COMBINED FLUOROSCOPIC AND NERVE STIMULATOR TECHNIQUE FOR INJECTION OF THE PIRIFORMIS MUSCLE
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The diagnosis of radicular pain secondary to Piriformis Muscle Syndrome has been historically difficult due to lack of accurate means to identify and selectively inject the piriformis muscle. This paper describes a simple and reproducible technique to safely inject the piriformis muscle, using a combination of fluoroscopic guidance and a standard neuro-muscular stimulator.
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A less common but important cause of lower extremity radicular pain may result from nerve irritation by the piriformis muscle, known as Piriformis Muscle Syndrome. This syndrome must be differentiated from other causes of sciatic type pain, more typically related to lumbar disc disease or spinal stenosis, and may go largely under-diagnosed. The piriformis muscle syndrome was first described in 1947 by Robinson (1), who ascribed several clinical features: a history of trauma to gluteal region, pain in the sciatic notch extending down the lower extremity, pain worsened by lifting or bending over but improved with traction, a palpable and tender piriformis muscle (positive Lasegue sign), and gluteal atrophy. In addition, patients may manifest pain on forced external rotation of the hip (positive Freiberg’s sign). This constellation of findings has been amended to also include negative imaging studies to rule out lumbar disc disease, nerve impingement, or other causes of radicular pain. As a result, the syndrome is often a diagnosis of exclusion (2).

The piriformis muscle originates from S2-S4 along the ventrolateral margin of the sacrum and extends through the greater sciatic notch, ultimately inserting onto the piriformis fossa of the greater trochanter. The muscle functions to externally rotate the thigh while the hip is in flexion. The sciatic nerve (formed from L4-S3 nerve roots) also passes through the sciatic notch and is typically located anterior to the piriformis muscle. However, six possible variations on the anatomic lie of the nerve relative to the piriformis muscle have been described in cadaveric studies (3).

The proper diagnosis and treatment of Piriformis Muscle Syndrome has been historically difficult, due to lack of techniques to accurately and reproducibly inject the piriformis muscle with local anesthetic- with or without steroid preparation. Two techniques have been previously described- one involving the use of a nerve stimulator and surface landmarks, while the other utilizes combined fluoroscopic and electromyographic guidance, with injection of contrast dye to obtain a confirmatory myogram (4, 5). With successful identification of the piriformis muscle the diagnosis is more assured and patients may better respond to standard injected medication. The non-fluoroscopic technique utilizes standard surface landmarks similar to those for performing a sciatic block, combined with the use of a standard nerve stimulator to better define the location of the piriformis muscle. The piriformis is identified by a reduction of frank gluteal contraction as the insulated needle traverses through the gluteal muscle and encounters the deeper-lying piriformis muscle. The piriformis produces a small detectable twitch of the needle and slight abduction at the hip. There are anomalous anatomic arrangements, however, where the sciatic nerve is dorsal to the piriformis muscle and may be encountered prior to the piriformis muscle. This would prohibit deeper passage of the needle to the more ventrally located piriformis, for fear of direct damage to the sciatic nerve. These anatomic arrangements may limit the wide application of this non-fluoroscopic technique.

The other technique, which applies electromyography combined with fluoroscopic guidance, is a highly accurate method; however, it does require expertise in the use electromyographic techniques and the availability of the necessary equipment. These two factors may limit the wide use of this method.

Knowledge on the use of a standard nerve stimulator is generally widespread among pain specialists, and the device is simple and readily available in most facilities. In this paper I describe a successful technique that utilized fluoroscopic guidance along with the use of a nerve stimulator, as an alternative method to reliably define the piriformis muscle.

DETAILS OF PROCEDURE

After obtaining full informed consent the patient with suspected piriformis muscle syndrome is placed prone on a suitable fluoroscopy table. The buttck area on the affected side is widely prepped and draped in a sterile fashion. AP view of the right hemi-pelvis and acetabular region is obtained. The most inferior aspect of the SI joint and of the most superior-lateral aspect of the right acetabulum is identified, then a metal marker is placed on a line adjoining these two structures, about 1/3 of the way medial from acetabular landmark. Local infiltration with 1% Lidocaine may be used for local anesthetic of the skin but is avoided in deeper structures to prevent loss of stimulating abili-
ty of the nerve stimulator by the local anesthetic.

Following satisfactory superficial local anesthetic, a 4-inch or longer 22-gauge Stimuplex insulated needle (B Braun Medical, Bethlehem, PA) is inserted and advanced with fluoroscopic guidance and nerve stimulation output at 1.5-2.0 milliamps and 2 Hz frequency. Initially contraction of the gluteus maximus muscle is typically obtained and the stimulator output is reduced until only a moderate gluteal twitch is observed. The needle should then be additionally advanced until contraction of the gluteus is markedly diminished. The output may then be reduced to less than 0.6 mA and the needle tip adjusted until there is just a discernable twitch noted at the needle hub and at the hip. Occasionally the dorsal aspect of the Ileum is encountered and the needle should be pulled back 1-2 mm. The output may then be re-established at 0.8 mA output. Generally, the twitch slowly decreased until only the hub of the needle was seen to move, or until minimal movement of the gluteal region at the lowest possible stimulator output was noted. In each case the twitch was abolished with injection of 3ml of 0.25% bupivacaine. All patients reported between 70-100% pain reduction following injection of local anesthetic.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial Output (mA)</th>
<th>Minimal Output Achieved (mA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The stimulator needle was inserted into the substance of the piriformis muscle, using fluoroscopic guidance. In some patients, the needle was advanced until bony contact was made with the dorsal surface of the Ileum. The needle was then pulled back less than 1cm and adjusted until a twitch could be re-established at <0.8 mA output. Generally, the twitch slowly decreased until only the hub of the needle was seen to move, or until minimal movement of the gluteal region at the lowest possible stimulator output was noted. In each case the twitch was abolished with injection of 3ml of 0.25% bupivacaine. All patients reported between 70-100% pain reduction following injection of local anesthetic.

Fig 1. Myogram of Piriformis Muscle with Combined Fluoroscopic and Nerve Stimulator Localization.

Table 1. Piriformis Muscle Stimulation Parameters for 8 patients

The diagnosis of Piriformis Muscle Syndrome is one that is difficult to make on clinical grounds alone and often difficult to treat. This report describes the application of a novel, yet simple injection technique to successfully identify the piriformis muscle.

Visualization of the muscle with fluoroscopy aids in needle placement, and electrical twitch corroborates that the needle is within the piriformis muscle tissue. Accurate placement of the needle with this approach may reduce the risk of complications possible with this injection, such as unintentional injection of the sciatic nerve, and improve the diagnostic accuracy of the technique.

Due to variations in physician training and patient anatomy, this simple alternative approach may be of value to the
pain practitioner. The role of the piriformis muscle in radicular pain may be more effectively addressed with accurate diagnosis and precision injection of medication.
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