Comment on Manchikanti et al’s ACOEM Guideline Criticism

To the Editor:

As practicing interventional pain physicians, we were pleased to see the point-by-point evaluation of the recent ACOEM guidelines (1,2). Although the 400-page ACOEM chapters at first glance appear impressive, through this analysis it becomes clear that the ACOEM chapters are fatally flawed. Unfortunately, insurance companies have been quick to accept any information that allows them to deny payment.

Manchikanti et al (1-3) have illustrated clearly that these guidelines fail to incorporate much of the medical literature including the best available evidence in support of these therapies. Manchikanti et al have done a thorough, scholarly, and rigorous evaluation of the ACOEM guidelines, and provided the pain community with a solid basis for rebutting insurance denials.

At any rate, we fear that universal adoption of these flawed guidelines will impair patients’ access to interventional pain medicine therapies within and outside the worker’s compensation arena. This would be an injustice to the entire pain patient population.
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