltrexol PK measures: $C_{\text{max}}$, $T_{\text{max}}$, $AUC_{\text{last}}$, and $AUC_{\infty}$</td>
<td>• VAS scores: Drug liking&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; High Good effects Bad effects Feel sick Nausea • Cole/ARCI scores: Stimulation-Euphoria&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; Abuse potential&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; Unpleasantness-dysphoria Unpleasantness-physical • ARCI scores: MBG&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; LSD • Subjective drug value&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; ($\text{Can}$) • Plasma morphine, naltrexone, and 6-β-naltrexol PK measures</td>
<td>• Safety • Plasma morphine, naltrexone, and 6-β-naltrexol PK measures in a subset of patients</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Primary measures.

ARCI = Addiction Research Center Inventory Stimulation-Euphoria; AUC<sub>∞</sub> = area under the concentration-time curve extrapolated to infinity; AUC<sub>last</sub> = area under the concentration-time curve 0 h post-dose to last sample collection; $C_{\text{max}}$ = maximum plasma concentration; HCl = hydrochloride; LSD = lysergic acid diethylamide; MBG = Morphine-Benzedrine group; MSS = morphine sulfate solution; MS-sNTC = pellets within MS-sNT capsules taken crushed; MS-sNTW = MS-sNT capsules taken whole; NS = naltrexone HCl solution; PK = pharmacokinetic; $T_{\text{max}}$ = median time to peak concentration; ULN = upper limit of normal; VAS = visual analog scale.

Table 1. Summary of study design, participants, treatments, and outcome measures.
Naltrexone Relative Bioavailability Study
The naltrexone relative bioavailability study (ALO-01-07-104) evaluated the bioavailability of plasma naltrexone when pellets from a 60 mg capsule of MS-sNT were crushed (MS-sNTC) for at least 2 minutes with a mortar and pestle relative to an oral solution containing the same dose of naltrexone as found in the sequestered core of MS-sNT capsule pellets (2.4 mg) (29). An analysis of variance model was used to compare maximum plasma concentration (C\text{max}) and area under the concentration-time curve extrapolated to infinity (AUC\infty) among treatment groups (29). Equivalent bioavailability was established if 90% confidence intervals (CIs) for ratios of the log-transformed geometric means (ln [C\text{max}] and ln [AUC\infty]) fell within 80% to 125% (29,35,36).

Oral Pharmacodynamic Study
The oral pharmacodynamic study (ALO-01-07-205; NCT00751478) assessed the effects of naltrexone released from MS-sNTC and MS-sNT capsules taken whole (MS-sNTW; 2 MS-sNT capsules each containing 60 mg extended-release morphine and 2.4 mg naltrexone) on pharmacokinetic and drug liking/euphoria properties versus 120 mg of MSS and placebo (32). Recreational opioid users received 4 treatments, one per session, with each session separated by a washout period of 14 to 21 days (32). Outcome measures included the determination of drug liking using a visual analog scale (VAS; 0 = strong disliking, 50 = neutral, 100 = strong liking) and euphoria using the Cole/Addiction Research Center Inventory Stimulation-Euphoria scale (Cole/ARCI, 15 items, 4-point scale; 0 = false, 3 = true; score range, 0 to 45), as well as measurements of plasma morphine, naltrexone, and 6-β-naltrexol concentrations (32,37).

Twelve-Month Open-Label Safety Study
The 12-month study (ALO-KNT-302, NCT00415597), while designed to examine the long-term, open-label safety of MS-sNT in patients with chronic, moderate-to-severe pain, incorporated specific assessments of the potential impact of sequestered naltrexone (34). Safety assessments included the recording of adverse events (AEs), vital signs, clinical laboratory evaluations, and electrocardiograms (ECGs) (34). The Clinical Opiate Withdrawal Scale (COWS) was used to detect signs of opioid withdrawal (34,38); COWS scores gave an indication of withdrawal severity (5 to 12, mild; 13 to 24, moderate; 25 to 36, moderately severe; and > 36, severe) (34,38). Notably, hepatic enzymes alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and aspartate aminotransferase (AST) were specifically monitored because of previous reports of hepatotoxicity associated with naltrexone doses higher (50 to 300 mg) than those used clinically for opioid blockade (34,39). Blood samples for pharmacokinetic analysis were collected at each visit from a subset of patients (n = 93) prior to dosing at 4-week intervals for the 52-week duration of the study (14 or fewer total samples per patient) (34,40,41).

Efficacy assessments, as a prespecified secondary outcome, also were conducted in the 12-month study. Pain intensity was rated using an 11-point numeric scale (0 = no pain, 10 = pain as bad as you can imagine)
along with a global assessment of the study drug using a 5-point scale (1 = poor, 5 = excellent) (34).

**Pharmacokinetic Analysis**

In each study, blood samples for plasma morphine, naltrexone, and 6-β-naltrexol were prepared for pharmacokinetic analysis as described previously (29,32,34). Bioanalysis was performed at CEDRA Corporation (Austin, TX) for the measurement of plasma naltrexone, 6-β-naltrexol, and morphine using validated bioanalytical methods (liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry); the lower limits of quantification were 4.00 pg/mL (naltrexone), 0.250 pg/mL (6-β-naltrexol), and 0.200 ng/mL (morphine) (29,32,34).

**Results**

**Naltrexone Relative Bioavailability Study**

Mean plasma naltrexone concentration profiles appeared similar following single-dose administrations of MS-sNTC and naltrexone solution (Fig. 1A) (29). Both profiles exhibited rapid absorption, reaching peak concentrations of 599 ± 408 pg/mL and 629 ± 439 pg/mL, respectively, at approximately one hour post-dose, followed by a biphasic elimination that was initially rapid to approximately 8 hours post-dose and then gradually declined to 24 hours post-dose (Table 3) (29).

Based on the extent of naltrexone absorption and overall naltrexone exposure, MS-sNTC exhibited similar bioavailability to naltrexone solution (90% CIs for plasma naltrexone ln [C max] and ln [AUC ] ratios were within the 80% to 125% range) (Table 3) (29,35,36). The analysis of variance also was performed on a subset of participants that excluded those who vomited; the 90% CIs for plasma naltrexone ln (C max) and ln (AUC ∞) ratios remained within the 80% to 125% range (29).

Over the duration of the blood sampling interval, the dispositions of 6-β-naltrexol in plasma were similar after MS-sNTC and naltrexone solution single-dose administrations (Fig. 1B): plasma naltrexone was rapidly converted to 6-β-naltrexol, reaching maximum concentrations at approximately 1.5 hours post-dose, and then gradually declined (29). The rate, extent, and total systemic exposure of 6-β-naltrexol from MS-sNTC and naltrexone solution showed equivalent bioavailability (90% CIs for plasma of 6-β-naltrexol ln [C max] and ln [AUC ] ratios were within the 80% to 125% range) (Table 3) (29,35,36).

After the administration of MS-sNTW, intact as directed, plasma concentrations of naltrexone were below the limit of quantification (BLQ) for nearly all participants. One participant had a single plasma naltrexone concentration of 5.50 pg/mL (just above the 4.00 pg/mL limit of quantification) at 72 hours post-dose (29). Low but quantifiable concentrations of plasma 6-β-naltrexol were observed for 14 of 23 (60.9%) participants up to 168 hours post-dose (Fig. 1C) (29); 6-β-naltrexol mean concentrations never exceeded 5.00 pg/mL at any of the times evaluated for MS-sNTW (Fig. 1C) (29).

Of 89 treatment-emergent AEs reported during the study in 15 of 24 (63%) participants, 87 (97.8%) were mild and 2 (2.2%, nausea and emesis after intact MS-sNT) were moderate. The most common AEs in crushed, intact, and naltrexone treatment groups were nausea (8/23 [35%], 10/24 [42%], and 3/23 [13%]) and emesis (6/23 [26%], 7/24 [29%], and 2/23 [9%]), respectively (29).

**Oral Pharmacodynamic Study**

Mean plasma morphine profiles after taking MS-sNTC and MSS were similar (Fig. 2A), including plasma morphine mean C max and median time to peak concentration (T max) (MS-sNTC, 80.6 ng/mL, 1.1 hours; MSS, 92.5 ng/mL, 1.2 hours) (32,41). Peak plasma morphine concentrations were reached at approximately one hour post-dose followed by biphasic elimination.

The disposition of plasma morphine following a single oral administration of MS-sNTW distinctly differed from the dispositions of MS-sNTC and MSS: the rate of absorption was slower, characteristic of the extended-release properties of the formulation, with peak concentrations reached at approximately 6 hours post-dose followed by a gradual rate of decline (32). C max for MS-sNTW was lower (mean C max 19.3 versus 80.6 ng/mL) and T max was longer (median T max 8.1 versus 1.1 hours) than those for MS-sNTC (Table 4) (32,41,42).

Plasma naltrexone concentrations following a single-dose administration of MS-sNTC are shown in Fig. 2B. As expected, the disposition pattern of plasma naltrexone was similar to that of the MS-sNTC and naltrexone solution treatments in the naltrexone relative bioavailability study (29). The naltrexone C max for MS-sNTC was 1,265.3 pg/mL with a median T max of 1.1 hours (Table 4). However, low but quantifiable naltrexone concentrations were detected in 5 of 32 participants treated with MS-sNTW. Each of these 5 participants had one concentration above the limit of quantification (4.00 pg/mL), with the maximum being 44.8 pg/mL (32).
Fig. 1. Naltrexone relative bioavailability study: mean naltrexone (A) and 6-ß-naltrexol (B and C) concentration-time profiles (29).

HCl = hydrochloride; MS-sNTC = pellets within MS-sNT capsules taken crushed; MS-sNTW = MS-sNT capsules taken whole; SEM = standard error of the mean.
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Similarly, plasma 6-β-naltrexol levels were present after MS-sNTC treatment but in much higher concentrations than plasma naltrexone (Fig. 2C); low but quantifiable concentrations of 6-β-naltrexol were detected in 14 participants after MS-sNTW. The plasma 6-β-naltrexol $C_{\text{max}}$ for MS-sNTW (12.1 pg/mL) was less than that for MS-sNTC (6,958.4 pg/mL) (Table 4) (32,42).

Pharmacodynamic evaluations are shown in Fig. 3 (32). Relative to the higher maximum VAS drug liking scores following a single administration of MSS, 53.1% of participants had at least a 30% reduction in mean maximum effect ($E_{\text{max}}$) following MS-sNTC (Fig. 3A). Relative to the mean $E_{\text{max}}$ following single-dose administration of MSS, 50% of participants had at least a 40% reduction in maximum Cole/ARCI Stimulation-Euphoria scores after MS-sNTC (42). Mean VAS scores for drug liking and Cole/ARCI Stimulation-Euphoria scores were significantly lower after MS-sNTC versus MSS ($P < 0.001$) (Table 4, Fig. 3B) (32). There was considerable individual variability in the degree of reduction in drug liking and Cole/ARCI Stimulation-Euphoria scores ranging between 10% and 50%. Differences between MS-sNTC and MSS were observed for the other positive subjective effects, such as subjective (monetary) drug value and “feeling high.” Scores for each of these subjective effects for MS-sNTC versus MS-sNTW were similar (32,42).

All AEs reported were mild to moderate in intensity for both active drug and placebo groups. The most common AEs when participants received morphine were the typical morphine-associated effects of euphoric mood, pruritus, somnolence, emesis, and nausea (32). The most common AEs when participants received placebo were euphoric mood, headache, and somnolence (32).

Open-label Safety Study

Of 93 participants who received MS-sNTW for up to 12 months and participated in the pharmacokinetic substudy, 21 (22.6%) had quantifiable (limit of quantification = 4.00 pg/mL) concentrations of naltrexone. Of the 444 samples assayed for plasma naltrexone, only 49 (11%) were above 4.00 pg/mL (range, 4.03 to 145 pg/mL); among these, the median concentration of naltrexone over all study weeks was 10.1 pg/mL (34,41). After assigning all BLQs to 0 pg/mL, the overall mean plasma naltrexone concentration was 2.31 pg/mL (43). There was no evidence of naltrexone accumulation dur-
Fig. 2. *Oral drug liking/euphoria study: morphine (A), naltrexone (B), and 6-β-naltrexol (C) concentration-time profiles (32).*

MSS = morphine sulfate solution; MS-sNTC = pellets within MS-sNT capsules taken crushed; MS-sNTW = MS-sNT capsules taken whole; SEM = standard error of the mean.

Table 4. Oral drug liking/euphoria study: VAS drug liking; Cole/ARCI Stimulation-Euphoria; and plasma morphine, naltrexone, and 6-β-naltrexol across treatments (32,41,42).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>MS-sNTW</th>
<th>MS-sNTC</th>
<th>MSS</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>VAS for drug liking (n = 32)</strong></td>
<td>Emax, mean (SD)</td>
<td>67.6 (13.1)</td>
<td>68.1 (17.5)</td>
<td>89.5 (12.6)</td>
<td>52.2 (4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TEmax (h), median (range)</td>
<td>8.00 (0.50-12.0)</td>
<td>2.00 (0.48-24.0)</td>
<td>1.49 (0.48-24.0)</td>
<td>1.50 (0.48-24.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AUC&lt;sub&gt;0-2h&lt;/sub&gt;, mean (SD)</td>
<td>405.9 (62.4)</td>
<td>424.3 (128.6)</td>
<td>519.7 (140.6)</td>
<td>373.5 (33.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AUC&lt;sub&gt;0-8h&lt;/sub&gt;, mean (SD)</td>
<td>79.1 (14.5)</td>
<td>86.7 (23.4)</td>
<td>120.7 (20.9)</td>
<td>74.5 (6.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cole/ARCI Stimulation-Euphoria (n = 32)</strong></td>
<td>Emax, mean (SD)</td>
<td>10.8 (11.2)</td>
<td>11.9 (11.3)</td>
<td>18.4 (11.6)</td>
<td>6.90 (8.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TEmax (h), median (range)</td>
<td>1.75 (0.48-24.0)</td>
<td>1.50 (0.48-24.0)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.48-24.0)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.48-24.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AUC&lt;sub&gt;0-2h&lt;/sub&gt;, mean (SD)</td>
<td>9.6 (12.4)</td>
<td>14.0 (14.9)</td>
<td>26.2 (18.8)</td>
<td>8.8 (12.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AUC&lt;sub&gt;0-8h&lt;/sub&gt;, mean (SD)</td>
<td>44.0 (53.5)</td>
<td>56.8 (69.5)</td>
<td>84.7 (68.9)</td>
<td>32.5 (47.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pharmacokinetics (n = 32)</strong></td>
<td>Morphin&lt;br&gt; C&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt; (ng/mL), mean (SD)</td>
<td>19.3 (7.68)</td>
<td>80.6 (38.8)</td>
<td>92.5 (38.1)</td>
<td>1,265.3 (706.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tmax (h), median (range)</td>
<td>8.1 (4.1-12.2)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.6-2.2)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.6-2.1)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.6-1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AUC&lt;sub&gt;0-2h&lt;/sub&gt;, mean (ng•h/mL), mean (SD)</td>
<td>80.7 (42.2)</td>
<td>259.7 (90.7)</td>
<td>262.6 (92.8)</td>
<td>3,943.8 (1,927.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AUC&lt;sub&gt;0-8h&lt;/sub&gt;, mean (ng•h/mL), mean (SD)</td>
<td>427.2 (327.4)</td>
<td>480.7 (330.1)</td>
<td>362.6 (119.5)</td>
<td>4,074.9 (1,996.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Naltrexone&lt;br&gt; C&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt; (pg/mL), mean (SD)</td>
<td>12.1 (14.7)</td>
<td>6,958.4 (2,380.6)</td>
<td>136.8 (103.4)</td>
<td>136.8 (103.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tmax (h), median (range)</td>
<td>2.7 (0.6-24.2)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.6-2.1)</td>
<td>82.3 (94.8)</td>
<td>82.3 (94.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AUC&lt;sub&gt;0-2h&lt;/sub&gt;, mean (pg•h/mL), mean (SD)</td>
<td>82.3 (94.8)</td>
<td>50,958.9 (14,195.0)</td>
<td>82.3 (94.8)</td>
<td>82.3 (94.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AUC&lt;sub&gt;0-8h&lt;/sub&gt;, mean (pg•h/mL), mean (SD)</td>
<td>136.8 (103.4)</td>
<td>73,630.9 (19,191.6)</td>
<td>136.8 (103.4)</td>
<td>136.8 (103.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*PK parameters could not be calculated due to lack of quantifiable drug concentrations.

<sup>a</sup>Adjusted P value not significant versus MS-sNTW.

<sup>b</sup>Adjusted P < 0.001 versus MS-sNTC and MS-sNTW.

<sup>c</sup>Adjusted P < 0.001 versus MSS, MS-sNTW, and MSS.

<sup>d</sup>Adjusted P value not significant versus placebo.

<sup>e</sup>Adjusted P < 0.01 versus MSS and MSS.

<sup>f</sup>n = 14.

AUE<sub>0-2h</sub> = area under the effect curve 0-2 h post-dose; AUE<sub>0-8h</sub> = area under the effect curve 0-8 h post-dose; C<sub>max</sub> = maximum plasma concentration; E<sub>max</sub> = maximum effect; MS-sNTC = pellets within MS-sNT capsules taken crushed; MS-sNTW = MS-sNT capsules taken whole; MSS = morphine sulfate solution; SD = standard deviation; T<sub>max</sub> = time to reach the maximum effect; T<sub>max</sub> = time to peak concentration.
ing the study (Fig. 4), nor was there any significant cor-
relation of plasma naltrexone concentration with MS-
sNT dosage, age, or sex of the participant (34,41).

While participants were on the study drug, the
mean change from baseline in all 4 pain diary items
(average, least, worst, current) was significantly de-
creased from baseline except at week one for least
pain (34). Overall, the percent change from baseline
in average pain scores ranged from –11.6% to –41.5%
throughout end-of-study treatment with MS-sNT (43).

Three participants had at least one outlying nal-
trexone concentration defined as a concentration out-
side of one standard deviation of the arithmetic mean
(> 49.4 pg/mL); however, these participants did not
have increased COWS scores and pain intensity was
not affected (34,44). The highest naltrexone concentra-
tions (145 and 118 pg/mL) recorded in the study were
observed at 20 and 32 weeks, respectively, for the same
participant; corresponding COWS scores were 0 and 1,
respectively, and pain scores were 3 and 2, respectively.

Fig. 3. Oral drug liking/euphoria study: pharmacodynamic measures (32).

ARCI = Addiction Research Center Inventory; MSS = morphine sulfate solution; MS-sNTC = pellets within MS-sNT capsules taken crushed;
MS-sNTW = MS-sNT capsules taken whole; SEM = standard error of the mean; VAS = visual analog scale.

Adapted with permission from Stauffer J et al. Subjective effects and safety of whole and tampered morphine sulfate and naltrexone hydrochlo-
ride (ALO-01) extended-release capsules versus morphine solution and placebo in experienced non-dependent opioid users: A randomized,
Naltrexone Release, Pharmacodynamics, and Tolerability

Fig. 4. 12-month safety study: individual plasma naltrexone concentrations over the duration of the study (41).
*Includes only those patients with quantifiable levels.

Table 5. MS-sNT daily dose, COWS scores, and pain for patients with the highest plasma naltrexone concentrations (34,44).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>MS-sNT average daily dose (mg/day)</th>
<th>Study week</th>
<th>Highest plasma concentration (pg/mL)</th>
<th>Plasma morphine concentration (ng/mL)</th>
<th>COWS score</th>
<th>Pain score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>145.0</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>185</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>118.0</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>83.8</td>
<td>125.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>118.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The naltrexone concentration for this patient was not an outlier; data are included here because these were the only blood draws for plasma naltrexone determination available for this patient.
COWS = Clinical Opiate Withdrawal Scale.

(Table 5) (34,44). This participant was suspected of non-compliance (no detectable urine morphine concentrations were found) and was discontinued from the study (43). Another participant had naltrexone concentrations of 14.4 and 44.1 pg/mL at weeks 36 and 52, respectively (34). These naltrexone concentrations were not outliers, but were the only blood samples drawn from this participant for the determination of plasma naltrexone concentrations. The participant had poorly controlled pain: a pain score of 9 at baseline, lowest pain score of 5 at weeks 8 and 40, and the highest possible pain score of 10 at week 52 when plasma naltrexone concentration was 44.1 pg/mL. The patient’s corresponding COWS score at week 52 was 0 (34,40).

During the 12-month treatment duration, mean COWS scores were low (Fig. 5). Fewer than 10% of patients had mild withdrawal at baseline and ≤ 5% had mild withdrawal at any study visit (34,40). Only 5 participants had COWS scores consistent with moderate withdrawal; all 5 had not taken the study drug at the proper dose or according to the prescribed regimen. Violations included not taking the study drug or not taking the drug as instructed, adjusting own study dose, using incorrect dosing, reporting loss of the study drug, and running out of the study drug. None of these participants had elevated plasma naltrexone concentrations. Conversely, no participants with outlying plasma naltrexone concentrations during the study had COWS...
scores consistent with moderate withdrawal (34).

The most common AEs were those typically related to morphine use: constipation (n = 148, 31.8%), nausea (n = 117, 25.2%), headache (n = 56, 12.0%), emesis (n = 55, 11.8%), and somnolence (n = 36, 7.7%) (34,40). Most AEs were mild or moderate; 16.6% of participants had severe AEs, the most common being constipation, nausea, and headache (40). One participant had a clinically significant change from baseline in ECG (incomplete right bundle branch) that was judged by the investigator as unrelated to the study drug (34).

Four of the more than 400 participants in the study had moderately elevated liver function enzymes (ALT or AST) > 3x upper limit of normal (ULN) while taking MS-sNT capsules (34). Participant 1 was a 59-year-old woman with a history of alcoholism who had an isolated elevation of AST (4.1x ULN) at 3 months; AST returned to normal despite increasing doses of MS-sNT ≤ 160 mg (34,43). She discontinued the study at 10 months (34). Participant 2, a 60-year-old woman with a concomitant diagnosis of gastroenteritis, had elevated ALT (3.4x ULN), AST (2.0x ULN), and alkaline phosphatase (1.5x ULN) at 8.5 months after approximately 3 months of treatment with MS-sNT at 80 mg/d. Her hepatic enzymes returned to normal when the MS-sNT dose was reduced to 40 mg/d (34). Participant 3 was a 49-year-old woman who discontinued the study due to nausea after fewer than 4 days of treatment with MS-sNT at 20 mg/d. She had elevated ALT (3.4x ULN) and AST (4.9x ULN) (34,43). Participant 4, a 34-year-old woman who had a concomitant upper respiratory infection, had elevated ALT (3.9x ULN) at 6 days of treatment with MS-sNT at 40 mg/d (34,43). The patient discontinued the study due to increasing shortness of breath, noncardiac chest pain, and nausea (34). Six additional participants had ALT values more than 2x ULN at entry that normalized during the treatment period (34).

DISCUSSION

Overall results from these 3 studies suggest that crushing MS-sNT provides an immediate release of naltrexone, making it available to mitigate morphine-induced subjective effects. In contrast, when MS-sNT is taken whole as directed, naltrexone remains sequestered in the majority of participants and in a 12-month safety study, did not accumulate, affect pain intensity, or precipitate withdrawal.

In the oral pharmacodynamic study, the release and subsequent absorption of a sufficient quantity of naltrexone from MS-sNTC decreased and delayed morphine-induced subjective effects versus those after immediate-release morphine for approximately 50% of participants with considerable individual variability (Table 4) (32). Pharmacodynamic effects with MS-sNTC were similar to those after intact MS-sNTW, suggesting that for nondependent abusers of opioids, tampering by crushing did not increase the drug liking or euphoria of MS-sNT in this study.

When MS-sNT was taken as directed by participants with chronic pain, the naltrexone remained sequestered
as suggested by plasma naltrexone concentrations that were low or BLQ for most participants. Trace levels of 6-β-naltrexol were present in most participants, indicating exposure to minimal concentrations of naltrexone; however, these concentrations did not appear to have any marked effect on precipitating opioid withdrawal. Although 6-β-naltrexol is a far less potent opioid antagonist than naltrexone (1/12th to 1/50th), its presence may contribute to the long duration of opioid antagonism of naltrexone (20,26,27).

During up to 12 months of treatment with MS-sNT, there was no evidence of accumulation of naltrexone and 6-β-naltrexol. Furthermore, results of the 12-month safety study clearly showed that the low, but quantifiable, concentrations of plasma naltrexone and 6-β-naltrexol did not adversely affect either the primary endpoint of safety or the secondary endpoint of efficacy of extended-release morphine sulfate. Most AEs were typical of those related to morphine rather than naltrexone, and there was a lack of symptoms related to opioid withdrawal (34). Pharmacokinetic results are consistent with early studies by Verebey et al (26,27) showing almost identical plasma naltrexone concentrations after acute and chronic administration and an absence of the naltrexone and metabolites within 2 weeks of the discontinuation of chronic naltrexone administration.

The presence of naltrexone in MS-sNT had no negative impact on pain scores or clinical opioid withdrawal syndrome during long-term MS-sNT administration or in other clinical trials with EMBEDA (31,45). No participant taking MS-sNT as directed in the 12-month safety study had COWS scores indicative of opioid withdrawal; 5 participants with COWS scores of 13 or higher, indicative of moderate withdrawal, had not taken the study drug at the proper dose or according to study instructions. In participants with the highest plasma naltrexone concentrations, there was no correlation with MS-sNT daily dose, COWS scores, or pain intensity scores (34).

Although one participant had poorly controlled pain throughout the 12-month study and a maximum plasma naltrexone concentration of 44.1 pg/mL at the end of the study, there was no evidence of withdrawal symptoms (34). This participant could have been nonresponsive to opioid treatment. Another participant who had the highest plasma naltrexone concentration of 145 pg/mL had a corresponding COWS score of 0 and a pain score of 3 (34,44).

Naltrexone doses of approximately 300 mg have been reported to elevate serum transaminase enzymes 3 to 19 times baseline levels, especially in obese participants (25,39). In the 12-month safety study, hepatic enzymes in participants were increased to more than 3x ULN in only 4 participants, 3 of whom had concomitant medical conditions; daily doses of MS-sNT in these participants ranged from 20 to 160 mg/d (0.8 to 4.8 mg naltrexone). Two of these participants were included in the pharmacokinetic population; neither had increased naltrexone concentrations (34,43).

In the 3 studies reported here, concentrations of naltrexone and 6-β-naltrexol were an order of magnitude lower than those reported to be required for maximal human opioid occupancy (23) or to be present in plasma after the administration of doses used in a clinical setting (21). A dose-ranging study by Meyer et al (21) indicated that a 100 mg dose of naltrexone hydrochloride resulted in mean (± SD) plasma concentrations of 19.6 (± 17.9) ng/mL of naltrexone and 206.8 (± 78.1) ng/mL of 6-β-naltrexol, both reached at a mean T_max of one hour. The few other published reports of naltrexone and 6-β-naltrexol plasma concentrations after naltrexone administration for opioid blockade support plasma concentrations in this range (20,25-27). Using positron emission tomography scan methodology, the half maximal effective concentration for 90% occupancy of human central nervous system opioid receptors by naltrexone was estimated to be 1,600 pg/mL (23). These data suggest that, when MS-sNT is taken as directed, the exposure to naltrexone is too low to precipitate opioid withdrawal syndrome.

The 3 studies reported here were included in this review because during the clinical development program for MS-sNT, these studies used measures intended to examine the clinical effects of naltrexone within the MS-sNT formulation. Three additional studies briefly described below also included measurements of naltrexone levels. One was a pharmacokinetic study in 36 healthy volunteers assessing food effects on MS-sNT. Results indicated that naltrexone remained sequestered whether the product was consumed under fasting conditions, after consumption of a high-fat meal or sprinkled over apple sauce (46). The second was an open-label, randomized, single-dose, 4-way crossover, 4-sequence pharmacokinetic study in 32 healthy opioid-naive adults that evaluated the effects of administering MS-sNT with increasing doses of alcohol on morphine and naltrexone bioavailability. Results indicated that naltrexone remained adequately sequestered when MS-sNT was coadministered with 4%, 20%, and 40%...
alcohol (47). The third was a randomized, double-blind, crossover study in participants with chronic pain of osteoarthritis of the hip or knee, with a primary objective of comparing steady-state pharmacokinetics of morphine between MS-sNT and an extended-release morphine sulfate (ERMS) product without sequestered naltrexone (KADIAN [morphine sulfate extended-release] Capsules, Actavis Kadian LLC, US, Morristown, NJ). The study included a titration period with ERMS, followed by measurement of naltrexone levels in patients (n = 72) on treatment days 1, 7, and 14 of a 2-week (crossover) treatment with MS-sNT and ERMS. Results indicated trace exposure to naltrexone and 6-β-naltrexol. The study did not include assessments of the effects of potential naltrexone exposure, such as evidence of opioid withdrawal. However, in cases where naltrexone and 6-β-naltrexol were quantifiable, it was noted that there was no positive correlation toward increased pain. AEs were similar during the 2-week treatment periods when the participants were either taking MS-sNT or ERMS (31,48).

The 3 reviewed studies have several limitations: they were performed in healthy volunteers; recreational, nondependent opioid abusers; and selected patients with chronic, moderate-to-severe pain, and may not demographically reflect typical patients with chronic pain in a clinical setting or those with concomitant conditions (29,32,34). The 12-month study was not randomized and was open-label with no comparator (34).

The MS-sNT formulation was designed to address administration of the product when tampered with by crushing. Abusers of opioids may use a variety of methods to access, extract, and solubilize the drug for administration by various routes (e.g., intranasally or intravenously), and we have not studied other methods of tampering with MS-sNT or other routes of administration because some of the practices used by drug abusers are risky and cannot be evaluated safely in clinical studies. A recent study of intravenous administration of morphine alone and in combination with intravenous naltrexone found the morphine-naltrexone combination to yield reduced drug liking and euphoria in nondependent recreational opioid users compared with morphine alone (49). It should be noted that the studies in which crushed product was administered were conducted in non-opioid-dependent individuals (32,49) where precipitation of opioid withdrawal would not have been expected. In 2 recently published case reports, opioid-tolerant patients who had tampered with MS-sNT capsules by either crushing or chewing had experienced signs and symptoms of withdrawal. In both cases, patients were admitted for treatment and symptoms had resolved (50,51). This indicates that inappropriate use by tampering may result in withdrawal symptoms in opioid-tolerant individuals.

**Conclusions**

When pellets from MS-sNT capsules are crushed, the sequestered naltrexone is released and is immediately available to mitigate morphine-induced effects. The naltrexone released upon tampering with MS-sNT capsules decreased and delayed drug liking and euphoria in nondependent, recreational drug users. As demonstrated in 2 recent case examples, tampering with MS-sNT capsules by crushing and/or chewing may result in precipitated withdrawal in opioid-tolerant individuals. When MS-sNT was taken as directed, plasma naltrexone was low or BLQ and did not accumulate over long-term use or precipitate opioid withdrawal symptoms.
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